

Annex A

Public Redacted Version of the List of Email Decisions

THE PROSECUTOR v. LAURENT GBAGBO AND CHARLES BLÉ GOUDÉ
Annex A
Inter Partes E-mail Decisions

Item	Date	Title of Email Decision	Substance of Email Decision
1	07/01/2016	Order to the Registry to reclassify filing ICC-02/11-01/15-370 as “confidential”	Dear Counsel, dear Colleagues, The Chamber authorises the requested reclassification. The Registry is hereby instructed to reclassify ICC-02/11-01/15-370 as Confidential. Kind regards, ██████████ on behalf of the Chamber.
2	12/01/2016	Order setting the final agenda for the status conference of 14 January 2016	Dear Counsel, Dear colleagues, Having received proposed agenda items from the Prosecution and the Blé Goudé Defence on 7 January 2016, the Single Judge hereby informs the parties and participants of the final agenda for the status conference to be held on Thursday, 14 January 2016 starting at 9:30: 1. Updates on the trial schedule 2. Scheduling and timing of opening statements 3. Updates on the preparation for trial

			a. Update on disclosure and certification b. Matters arising for the first 20 witnesses (including requests for protective measures and video link testimony) c. Update on <i>inter partes</i> discussions on non-contentious issues 4. Exceptional applications for witness preparation [private session] 5. Procedure for the admission of evidence during the trial 6. Any other item(s) a. Issues relating to Mr Blé Goudé's detention, allocation of funds for the Defence of Mr Blé Goudé and issues concerning payment of Defence legal fees. A representative from the Registry should attend the hearing. Respectfully yours,  On behalf of the Single Judge
3	15/01/2016	Order to the Registry to reclassify filings ICC-02/11-01/15-221-Conf-Anx4; ICC-02/11-01/15-285-Conf; ICC-02/11-01/15-308-Conf-Exp; and ICC-02/11-01/15-318-Conf-Red as "public"	Dear Counsel, The Single Judge authorises the requested reclassification. The Registry is hereby instructed to reclassify the following documents as public: - ICC-02/11-01/15-221-Conf-Anx4; - ICC-02/11-01/15-285-Conf; - ICC-02/11-01/15-308-Conf-Exp; and - ICC-02/11-01/15-318-Conf-Red. Kind regards,  on behalf of the Single Judge.

4	19/01/2016	First decision on the extension of time to submit the ERNs of the material intended to be relied upon during the opening statement for technical problems	Dear all, In my capacity as Presiding Judge and Single Judge, I have been made aware of the message sent by the Defence regarding the technical problems relating to the “stamping tool”, as well as of the Prosecutor’s response. I have also been made aware of the Registry’s response, to the effect that the required tool would be provided yesterday at 16h15. Accordingly, no extension of the deadline is required. This said, as Presiding Judge I would nevertheless like to note the following: • I find it astonishing – and hardly reconcilable with an expeditious and efficient conduct of the proceedings - that only a few days before the commencement of the trial we have to debate technical problems having arisen as early as October 2015; • I find it astonishing that a software being used in the context and for the purposes of judicial proceedings may all of a sudden “stop functioning”, especially if this is due to something as trivial as the expiration of a software licence; • I am surprised that the Defence, having raised this problem as early as 28 October 2015, waited first until 9 November and then until 4 January before going back to the Registry and now (rightly) qualifies this problem as “urgent”, also having failed to raise this issue during the status conference held on January 14, specifically meant to highlight any outstanding issue before the opening of the trial. This said and for the sake of the efficiency and the expeditiousness of the proceedings, I expect that, from now onwards, all the Parties, the Participants and the Registry act with the utmost diligence and without delay whenever problems of the kind evoked in this communication arise. Kind regards, Cuno Tarfusser
5	19/01/2016	Second decision on the extension of time to submit the ERNs of the material intended to be relied upon during the opening statement for technical problems	Dear Counsel, The Single Judge regrets realising that the issue has not been fully solved.

			Accordingly, the deadline provided in paragraph 11 of ICC-02/11-01/15-205 for notification of any material they intend to use in the course of their opening statements is extended for all the parties and the LRV until Monday 25 January 2016. Any objections to the use of such material shall be filed no later than Tuesday 26 January. Kind regards, ██████████ on behalf of the Single Judge
6	27/01/2016	Order to the parties to submit their observations on the “Prosecution’s urgent request to amend the ‘Unified Protocol on the practices used to prepare and familiarise witnesses for giving testimony at trial’ (ICC-02/11-01/15-355-Anx)”	Dear Counsel, The Single Judge notes the “Prosecution’s urgent request to amend the ‘Unified Protocol on the practices used to prepare and familiarise witnesses for giving testimony at trial’ (ICC-02/11-01/15-355-Anx)” (“Prosecutor’s Request”) notified yesterday at 16:14. In light of the imminence of the time when the first witness is expected to appear before the Chamber, and of the need that a decision on the Request be taken prior to that time, pursuant to regulation 35(2) of the Regulations the Single Judge orders the parties and participants to file their responses to the Prosecutor’s Request, if any, by Friday 29 January at noon at the latest. Kind regards, ██████████ on behalf of the Single Judge
7	27/01/2016	Decision on the LRV’s request to reclassify filings ICC-02/11-01/15-335-Conf and ICC-02/11-01/15-339-Conf as “public”	Dear Counsel, The request is granted. The Registry is hereby directed to reclassify ICC-02/11-01/15-335-Conf and ICC-02/11-01/15-339-Conf as public. Kind regards, ██████████ on behalf of the Single Judge
8	04/02/2016	Decision on the Prosecutor’s request to reclassify ten filings as “public”	Dear Counsel, Dear Colleagues,

			The Registry is hereby directed to reclassify the following documents as public: ICC-02/11-01/15-219-Conf-AnxC; ICC-02/11-01/15-269-Conf; ICC-02/11-01/15-287-Conf; ICC-02/11-01/15-321-Conf-Red; ICC-02/11-01/15-326-Conf-Exp; ICC-02/11-01/15-334-Conf; and ICC-02/11-01/15-337-Conf. With regard to ICC-02/11-01/15-94-Conf-AnxA; ICC-02/11-01/15-219-Conf-AnxA and ICC-02/11-01/15-219-Conf-AnxB, the Prosecution shall file public redacted versions forthwith, redacting the personal contact details of the experts. Kind regards, ██████████ on behalf of the Single Judge.
9	15/02/2016	Decision convening a status conference on 17 February 2016 and instructions to the parties	Dear Counsel, The Presiding Judge convenes a status conference to be held before the Chamber on Wednesday 17 February 2016 at 9:30 hours. The parties and participants are instructed to provide the Chamber by email, no later than Tuesday 16 February 2016 at 12 hours, with a list of topics they wish to see addressed during this status conference and to indicate which of those topics, if any, would need to be addressed in private or closed session. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Presiding Judge

10	16/02/2016	Order setting the agenda for the status conference of 17 February 2016	Dear Counsel, On behalf of the Presiding Judge, please find below the agenda of the status conference scheduled for tomorrow: 1. Evidence management issues, as detailed by the Prosecutor; 2. Issue of contacts between the dual-status witnesses and the LRV during the familiarisation phase, as requested by the Defence for Mr Gbagbo; 3. Issues relating to the re-examination by the calling party, as requested by the Defence for Mr Gbagbo; 4. Disclosure – Certification by the Prosecution; 5. Issues relating to Witness P-0044, as detailed by the Prosecutor; 6. Use of private sessions and redactions, as requested by the Prosecutor; 7. “The coming and goings of witnesses before the Chamber enter the courtroom”, as requested by the Prosecutor. The merits relating to each one of the issues will be discussed during the status conference. The status conference will first address all issues which can be discussed in open session. Furthermore, the parties and participants are instructed to make their submissions on the “Prosecution’s application to protect the confidentiality of P-0369’s sources” (ICC-02/11-01/15-441-Conf + Conf-AnxA and B) during the status conference, with a view to allowing the Chamber to take a decision on an expedited basis. Thank you and kind regards, ██████████ on behalf of the Presiding Judge
11	23/02/2016	Order to the Registry to reclassify filing ICC-02/11-01/15-221-Conf-Anx3 as “public”	Dear Counsel, Dear colleagues, The Single Judge authorises the requested reclassification. The Registry is hereby instructed to reclassify ICC-02/11-01/15-221-Conf-Anx3 as Public.

			Kind regards, [REDACTED] on behalf of the Single Judge.
12	23/02/2016	Order to the Registry to reclassify filing ICC-02/11-01/15-0422-Conf as “public”	Dear Counsel, Dear colleagues, The Single Judge authorises the requested reclassification. The Registry is hereby instructed to reclassify ICC-02/11-01/15-422-Conf as Public. Kind regards, [REDACTED] on behalf of the Single Judge
13	24/02/2016	Decision granting the Prosecutor’s request to notify the Registry of any transcript corrections five days after both the edited versions have been notified	Dear counsel, Dear colleagues, The Presiding Judge authorises the parties to send to the Registry any proposed transcript corrections five days after the edited version of both, the English and French transcripts, have been notified to the parties. Regards, [REDACTED] (on behalf of the Presiding Judge)
14	09/03/2016	Decision rejecting the Defence teams’ request to postpone their questioning of Witness P-0625	Dear Mr Altit, The Presiding Judge, after consultations with his colleagues, decides that your request cannot be granted. First, it was made clear in the opening statements that the parties must be prepared at all times to continue with the case. It was stated that “the parties and participants shall be expected to always be prepared well in advance of the schedule. If discussion of an item in the schedule is exhausted in a shorter time than originally envisaged, the Chamber will immediately proceed to the next following item on the schedule, which will be adjusted as required. This rule also applies to witnesses”.

			Second, the breadth of the questioning to be conducted by the Defence teams, which is planned to extend over days, does allow for flexibility in identifying the topics to be addressed at an earlier or later stage. Third, as pointed out by the Prosecutor, we will have to see how the testimony actually unfolds tomorrow morning and how much time the Prosecutor will take. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Presiding Judge
15	18/04/2016	Decision convening a status conference on 26 April 2016	Dear Counsel, Following the Prosecutor's email dated 8 April 2016, the Presiding Judge convenes a status conference to be held before the Chamber on Tuesday 26 April 2016 at 10:30 hours. The Presiding Judge invites the parties and participants to provide the Chamber, by Wednesday 20 April 2016, with a list of topics they wish to see addressed and discussed in the status conference and to indicate which of those topics, if any, would need to be addressed in private or closed session. The Chamber will then finalise and circulate the agenda for the hearing. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Presiding Judge
16	21/04/2016	Order setting the agenda for the status conference of 26 April 2016	Dear Counsel, Dear colleagues, In light of the submissions by the parties, and pursuant to rule 132(2) of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence, please find below the agenda of the status conference scheduled for Tuesday 26 April 2016 at 10:30 hours in Courtroom 3: 1. Issues relating to transcripts, requested by the Prosecutor, as follows: a. the "quality of the English interpretation which in turn impacts on the quality of the English transcripts"; b. "how the parties should refer to transcripts";

			c. "the need to add time stamps, from the real time transcripts, in addition to the page and line numbers" for the purposes of requesting the correction of transcripts; 2. The issue of the transcription and translation of videos submitted as evidence (requested by the Defence for Mr Blé Goudé); 3. Agreed facts (requested by the Prosecutor); 4. Judicial notice of facts of common knowledge (requested by the Prosecutor); 5. Map book of the city of Abidjan (requested by the Prosecutor); 6. Schedule of the trial after the summer recess (requested by the Prosecutor). In addition, the Chamber invites the parties to submit concrete proposals for measures which might be implemented with a view to enhancing the efficiency and the expeditiousness of the trial. The Chamber requests the Registry to ensure that it will be represented in such a way as to enable the Chamber to obtain answers in relation to those issues falling within the scope of the Registry's responsibilities. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Presiding Judge
17	21/04/2016	Clarification by the Presiding Judge on the applicable deadlines to Rule 68 applications	Dear Counsel, The Presiding Judge confirms that your interpretation is correct. The deadlines for responses to applications to introduce prior recorded testimony as provided in the Directions on the conduct of the proceedings still stand. Kind regards, ██████████ on behalf of the Presiding Judge
18	03/05/2016	Decision granting the "Prosecution application for leave to reply to Gbagbo Defence response ICC-02/11-01/15-490-Conf" (ICC-02/11-01/15-492-Conf)	Dear Counsel, The Presiding Judge, on behalf of the Chamber, grants the "Prosecution application for leave to reply to Gbagbo Defence response ICC-02/11-01/15-490-Conf" (ICC-02/11-01/15-492-Conf).

			The reply shall be strictly confined to the issues raised by the Gbagbo Defence in its filing ICC-02/11-01/15-490-Conf and shall be filed by Friday 6 May 2015. Kind regards, ██████████ on behalf of the Presiding Judge
19	02/05/2016	Decision shortening the time limit for responses to "Prosecution request for the lifting of certain redactions in four victim applications" (ICC-02/11-01/15-493)	Dear Counsel, The Presiding Judge notes that the "Prosecution request for the lifting of certain redactions in four victim applications" (ICC-02/11-01/15-493) revolves around an issue which, in its essence, is the same as the one lying at the heart of pending request ICC-02/11-01/15-465. Furthermore, the request bears inter alia upon information relating to Witness 441, who is scheduled to testify on Monday 9 May 2016. For these reasons, and also in light of the fact that the parties and participants had ample opportunity to respond to Request 465, the time limit for the filing of any response to Request 493 is shortened to <u>Friday 6 May 2016 at 11:00 am</u>. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Presiding Judge
20	12/05/2016	Clarification by the Trial Chamber on the oral ruling issued on 9 March 2016 (T-27, pp. 1-2) and its application to the documents necessary for the familiarisation of Witness P-0369	Dear Counsel, Dear colleagues, The Chamber has read the exchanges among the parties and considered the documents listed in the Prosecutor's handover note for Witness 369. The Chamber recalls its oral ruling issued on 9 March 2016 (T-27, pp. 1-2), where it clarified that the word "statement" for the purposes of the familiarisation protocol is to be construed so as to encompass any items referred to in those statements without which the statements would not be comprehensible and which are relevant to the facts and circumstances to which the witness is likely to refer in the course of the testimony. In the view of the Chamber, the documents listed by the Prosecutor for the purposes of the handover meet these requirements. The Chamber trusts that this provides the parties with sufficient guidance for the VWU to proceed with the familiarisation of Witness 369.

			The Chamber clarifies that this is without prejudice to the decision on filing 509, on which submissions have been heard today. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Presiding Judge
21	13/05/2016	Order to the parties to submit their responses to the "Prosecution's application for the testimony of a witness to be held in private session" (confidential filing ICC-02/11-01/15-540)	Dear Counsel, Dear colleagues, Since the "Prosecution's application for the testimony of a witness to be held in private session" (confidential filing no. 540) concerns Witness P-579, whose testimony is scheduled to start on Monday at 9:30 hours, the Presiding Judge instructs the parties and participants to submit their responses thereto, whether by filing or via email, before 9 hours on Monday 16 May. If it is done by filing, a courtesy copy shall be submitted by email to the Chamber within the same time limit. The Presiding Judge also instructs the Victims and Witnesses Unit to provide their assessment within the same time limit. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Presiding Judge
22	20/05/2016	Decision to convey a status conference on 27 May 2016	Dear Counsel, After having held 33 days of hearings and heard 7 witnesses, the Chamber is of the view that it is appropriate to convene a status conference with a view to gathering information for the purposes of the exercise of its powers under article 64 of the Statute. Accordingly, pursuant to Rule 132(2) of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence, the Presiding Judge hereby convenes a status conference to be held on Friday, 27 May 2016 at 9.30. The Chamber requests information from the Prosecutor as to the organisation of the forthcoming stages of the trial. More specifically, the Prosecutor is instructed to make submissions on the following points: - Scheduling of the witnesses remaining from the original group of 20, for the sessions running from 6 June onwards;

			- Scheduling of witnesses for the period from 30 August to December 2016 (approximately including 55 days of hearings), in particular information on: - o Expected number of witnesses and duration of questioning; - o Expected type of witnesses (by factual topics); - Expected duration of the Prosecutor's presentation of the case. In light of the nature of the information requested from the Prosecutor, the status conference will be held in closed session. The Presiding Judge invites the parties and participants to inform the Chamber, by Tuesday 24 May 2016, of any other topics they wish to see addressed and discussed in the status conference. The Chamber will then finalise and circulate the agenda for the hearing. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Presiding Judge
23	25/05/2016	Order setting the agenda of the status conference of 27 May 2016	Dear Counsel, Dear colleagues, In light of the submissions by the parties, and pursuant to rule 132(2) of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence, please find below the agenda of the status conference to be held in closed session on Friday 27 May 2016 at 9.30 in Courtroom 1: 1. Submission of information by the Prosecutor as to the organisation of the forthcoming stages of the trial (requested by the Chamber), in particular as regards the following points: - o Scheduling of the witnesses remaining from the original group of 20, for the sessions running from 6 June onwards; - o Scheduling of witnesses for the period from 30 August to December 2016 (approximately including 55 days of hearings), including information on: ▪ Expected number of witnesses and duration of questioning; ▪ Expected type of witnesses (by factual topics);

			○ Expected duration of the Prosecutor's presentation of the case. 2. The schedule of hearings after the summer recess, i.e. from 30 August 2016 and onwards (requested by the Defence for Mr Blé Goudé). Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Presiding Judge
24	27/05/2016	Clarification by the Presiding Judge on the meaning of "parties" in oral instructions of 24 May 2016 (ICC-02/11-01/15-T-43-ENG RT, page 4, lines 20-23) related to the identification of agreed maps to be used in trial	Dear Counsel, The Presiding Judge clarifies that the reference to the parties in the oral instruction is to be read as including all those vested with a specific interest in the topic, which obviously includes the LRV. Otherwise stated, the nature and objective of the instruction make it obvious that the Legal Representative of Victims should also be included in discussions and exchanges aimed at its implementation. Furthermore, as stated by the LRV, she was asked to make submissions on the topic. Since the need to identify a map on which all can agree arises for the purposes of allowing its use by all actors in the trial, it is indeed appropriate to associate the LRV to all the steps taken for the purposes of such identification, as the Prosecutor did. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ (on behalf of the Presiding Judge)
25	31/05/2016	Decision shortening the time limit for responses to filing ICC-02/11-01/15-562-Conf	Dear Counsel, The Presiding Judge notes that the "Defence's Motion to prevent the Prosecution from calling Witness P-0097 as an expert witness and to restrict the scope of Witness P-0097's intended evidence" (ICC-02/11-01/15-562-Conf + Conf-AnxA), submitted by the Defence for Mr Blé Goudé, bears upon the forthcoming testimony of Witness P-97, which is scheduled to start on Monday 6 June 2016. For this reason, the time limit for the filing of any responses to filing 562 is shortened to <u>Thursday 2 June 2016</u>. This time limit also applies to the Prosecutor, should she wish to supplement the submissions made by email on the basis of the courtesy copy of the filing. Thank you and kind regards.

			██████████ on behalf of the Presiding Judge
26	03/06/2016	Decision granting the parties' request for an extension of time to identify agreed maps to be used in trial	Dear Counsel, The Presiding Judge grants the request, on the assumption that – as the Prosecutor indicates – an agreement will be reached within this extended time limit. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Presiding Judge
27	03/06/2016	Clarification by Presiding Judge on the issue “delayed payments of the Defence team members” raised during the status conference of 27 May 2016	Dear Mr Knoops, I would like to address the issue raised by you through Ms ██████████ during the status conference held on 27 May 2016, namely “the delayed payments of the Defence team members as already discussed during the Status Conference of 14 January 2016”. Ms ██████████ insisted to take the floor even after I had said that, according to information received from the Registry on the day before, payments had been made. The Chamber allowed Ms ██████████ to address the issue, in light of its belief that issues relating to the timely and adequate compensation of the Defence belong indeed to the core of a fair trial and should not be neglected. Ms ██████████ stated, inter alia, the following: (i) that only one member of the team had been paid for the month of April; (ii) that they would request to be paid “<i>plus régulièrement</i>”; (iii) that for those members of the team working for this trial on a full-time basis payments were vital, “<i>des paiements de subsistance</i>”; (iv) that the problem having persisted since January, this entailed “<i>une usure du moral des membres d’équipes de défense</i>”. I found these remarks very worrisome, since they seemed to cast a serious shadow on the performance of the Registry in this matter. Accordingly, immediately after the status conference I decided to summon the head of the competent section, in order to express my concern and request clarification. In particular, I requested detailed information as regards the specific time frames of the payments made to the various members of your team since the beginning of the trial and in the months immediately preceding it.

			The well-documented information I received was astonishing. It appears that payments have been processed by the Registry well within (and often well in advance of) the 30-day time-limit after the submission of statements of fees the Registry itself indicates as the average time-limit for payment to occur. The payment for the month of April (which triggered the intervention of Ms [REDACTED]) was made on 27 May for all the members of the team, i.e. 24 days after the submission of the timesheets and seven ahead of the deadline. The one time when a delay indeed occurred was in respect of fees for services rendered in November 2015, which were paid 40 days after the submission of the relevant statements. This delay of 10 days (!), whilst not ideal, can however be easily explained in light of the unique and exceptional challenge experienced by the Court in connection with its move to the permanent premises. In light of this information, the statements made by Ms [REDACTED] at the status conference appear to be inaccurate at best, and misleading at worst. Among other things, the submissions were formulated in such a way as to make it sound as if the issue, originally raised at the end of January, had persisted unaddressed ever since: instead, payments for the period from December to March were never made later than 18 days from the submission of the time-sheets; sometimes as early as six days from that moment. Whilst I am now reassured that no blame whatsoever can be put on the competent services of the Registry in this matter, I must express my surprise at the fact that it was deemed appropriate to put such statements on the record. Kind regards, Cuno Tarfusser
28	17/06/2016	Order to the Registry to correct transcript ICC-02/11-01/15-T-51-ENG and clarification of the deadline for responses to filings ICC-02/11-01/15-582 and ICC-02/11-01/15-583	Dear Ms Massidda, Thank you for your email. I should first clarify that the reference to filings 502 and filing 503 appearing at page 67, lines 9-11 of English transcript no. 51 should be corrected so as to refer to filings 582 and filing 583. I include [REDACTED] as Court Officer among the addresses so that a correction to this effect can be made.

			The Presiding Judge confirms that the extension of the deadline for responses to both filings (respectively, to 30 June 2016 for filing 582 and to 29 July 2016 for filing 583) also applies to the OPCV. Kind regards, ██████████ on behalf of the Presiding Judge
29	21/06/2016	Decision shortening the time limit for responses to filing ICC-02/11-01/15-594-Conf	Dear Counsel, The Presiding Judge notes that the “Defence request for additional disclosure of Article 67(2) and Rule 77 material relating to Witnesses P-321 and P324” (ICC-02/11-01/15-594-Conf + Conf Anxs) bears <i>inter alia</i> upon the forthcoming testimony of Witness P-321, which is scheduled to start shortly. For this reason, the time limit for the filing of any responses to filing 594 is shortened to <u>Friday 24 June 2016</u>. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Presiding Judge
30	23/06/2016	Instructions on the registration of documents and material other than evidence presented during a hearing	Dear Counsel, Dear colleagues, You will remember that, during the testimony of Witness P-97, the Prosecutor circulated to the Chamber, the other parties and participants two lists of pseudonyms (List of Locations and List of Sources) to be used during the questioning, with a view to avoiding that reference to names and locations in public session might result in having the identity of the witness revealed and the purpose of the protective measures defeated. With a view to ensuring the integrity of the record, the Presiding Judge instructs the Registry to file those lists pursuant to regulation 29 of the Regulations of the Registry. The Presiding Judge takes this opportunity to clarify that the same procedure shall be followed each time that an item other than evidence is submitted or produced. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Presiding Judge

31	18/07/2016	Decision granting Mr. Blé Goudé Defence's request for an extension of time to submit responses to filing ICC-02/11-01/15-616-Conf	Dear counsel, Following the request of the Defence of Charles Blé Goudé, joined by the Defence of Laurent Gbagbo, and having received responses by the Prosecutor and by the Legal Representative of Victims who requests that the same time limit applies to her, the Presiding Judge hereby grants the extension of the time limit for any responses to filing ICC-02/11-01/15-616-Conf until 29 July 2016. Kind regards, ██████████ on behalf of the Presiding Judge
32	19/07/2016	Decision granting the Prosecutor's request for an extension of time to submit proposal for corrections to the transcripts	Dear counsel, Following the request of the Prosecutor, and bearing in mind both the delays in distribution of edited transcripts and the limited number of staff available during the summer recess, the Presiding Judge hereby grants the extension of the time limit for submitting transcript corrections by any party or participant and for all transcripts received between 18 July and 15 August 2016 until 22 August 2016. Kind regards, ██████████ on behalf of the Presiding Judge
33	01/08/2016	Decision granting Mr. Gbagbo Defence's request for an extension of time to the submit responses to the "Application to conditionally admit the prior recorded statements and related documents in relation to Witnesses P-0106, P-0107, P-0117 and P-0578 under rule 68(3)" (ICC-02/11-01/15-636-Conf)	Dear Counsel, The Presiding Judge instructs me to inform you that the request is granted, to the benefit of all Defence teams and the LRV. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Presiding Judge
34	05/08/2016	Decision granting the Prosecutor's "Application for leave to reply to Gbagbo Defence response" (ICC-02/11-01/15-641-Conf)	Dear Counsel, The Single Judge grants the Prosecutor's "application for leave to reply to Gbagbo Defence response ICC-02/11-01/15-641-Conf" and clarifies that the reply shall be limited to the matters

			listed in paragraph 5 of filing no. 645. The reply shall be filed no later than Friday 19 August 2016. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of Single Judge Cuno Tarfusser
35	29/08/2016	Request to postpone the start of the testimony of Witness P-0330 by one day	Dear counsel, The Presiding Judge instructs me to inform you that the hearing will start as scheduled tomorrow morning, and the Chamber will then decide on the request having heard all parties and participants. Thank you and kind regards, ██████████ on behalf of the Presiding Judge
36	29/08/2016	Decision shortening the time limit for responses to filing ICC-02/11-01/15-653-Conf	Dear Counsel, The Presiding Judge notes that the "Prosecution's application for protective measures for its 16th Witness" (ICC-02/11-01/15-653-Conf + Conf AnxA) is related to the forthcoming testimony of Witness P-414, whose testimony might start early next week. For this reason, the time limit for the filing of any responses to confidential filing 653 is shortened to <u>Monday 5 September 2016 at 16 hours</u>. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Presiding Judge
37	08/09/2016	Decision granting the Prosecutor's request for an extension of time to submit proposal for corrections to the transcripts	Dear Counsel, Dear colleagues of the Registry,

			The Chamber has noted the Prosecutor's request to amend the time limit for submitting requests for corrections of the transcripts, joined by the Defence for Mr Gbagbo and the LRV, and the arguments raised in support of it. The Chamber is aware that the timing of the distribution of the transcripts in the two working languages did not always follow a regular pattern so far and of the fact that the quality of the transcripts is not always of the highest standard; this inevitably results in making the reviewing exercise more time- and resource-consuming. In light of the fact that ensuring the accuracy of the transcripts is of the essence to the trial, the Chamber grants the Prosecutor's request and extends the time limit for submitting requests for corrections of the transcripts to 21 days from the date of notification. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Presiding Judge
38	04/10/2016	Order concerning the filing of observations on confidential filing ICC-02/11-01/15-702	Dear Counsel, The Presiding Judge orders that any observations to confidential filing no. 702 be filed by Friday 14 October 2016. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Presiding Judge
39	13/10/2016	Decision on the Prosecutor's request ██████████ ██████████	Dear Counsel, The Presiding Judge has taken note of the Prosecutor's request dated 11 October 2016, as well as of the subsequent exchange of messages including the queries and requests formulated by Counsel for Mr Gbagbo. The Presiding Judge would like to stress that instructions with a view to ██████████ had already been given by the Presiding Judge to the Registry prior to the Prosecutor's email. In light of detailed information received from ██████████ the Presiding Judge is satisfied that:

			(i) Witness 435 qualifies as a [REDACTED] [REDACTED] (ii) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] (iii) The Registry shall take all measures to protect P-0435's safety, physical and psychological wellbeing and dignity and privacy pursuant to article 68(1) of the Statute and rule 17(2) of the Rules, as well as pursuant to the Witness Familiarisation Protocol. His physical and mental health condition will also be the subject matter of specific checks to be carried out under the responsibility of the Registry [REDACTED] (iv) The Chamber will assess P-0435's consent to [REDACTED] to the testimony in due course. As already stated in these proceedings (see ICC-02/11-01/15-614-Conf), in cases such as this, it is not possible for the Chamber to determine the existence of an issue [REDACTED] without having heard and observed the witness. Thank you and kind regards. [REDACTED] on behalf of the Presiding Judge
40	14/10/2016	Order to the Prosecutor to disclose material related to Witness P-0435 and decision shortening the time limit for Mr. Blé Goudé Defence to response	Dear Counsel, The Presiding Judge notes the confidential "Defence request for disclosure of Article 67(2) and Rule 77 material relating to Witness P-0435" just submitted by the Defence for Mr Blé Goudé, bearing on a document relating to Witness P-0435, whose testimony is scheduled to start next Monday, 17 October 2016. The Presiding Judge regrets the belated timing of the request.

			With a view to allowing the Chamber to promptly decide on the request, the Presiding Judge hereby: (i) orders the Prosecutor to make the requested document immediately available to the Chamber by email and to file it on a confidential ex parte basis in the record; (ii) decides that the time limit for the filing of any responses to the Blé Goudé's request is shortened to <u>Monday 17 October 2016 at 12 hours</u>. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Presiding Judge
41	27/10/2016	Decision granting Mr. Gbagbo Defence's request to reclassify filing ICC-02/11-01/15-651-Conf as "public"	Dear counsel, The Single Judge instructs me to inform you that the request is granted and hereby also orders the Registry to accordingly reclassify filing ICC-02/11-01/15-651-Conf as "public". Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Single Judge
42	09/11/2016	Decision shortening the time limit for responses to filing ICC-02/11-01/15-747-Conf	Dear Counsel, The Presiding Judge notes that the "Requête de la Défense en report de la venue du témoin P-0483" (ICC-02/11-01/15-747-Conf + Conf Anx1), submitted by the Defence for Mr Gbagbo earlier today, is related to the forthcoming testimony of Witness P-483, whose testimony is due to start next Monday 14 November 2016. For this reason, the time limit for the filing of any responses to confidential filing 747 is shortened to tomorrow, <u>Thursday 10 November 2016, at 16 hours</u>. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Presiding Judge

43	21/11/2016	Decision rejecting Mr. Gbagbo Defence's request for an extension of time to submit responses to filing ICC-02/11-01/15-754-Conf	Dear Counsel, The Presiding Judge takes the view that, in light of the nature of filing no. 754, there is no good cause warranting an extension of the time limit for responses thereto, also in light of the fact that the filing has been pending since 11 November 2016. The request is therefore rejected. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Presiding judge
44	24/11/2016	Order to the Registry to reclassify filings ICC-02/11-01/15-747 and ICC-02/11-01/15-753 as "public"	Dear colleagues, Following the email below, the Single Judge instructs you to reclassify filings ICC-02/11-01/15-747 and ICC-02/11-01/15-753 as public. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Single Judge
45	09/12/2016	Order to the Registry to reclassify filing ICC-02/11-01/15-657 and its annexes as "confidential"	Dear colleagues, In light of the Prosecutor's and the Legal Representative's request, and with a view to allowing them to make submissions pursuant to the ██████████ ██████████ ██████████ the Single Judge instructs the Registrar to reclassify filing ICC-02/11-01/15-657 and its annexes as "confidential". Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Single Judge
46	12/12/2016	Order to the Registry to reclassify filing ICC-02/11-01/15-762 as "public"	Dear colleagues, Following the email below, the Single Judge instructs the Registrar to reclassify filing ICC-02/11-01/15-762 as public. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Single Judge

47	13/12/2016	Decision granting Mr. Gbagbo Defence's request for an extension of time to file an application for leave to appeal against the "Decision concerning the Prosecutor's submission of documentary evidence on 13 June, 14 July, 7 September and 19 September 2016" (ICC-02/11-01/15-773)	Dear Counsel, The Presiding Judge notes that Judge Henderson's Dissenting Opinion to decision no. 773 has just been notified and that, in light of regulation 33(1) of the Regulations of the Court, the time limit for filing an application for leave to appeal that decision will still expire on Monday 19 December 2016. No variation of the applicable time limit is therefore warranted. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Presiding Judge
48	13/12/2016	Order to the Registry to reclassify filing ICC-02/11-01/15-763-Conf as "public"	Dear colleagues, Following the email below, the Single Judge instructs the Registrar to reclassify filing ICC-02/11-01/15-763 as public. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Single Judge
49	20/12/2016	Decision granting Mr. Gbagbo Defence's request for an extension of time to submit responses to the "Prosecution's application to conditionally admit the prior recorded statement and related documents in relation to Witness P-0045 under rule 68(3)" (ICC-02/11-01/15-775-Conf)	Dear Counsel, The Single Judge grants the requested extension of the time limit for responses to confidential filing no. 775 until 9 January 2017. Best wishes, ██████████ on behalf of the Single Judge
50	10/02/2017	Order to the Registry to reclassify filings ICC-02/11-01/15-775-Conf-Anx1, ICC-02/11-01/15-775-Conf-Anx2 and ICC-02/11-01/15-799-Conf as "public"	Dear colleagues, Following the submissions and requests of the parties, the Single Judge instructs the Registrar to reclassify as public the following filings: ICC-02/11-01/15-775-Conf-Anx1 ICC-02/11-01/15-775-Conf-Anx2 ICC-02/11-01/15-799-Conf

			Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Single Judge
51	14/02/2017	Order to the Registry to register the report filed by the Rule 74 Counsel for Witness P-0046 as “confidential”	Dear colleagues, Following the message below, the Single Judge instructs the Registry to register the report filed by Mr Laucci, Rule 74 Counsel for Witness P-46 (of which a courtesy copy was provided by email to the Chamber at 00:36 hours), as “confidential”. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Single Judge
52	14/02/2017	Order to the Registry to reclassify filing ICC-02/11-01/15-804-Conf as “public”	Dear Pieter, The Single Judge has taken note of the request and instructs the Registry to reclassify filing ICC-02/11-01/15-804-Conf (main filing) as public. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Single Judge
53	09/03/2017	Decision granting the joint request for an extension of time to submit responses to the “Prosecution’s consolidated application to conditionally admit the prior recorded statements and related documents of various witnesses under rule 68 and Prosecution’s application for the introduction of documentary evidence under paragraph 43 of the directions on the conduct of proceedings relating to the evidence of Witnesses P-0087 and P-0088” (ICC-02/11-01/15-829-Conf)	Dear Counsel, The Presiding Judge instructs me to inform you that the Chamber grants the request. Kind regards, ██████████ on behalf of the Presiding Judge
54	20/03/2017	Decision shortening the time limit for responses to filing ICC-02/11-01/15-747-Conf	Dear Counsel, The Presiding Judge notes the “Prosecution’s amendments to its application to conditionally admit the prior recorded statements and related documents of Witnesses P-0108, P-0433, P-0436, P-0402, P-0438, P-0459 and P-0109 under rule 68(3)” (ICC-02/11-01/15-855-Conf + Conf Anxs) filed on Friday 17 March 2017. In light of the content of the submission and of the need to timely decide on the “Prosecution’s application to conditionally admit the prior recorded statements and related documents of Witnesses P-0108, P-0433, P-0436, P-0402, P-0438, P-0459 and P-0109 under rule 68(3), and for

			testimony by means of video-link technology for Witnesses P-0436, P-0402, P-0438, P-0459 and P-0109 under rule 67(1)" (filing ICC-02/11-01/15-796-Conf and confidential annexes thereto), the time limit for the filing of any responses to confidential filing 747 is shortened to <u>Friday 24 March 2017</u>. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Presiding Judge
55	22/03/2017	Decision on the submission of item CIV-D15-0001-6219	Dear Counsel, The Presiding Judge, in light of what was said in the courtroom at the time (see T-135, page 83 line 14 to page 84 line 11 in the English and page 80 line 19 to page 81 line 10 in the French), confirms that item CIV-D15-0001-6219 is to be considered as submitted and instructs the Registry to annotate it in e-court accordingly. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Presiding Judge
56	06/04/2017	Decision on Mr. Gbagbo Defence's request for lifting of certain redactions in filing ICC-02/11-01/15-828-Conf and of the pseudonyms of Witnesses P-0047 and P-0009 from the public redacted versions of filing ICC-02/11-01/15-834-Conf and responses thereto	Dear Counsel, The Single Judge has noted the exchange below and in particular (i) the Prosecutor's withdrawal of the redaction of the information appearing in footnote 2 of filing no. 828, and (ii) the Prosecutor's objection to the lifting of the redaction of the pseudonyms of Witnesses P-47 and P-9 from the public redacted versions of filing no. 834 and responses thereto. The Single Judge, in light of the reasons given by the Prosecutor, grants the request to maintain the redaction of the pseudonyms of Witnesses P-47 and P-9 from the public redacted versions of filing no. 834 and the responses thereto. Accordingly, the Prosecutor is instructed to file an amended public redacted version of filing no. 828 and the Defence for Mr Gbagbo is instructed to file public redacted versions of filings nos. 833 and 841 in accordance with this ruling. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Single Judge

57	06/04/2017	Order to the Registry to reclassify filing ICC-02/11-01/15-842-Conf as “public”	Dear colleagues, In light of the email below, the Single Judge instructs the Registry to reclassify filing no. 842 as public. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Single Judge
58	11/04/2017	Decision granting for an extension of time limits in connection with TRIM unavailability	Dear Counsel, As you will be aware, TRIM services will be unavailable from 14 to 20 April 2017 due to maintenance and upgrade work being carried out during that time. Accordingly, the Single Judge decides that, pursuant to regulation 35(2) of the Regulations of the Court, all time limits expiring during the period of TRIM’s unavailability are extended to <u>24 April 2017</u>, when it is expected that TRIM services will have resumed in full. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Single Judge
59	20/04/2017	Clarification by the Presiding Judge on the e-mail “Decision on the extension of time limits in connection with TRIM unavailability”	Dear Ms ██████████ As said in the original email below, the extension to 24 April 2017 granted by the Single Judge was meant to apply to "all time limits expiring during the period of TRIM’s unavailability", in light of the Registry's indication that TRIM would only become available again on Friday 21 April and that on 24 April all TRIM services would have resumed in full. Accordingly, the same extension is also granted in respect of the time limit for responses to filings 874 and 875. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Single Judge
60	28/04/2017	Clarification by the Presiding Judge on the time limit for the submission of some documents by the Prosecutor	Dear Mr MacDonald, The Presiding Judge has noted your message. He requests me to clarify that, unless otherwise specified in the relevant order, time limits set at a given calendar day are to be understood as expiring at the end of that day (i.e., midnight).

			The 4 pm deadline is only relevant for notification purposes, in case there is an urgent reason making it necessary to ensure that notification of the filing by the Registry happens the very same day. No such urgent reason existing in respect of this particular filing, the Prosecutor shall only have to make sure that submission occurs by the end of this day. No extension of the time limit is therefore necessary under the circumstances. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Presiding Judge
61	28/04/2017	Order to the Registry to reclassify filing ICC-02/11-01/15-878-Conf-Exp as “public”	Dear colleagues, In light of the email below, the Single Judge instructs you to reclassify filing no. 02/11-01/15-878-Conf-Exp as public. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Single Judge
62	03/05/2017	Order to lift redaction vis-a-vis the Chamber	Dear Mr MacDonald, Following the request by the Defence for Mr Gbagbo at the end of the last session, the Presiding Judge orders the Prosecutor to immediately (i.e., before the start of the next session) transmit to the Chamber by email a version of Witness 438’s statement where the name of the dioula interpreter appearing on page 15 is unredacted. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Presiding Judge
63	16/05/2017	Order to reclassify filing ICC-02/11-01/15-772-Conf as “public”	Dear colleagues, In light of the email below, the Single Judge instructs you to reclassify filing no. 02/11-01/15-772-Conf as public. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Single Judge

64	18/05/2017	Order to reclassify portion of confidential transcript ICC-02/11-01/15-T-107 of 29 November 2016 as “public”	Dear colleagues, In light of the email below, the Single Judge instructs you to reclassify as public the section of transcript no. 107 dated 29 November 2016 containing the Chamber’s oral ruling referred to by the Legal Representative of Victims: i.e., ICC-02/11-01/15-T-107-CONF-ENG ET, p. 1, line 14 to p. 2, line 16. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Single Judge
65	26/05/2017	Decision granting Mr. Gbagbo Defence’s request to reclassify filing ICC-02/11-01/15-880-Conf as “public”	Dear colleagues, in light of the email below, the Single Judge instructs you to reclassify filing ICC-02/11-01/15-880-Conf as public. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Single Judge
66	02/06/2017	Decision granting Mr. Gbagbo Defence’s request to reclassify filing ICC-02/11-01/15-946-Conf as “public”	Dear colleagues, in light of the email below, the Single Judge instructs you to reclassify filing ICC-02/11-01/15-946-Conf as public. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Single Judge
67	02/06/2017	Decision granting Mr. Gbagbo Defence’s request to reclassify filings ICC-02/11-01/15-943-Conf and ICC-02/11-01/15-945-Conf as “public”	Dear colleagues, in light of the email below, the Single Judge instructs you to reclassify filings ICC-02/11-01/15-943-Conf and ICC-02/11-01/15-945-Conf as public. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Single Judge

68	02/06/2017	Clarification by the Presiding Judge of the order of appearances of witnesses between 19 June 2017 and the summer recess	Dear Counsel, The Presiding Judge confirms that, as already announced during the hearing held on 29 May 2017 (ICC-02/11-01/15-T-162-ENG ET, page 77, line 10 to page 78, line 2), between 19 June 2017 and the summer recess the following witnesses will be heard: - P-164; - P-226; - P-239; - P-411; - P-607; - P-156; - P-87; - P-88; - P-172; - P-237. The Presiding Judge is liaising with the VWU with a view to ensuring that all the practical arrangements which are required for facilitating the appearance of these witnesses in the above order are timely made. Nevertheless, the Presiding Judge expects the parties to be ready to accommodate minor adjustments in this order which might become necessary in light of obstacles of a logistic or bureaucratic nature. The Presiding Judge also confirms that, as requested by the Prosecutor, Witness P-626 will appear after Witness P-583. As to the specific date for Witness P-583's appearance, the Presiding Judge notes that the circumstances of Witness 583, [REDACTED] [REDACTED] make it possible for his appearance to be ordered at short notice. These circumstances also apply to Witness P-584. Whilst the appearance of both these witnesses will be in principle scheduled in accordance with the order submitted by the Prosecutor, the
----	------------	---	---

			Presiding Judge expects the parties to be prepared to hear the testimony of either of them at short notice after the completion of the summer recess, should this become necessary with a view to avoiding gaps in the schedule of the type currently experienced. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Presiding Judge
69	08/06/2017	Decision granting Mr. Gbagbo Defence's request to postpone the five-day time limit to file an application for leave to appeal against the "Decision on the "Prosecution's consolidated application to conditionally admit the prior recorded statements and related documents of various witnesses under rule 68 and Prosecution's application for the introduction of documentary evidence under paragraph 43 of the directions on the conduct of proceedings relating to the evidence of Witnesses P-0087 and P-0088"" (ICC-02/11-01/15-950-Conf)	Dear Counsel, The Single Judge instructs me to inform you that the request is granted: the five-day time limit to apply for leave to appeal decision no. 950 shall begin to run at the time of the filing of Judge Henderson's partially dissenting opinion. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Single Judge
70	13/06/2017	Decision shortening the time limit for responses to "Prosecution's urgent request to add Witness P-0239's screening note to the witness's familiarisation package" (ICC-02/11-01/15-955-Conf + Conf AnxA)	Dear Counsel, The Presiding Judge notes that the "Prosecution's urgent request to add Witness P-0239's screening note to the witness's familiarisation package" (ICC-02/11-01/15-955-Conf + Conf AnxA) is related to the forthcoming testimony of Witness P-239, for whom the Office of the Prosecutor is due to handover the familiarisation material to the VWU this Friday 16 June 2017. For this reason, the time limit for the filing of any responses to confidential filing 955 is shortened to tomorrow <u>Wednesday 14 June 2017 at 15 hours.</u> Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Presiding Judge

71	21/06/2017	Decision granting Mr. Gbagbo Defence's request to reclassify filing ICC-02/11-01/15-923-Conf as "public"	Dear colleagues, in light of the email below, the Single Judge instructs you to reclassify filing ICC-02/11-01/15-923-Conf as public. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Single Judge
72	24/07/2017	Decision granting Mr. Gbagbo Defence's request to reclassify filing ICC-02/11-01/15-929-Conf as "public"	Dear colleagues, In light of the email below, the Single Judge instructs you to please reclassify filing ICC-02/11-01/15-929-Conf as "public". Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Single Judge
73	28/08/2017	Decision granting the Prosecutor's request for an extension of time to submit proposal for corrections to transcripts ICC-02/11-01/15-T-172 to 174	Dear Counsel, The Single Judge grants the request. Kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Single Judge
74	15/09/2017	Order to reclassify document ICC-02/11-01/15-974-Conf as "public"	Dear Colleagues, Following the email below, the Single Judge orders the Registry to reclassify filing no. ICC-02/11-01/15-974-Conf as public. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Single Judge
75	18/10/2017	Order to reclassify item CIV-OTP-0089-1030 as "public"	Dear colleagues, Dear ██████████

			Following the email below, the Single Judge instructs the Registry to reclassify item CIV-OTP-0089-1030 as public. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Single Judge
76	20/10/2017	Single Judge Decision granting the Prosecutor's application for leave to reply (confidential filing no. 1035)	Dear Mr MacDonald, The Single Judge has noted confidential filing 1035, whereby the Prosecutor requests leave to reply to the responses to the Prosecutor's application for the introduction of documentary evidence dated 28 April 2017 (confidential filing no. 895), respectively submitted by Mr Blé Goudé (confidential filing no. 1028) and by Mr Gbagbo (confidential filing no. 1029). The Single Judge notes that the matters the Prosecutor seeks to cover in her reply, as listed in paragraph 6 of the Prosecutor's application, are specific and aimed at either clarifying or correcting circumstances relating to some of the documents for which the Prosecutor seeks the introduction through filing no. 895. The Single Judge finds that these circumstances might be relevant in the context of the Chamber's assessment of those documents and might therefore usually assist the Chamber in its deliberations. Accordingly, the Single Judge grants the Prosecutor leave to reply to filings no. 1028 and 1029, no later than Friday 10 November 2017. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Single Judge
77	08/11/2017	Decision on the time limit for the LRV to file its application for the presentation of evidence	Dear Ms Massidda, The Presiding Judge instructs me to inform you that the Chamber, noting paragraph 21 of the Directions on the conduct of the proceedings and the current schedule of the trial, grants your request to file your application to present evidence by 15 December 2017. Considering the Court's winter recess, any responses to the application by the Prosecutor and the Defence teams shall be filed by 22 January 2018. Thank you and kind regards.

			██████████ on behalf of the Presiding Judge
78	10/11/2017	Decision on Mr Gbagbo request for lift the redaction on the name of the interpreter who assisted the Prosecutor during the questioning of P-0407	Dear Counsel, The Presiding Judge instructs me to inform you that the Chamber, in line with its previous decisions and the guidance of the Appeals Chamber, decided that there is indeed no need for you “to make an initial showing of relevance” to request the lifting of a previously authorised redaction. Accordingly, the Chamber orders the Prosecutor to lift the redaction at stake, or to indicate the specific reasons which would, in her submission, justify maintaining it. The Chamber reminds the Prosecutor of its previous decisions to the effect that general statements about the security situation in Ivory Coast do not provide adequate justification for an exception to the principle of full disclosure. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Presiding Judge
79	17/11/2017	Decision shortening the time limit for responses to the “Prosecution’s urgent application for testimony by means of video-link technology and for additional special measures with respect to Witness P-0554” (ICC-02/11-01/15-1070-Conf)	Dear Counsel, The Single Judge notes that the “Prosecution’s urgent application for testimony by means of video-link technology and for additional special measures with respect to Witness P-0554” (ICC-02/11-01/15-1070-Conf) is related to the forthcoming testimony of Witness P-554, scheduled to take place imminently. For this reason, the time limit for the filing of any responses to confidential filing 1070 is shortened to <u>Wednesday 22 November 2017</u>. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Single Judge
80	12/12/2017	Decision on suspension of time limits for transcripts correction requests and extension of time limits in connection with forthcoming winter recess	Dear Counsel, The Single Judge instructs me to inform you that the Prosecutor’s request for suspension of time limits for requesting corrections of transcripts between 17 December 2017 and 7 January 2018 (email by Mr MacDonald on 6 December 2017 at 12:31 hours) is granted, to the benefit of all parties and participants.

			Furthermore, in light of the forthcoming winter recess and of the current court calendar, the Single Judge decides that the time limits for responses to any filings submitted between today and Friday 5 January 2018 are extended until Monday 22 January 2018. Finally, the Presiding Judge notes that only matters of absolute urgency (i.e., which cannot be postponed until 8 January 2018) will be dealt with between 23 December and 5 January 2018. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Single Judge
81	15/01/2018	Decision granting the request for an extension of the time limit for responses to filing no. 1092	Dear Counsel, The Presiding Judge instructs me to inform you that, in light of the concurrent deadlines to be met by the teams, the Chamber grants the requested extension of the time limit for parties and participants to respond to filing no. 1092, until Friday 9 February 2018. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Presiding Judge
82	15/01/2018	Decision on the “Prosecution’s request for leave to respond to Demande d’autorisation d’intervenir comme amicus curiae dans l’affaire le Procureur c. Laurent Gbagbo et Charles Blé Goudé, 18 December 2017, (ICC-02/11-01/15-1093-Conf-Anx1), and request for dismissal in limine of substantive observations contained therein” (Filing ICC-02/11-01/15-1094-Conf)	Dear Counsel, The Presiding Judge instructs me to inform you that the Chamber, having noted filings no. 1093 and 1094 and in light of the nature of the issues on which the applicants would like to intervene as amicus curiae, grants the Prosecutor’s request (confidential filing no. 1094) for leave to respond to the application contained in confidential Annex 1 to confidential filing no. 1093. The Chamber considers it necessary and appropriate to allow all parties and participants to respond to the amicus curiae application, should they so wish. The submissions shall be made orally in the context of a hearing to be held after the completion of the testimony of Witness P-0564. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Presiding Judge

83	24/01/2018	Order to temporarily reclassify the “Decision on Prosecution application for non-standard redactions to material related to another and ongoing investigation in the CIV” (filing ICC-02/11-01/15-1109) as “confidential”	Dear colleagues, In light of the Prosecutor’s message below, and with a view to allowing the Chamber to consider the relevant arguments, the Presiding Judge instructs the Registry to temporarily reclassify filing no. 1109 as confidential. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Presiding Judge
84	23/03/2018	Decision shortening the time limit for responses to filing ICC-02/11-01/15-1137	Dear Counsel, The Single Judge notes the “Requête en suspension du délai alloué à la Défense pour répondre au «mid-trial brief» déposé par l’Accusation le 19 mars 2018 (ICC-02/11-01/15-1136) jusqu’à transmission de la traduction française de ce « mid-trial brief »” (ICC-02/11-01/15-1137), whereby the Defence for Mr Gbagbo requests that the time limit for responding to the Prosecutor’s Mid-trial brief be suspended until notification of its translation into French. In light of the fact that the time limit set for the responses to the Mid-trial Brief is currently running, there is a need for the Chamber to decide on the request on an urgent basis. For this reason, the time limit for the filing of any responses to filing 1137 is shortened to <u>Monday 26 March 2018 at 12 hours</u>. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Single Judge
85	28/03/2018	Decision granting Mr. Blé Goudé Defence request for an extension of time limits for responses to confidential filing no. 1138	Dear Counsel, The Presiding Judge instructs me to inform you that the Chamber has taken note of the request for extension of the time limit for responses to the “Prosecution’s application for the introduction of documentary evidence under paragraphs 43-44 of the directions on the conduct of the proceedings and as identified in its Mid-Trial Brief” dated 23 March 2018 (confidential filing no. 1138), submitted by Counsel for Mr Blé Goudé by email received by the Chamber earlier today and attached below.

			In light of the fact that the applicable time limit would expire during the forthcoming Spring recess and that the Defence teams' responses to the Prosecutor's Trial Brief are due on 23 April 2018, the Chamber grants the request, to the benefit of all parties and participants. The time limit for responses to filing no. 1138 is now set at 7 May 2018. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Presiding Judge
86	06/04/2018	Decision on the reclassification of filing no. 1145 and its annexes as "confidential" and setting of a time limit for observations	Dear Counsel, Dear colleagues, The Single Judge notes the ██████████ and its Annex (filing no. 1145), submitted on 4 April 2018 by the Registry on a confidential ex parte basis pursuant to the Presiding Judge's order. The Annex to the filing consists of ██████████ This report was ordered for the purposes of the Chamber's decision on the "Requête de la Défense visant à ce que soit ordonnée la mise en liberté provisoire de Laurent Gbagbo", submitted by the Defence for Mr Gbagbo on 14 February 2018 (confidential filing no. 1130 and annexes). The Chamber considers it appropriate to allow the parties to make observations ██████████ prior to deciding on the Defence Request. Accordingly, the Single Judge 1) orders the Registry to reclassify filing no. 1145 and its annex as confidential and 2) sets Friday 13 April 2018 as the time limit for the parties and participants to submit their observations ██████████, if they so wish. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Single Judge
87	17/05/2018	Clarification on the "Decision on the Prosecutor's application for protective measures for Witness P-0428" (ICC-02/11-01/15-1155-Conf)	Dear Counsel,

			The Presiding Judge instructs me to indicate that, in the view of the Chamber, it is one thing not to grant the Prosecutor's request for protective measures to be adopted if and when the testimony is disclosed or referred to in public documents; it is another thing is to make the decision on the Prosecutor's request entirely public. The redactions to the decision and the other relevant filings at this stage are justified by the need to avoid unwarranted attention to the witness, which might become a factor of risk by itself. Accordingly, the Defence should comply with the decision and file a public redacted version consistent with the redactions applied by the Chamber. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Presiding Judge
88	05/06/2018	Decision following the notification of security concerns regarding P-0108	Dear Counsel, The Presiding Judge informs the parties and participants that, following the Prosecutor's ██████████ ██████████ the Chamber has requested from the VWU information as to the security situation relevant to this Witness. By email to the Chamber dated 4 May 2018, the VWU noted that ██████████ ██████████ ██████████ In light of the above, no further action is required from the Chamber at this stage. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Presiding Judge
89	21/06/2018	Single Judge Decision on confidential filings no. 1102 and 1111	Dear Counsel, The Single Judge has taken note of the "Réponse de la Défense à la « Registry Transmission of the Declarations made by Twelve Witnesses pursuant to rule 68(2)(b) of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence » (ICC-02/11-01/15-1090-Conf)" submitted by the Defence for Mr Gbagbo on 11

			January 2018 (confidential filing no. 1102) and of the Prosecutor’s response thereto dated 26 January 2018 (confidential filing no. 1111). The Single Judge notes that, in spite of its title and as pointed out by the Prosecutor, Mr Gbagbo’s “response” amounts to a request to consider as inadmissible the witnesses’ declarations transmitted by the Registry with confidential filing no. 1090. The Single Judge recalls the Chamber’s “Decision on the submission and admission of evidence” dated 29 January 2016 (filing no. 405), deferring decisions on the admissibility and relevance of the evidence submitted to the final judgment, “except when an intermediate ruling is required under the Statute or otherwise appropriate”. Having considered the nature and content of the objections raised by the Defence for Mr Gbagbo in its request, the Single Judge takes the view that a ruling at this stage is neither required under the Statute nor otherwise appropriate under the circumstances. Both the adequacy of the system adopted by the Registry in ascertaining the identity of the witnesses and any issue of reliability or credibility which might arise in connection with corrections made to the statements will be duly assessed in accordance with Decision no. 405. Accordingly, the Single Judge decides (i) that there is no reason to depart from the system adopted by the Chamber in its Decision no. 405 and (ii) that the declarations and attestations transmitted by the Registry with confidential filing no. 1090 are recognised as submitted. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Single Judge
90	03/07/2018	Order to reclassify filings no. 1102 and 1191+ Annex 1 as “public”	Dear colleagues, The Single Judge notes the email exchange and requests for reclassification below and takes the view that both filing no 1102 and 1191 (as well as Annex 1 thereto), currently classified as “confidential, should indeed be reclassified as public. Filing no. 1192 should retain its classification as public. The Presiding Judge orders the Registry to proceed to the reclassification accordingly. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Single Judge

91	12/07/2018	Decision on the request for extension of time limit for responses to Prosecutor's filing ICC-02/11-01/15-1196	Dear Counsel, The Presiding Judge instructs me to inform you that the Chamber has considered the request by the Defence for Mr Gbagbo, joined by the Defence for Mr Blé Goudé and not opposed by the Prosecutor, that the time limit for responses to the "Prosecution's application for non-standard redactions pursuant to rules 81(2) and 81(4) of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence" (confidential redacted filing no. 1196) be extended. In light of the subject matter of the Prosecutor's request, the current schedule of the proceedings and the forthcoming summer recess, the request is granted to the benefit of all parties and participants: responses to filing no. 1196 shall be filed no later than <u>27 August 2018</u>. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Presiding Judge
92	18/07/2018	Decision on the Defence's request for extension of time limit for submissions pursuant to the Second Order on the further conduct of the proceedings (ICC-02/11-01/15-1174)	Dear Counsel, The Presiding Judge instructs me to inform you that the request is granted, also to the benefit of the Defence for Mr Blé Goudé. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Presiding Judge
93	24/09/2018	Clarification on the "Decision on the Defence requests relating to the Prosecutor's response to the Defence motions for acquittal and to the scheduling of the hearing to be held on 1 October 2048 (filings no. 1208 and 1211)" (filing no. 1212)	Dear Counsel, The Presiding Judge instructs me to clarify that all the filings which are relevant to the 1 October hearing must be made available in public redacted form: this includes the Defence submissions filed in compliance with the Second Order (filings no 1198 and 1199), the Prosecutor's and the LRV's responses thereto (filings no. 1207 and 1206), as well as the submissions triggered by the request by the Defence for Mr Gbagbo (filings no. 1208, 1209, 1210 and 1211). Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Presiding Judge
94	28/09/2018	Order to reclassify filings no. 1208, 1209 and 1210 as public	Dear colleagues,

			In light of the requests sent by email on 25 September 2018 by the LRV (at 11:50), the Prosecutor (at 12:06) and the Defence for Mr Gbagbo (at 13:13) following the order contained in the "Decision on Defence requests relating to the Prosecutor's response to the Defence motions for acquittal and to the scheduling of the hearing to be held on 1 October 2018 (filings no. 1208 and 1211)" dated 21 September 2018 (filing no 1212), the Single Judge orders the Registry to reclassify confidential filings no. ICC-02/11-01/15-1208, ICC-02/11-01/15-1209, ICC-02/11-01/15-1210 as public. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Single Judge
95	11/10/2018	Order on the request for correction of transcripts	Dear Counsel, The Presiding Judge instructs me to inform you that the issue raised in the Prosecutor's email below is best addressed by way of a request for correction of the relevant transcripts, as proposed by Counsel for Mr Gbagbo. The Prosecutor is therefore instructed to proceed accordingly. Kind regards, ██████████ on behalf of the Presiding Judge
96	24/10/2018	Decision amending the hearing schedule	Dear Counsel, In light of the circumstances detailed by the Prosecutor and the Defence teams in their email exchange under the subject "<i>Special request for the hearing also to be postponed on Thursday 15 November</i>", the Chamber takes the view that the Prosecutor's request is warranted in light of the fact that the members of the Prosecutor's team are not in a position to change their commitments. Accordingly, the Prosecutor's request is granted; the Chamber will not sit on Thursday 15 November. By the same token, similar considerations apply to the commitment by Counsel for Mr Blé Goudé and therefore the Chamber will sit on Wednesday 21 November only from 09.30 to 11.30 hours (one two hours session). In the event that by Wednesday 21 November at 11.30 hours the Defence will not have completed its submissions, the hearing will be adjourned to Thursday 22 November for their completion.

			Kind regards, Cuno Tarfusser
97	07/11/2018	Decision on Mr Gbagbo's request to lift existing protective measures in respect of Witnesses P-0114, P-0607 and P-0501	Dear Counsel, The Presiding Judge instructs me to inform you that the Chamber has noted Mr Gbagbo's request below and the Prosecutor's objection to it. In light of the updated security assessment submitted by the VWU to the Chamber on an ex parte confidential basis pursuant to the Presiding Judge's instructions (confidential ex-parte filing no. 1220), the Chamber believes that it is necessary and appropriate to keep in place the existing security measures to the benefit of Witnesses P-0114, P-0607 and P-0501, with a view to avoiding an increase in the security risks for both the witnesses and their immediate families and thus the defeat of the very purpose of the measures originally granted. More specifically, the Chamber notes (i) that the relevant measures had been recommended by the VWU and granted by the Chamber in light of either the working or the residential circumstances specific to the relevant witnesses; (ii) that those circumstances have not in the meantime changed to such an extent as to make the measures no longer necessary and (iii) that, accordingly, revoking the measures would be tantamount to re-triggering or enhancing the security risks addressed by the measures. Accordingly, the Chamber decides that, in these circumstances, the lifting of the measures is not warranted and the limitation to the principle of the publicity of the proceedings remains justified. By the same token, and bearing in mind the scope and purpose of the hearing, the Chamber considers that it is possible for the Defence to make reference to the substance and the content of the testimonies of those witnesses in such a way as to avoid revealing their identity. It will be Counsel's responsibility to decide whether they wish to debate issues relating to those testimonies in public or in private session; in the former case, it will be Counsel's responsibility to make sure that observations are formulated in such a way as to avoid resulting in identifying the witnesses, or in determining a risk that such identification might occur. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Presiding Judge

98	19/12/2018	Decision on the request for correction of transcripts T-224, T-225 and T-226	Dear Charlotte, The Presiding Judge has asked me to inform you that the Registry should treat these requests in the same way as those of a similar nature submitted by the Prosecutor and that, accordingly, the Registry is authorised to proceed. The Presiding Judge clarifies that this is without prejudice to the Registry reminding the Defence and all parties and participants that recourse to correction requests under these circumstances is and should remain exceptional. Thank you and kind regards. ██████████ on behalf of the Presiding Judge
99	24/12/2018	Decision on the request for reclassification of filing ICC-02/11-01/15-1230 and eight redacted annexes of filing ICC-02/11-01/15-1228	Dear colleagues, The Presiding Judge of Trial Chamber I has instructed me to ask you to reclassify the following filing: Réponse de la Défense à la « Transmission of Applications for Resumption of Action Initiated by Deceased Victims » (ICC-02/11-01/15-1228). ICC-02/11-01/15-1230-Conf Said filing should be reclassified to 'Public'. The Chamber also instructs the Registry to consider whether there is any need to maintain the classification as 'Confidential' of the eight <u>redacted</u> annexes of the Registry's Transmission of Applications for Resumption of Action Initiated by Deceased Victims ICC-02/11-01/15-1228 Given the nature and extent of the redactions applied, the Chamber does not immediately see a justification for this classification. The Chamber notes, in this regard, that the Transmission states that "the redacted versions of these Applications are classified "confidential" in accordance with the Decision." [para. 5, with reference to the Trial Chamber's Decision of 11 October 2017, ICC-02/11-01/15-1052, para. 17(b)]. However, said decision does not contain any instruction to file redacted versions as 'Confidential'. Accordingly, unless the Registry has cogent reasons for maintaining these redacted forms as confidential, in which case the Chamber must be informed thereof, they should also be reclassified as public.

			Sincerely, Legal Officer								
100	17/01/2019	Order to reclassify filing ICC-02/11-01/15-1232-Conf as public	Dear colleagues, In light of the request below, the Single Judge orders the Registry to reclassify filing no. ICC-02/11-01/15-1232-Conf as public. Thank you and kind regards. on behalf of the Single Judge								
101	16/07/2019	Order to reclassify sections of transcripts as public and to prepare amended redacted versions	Dear colleagues, the Single Judge instructs you to reclassify as “public” the sections of transcripts contained in the table below and to prepare, as soon as feasible, amended redacted versions of the transcripts both in English and French. Thank you and kind regards. on behalf of the Single Judge <table><tr><td></td><td>Transcript of the hearing, 24 May 2016, ICC-02/11-01/15-T-43-Conf-ENG, p. 10 line 10 to 11</td></tr><tr><td></td><td>Transcript of the hearing, 14 June 2016, ICC-02/11-01/15-T-50-Conf-FRA, p. 91 line 15 to p. 92 line 17</td></tr><tr><td></td><td>Transcript of the hearing, 16 June 2016, ICC-02/11-01/15-T-52-Conf-FRA, p. 27 line 1 to 12</td></tr><tr><td></td><td>Transcript of the hearing, 16 June 2016, ICC-02/11-01/15-T-52-Conf-FRA, p. 42 line 3 to 18</td></tr></table>		Transcript of the hearing, 24 May 2016, ICC-02/11-01/15-T-43-Conf-ENG, p. 10 line 10 to 11		Transcript of the hearing, 14 June 2016, ICC-02/11-01/15-T-50-Conf-FRA, p. 91 line 15 to p. 92 line 17		Transcript of the hearing, 16 June 2016, ICC-02/11-01/15-T-52-Conf-FRA, p. 27 line 1 to 12		Transcript of the hearing, 16 June 2016, ICC-02/11-01/15-T-52-Conf-FRA, p. 42 line 3 to 18
	Transcript of the hearing, 24 May 2016, ICC-02/11-01/15-T-43-Conf-ENG, p. 10 line 10 to 11										
	Transcript of the hearing, 14 June 2016, ICC-02/11-01/15-T-50-Conf-FRA, p. 91 line 15 to p. 92 line 17										
	Transcript of the hearing, 16 June 2016, ICC-02/11-01/15-T-52-Conf-FRA, p. 27 line 1 to 12										
	Transcript of the hearing, 16 June 2016, ICC-02/11-01/15-T-52-Conf-FRA, p. 42 line 3 to 18										

				Transcript of the hearing, 16 June 2016, ICC-02/11-01/15-T-52-Conf-FRA, p. 61 line 4 to 9
				Transcript of the hearing, 16 June 2016, ICC-02/11-01/15-T-52-Conf-FRA, p. 63 line 7 to 13
				Transcript of the hearing, 16 June 2016, ICC-02/11-01/15-T-52-Conf-FRA, p. 83 line 10 to 14
				Transcript of the hearing, 16 June 2016, ICC-02/11-01/15-T-52- Conf-FRA, p. 87 line 2 to 10
				Transcript of the hearing, 16 June 2016, ICC-02/11-01/15-T-52-Conf-FRA, p. 87 line 20 to 22
				Transcript of the hearing, 16 June 2016, ICC-02/11-01/15-T-52-Conf-FRA, p. 92 line 8 to 12
				Transcript of the hearing, 6 July 2016, ICC-02/11-01/15-T-59-Conf-FRA, p. 36 line 23 to 25
				Transcript of the hearing, 7 July 2016, ICC-02/11-01/15-T-60-Conf-ENG, p. 36 line 4 to 23
				Transcript of the hearing, 7 July 2016, ICC-02/11-01/15-T-60-Conf-ENG, p. 60 line 18 to 25
				Transcript of the hearing, 7 July 2016, ICC-02/11-01/15-T-60-Conf-FRA, p. 72 line 21 to 24
				Transcript of the hearing, 8 July 2016, ICC-02/11-01/15-T-61-Conf-ENG, p. 24 line 20 to 24
				Transcript of the hearing, 8 July 2016, ICC-02/11-01/15-T-61-Conf-ENG, p. 47 line 22 to p. 48 line 3

			Transcript of the hearing, 11 July 2016, ICC-02/11-01/15-T-62-Conf-FRA, p. 51 line 12 to 14
			Transcript of the hearing, 12 July 2016, ICC-02/11-01/15-T-63-Conf-FRA, p. 49 line 11 to 16
			Transcript of the hearing, 13 July 2016, ICC-02/11-01/15-T-64-Conf-FRA, p. 60 line 8 to 12 And p. 60 line 18 to 22
			Transcript of the hearing, 13 July 2016, ICC-02/11-01/15-T-64-Conf-FRA, p. 61 line 27 to p. 62 line 6.
			Transcript of the hearing, 13 July 2016, ICC-02/11-01/15-T-64-Conf-FRA, p. 64 line 13 to p. 65 line 19
			Transcript of the hearing, 7 September 2016, ICC-02/11-01/15-T-72-Conf-FRA, p. 54 line 25 to p. 55 line 1.
			Transcript of the hearing, 7 September 2016, ICC-02/11-01/15-T-72-Conf-FRA, p. 55 line 2 to 8
			Transcript of the hearing, 28 September 2016, ICC-02/11-01/15-T-81-Conf-FRA, p. 72 line 21 to 26
			Transcript of the hearing, 28 September 2016, ICC-02/11-01/15-T-81-Conf-FRA, p. 73 line 17 to 18
			Transcript of the hearing, 28 September 2016, ICC-02/11-01/15-T-81-Conf-FRA, p.73 line 20 to p. 74 line 1
			Transcript of the hearing, 29 September 2016, ICC-02/11-01/15-T-82-Conf-FRA, p. 48 line 19
			Transcript of the hearing, 29 September 2016, ICC-02/11-01/15-T-82-Conf-FRA, p. 49 line 21 to 23

			Transcript of the hearing, 30 September 2016, ICC-02/11-01/15-T-83-Conf-FRA, p. 42 line 6 to 7
			Transcript of the hearing, 30 September 2016, ICC-02/11-01/15-T-83-Conf-FRA, p. 43 line 5 to 19
			Transcript of the hearing, 30 September 2016, ICC-02/11-01/15-T-83-Conf-FRA, p. 59 line 22 to 24
			Transcript of the hearing, 30 September 2016, ICC-02/11-01/15-T-83-Conf-FRA, p. 62 line 2 to 21
			Transcript of the hearing, 30 September 2016, ICC-02/11-01/15-T-83-Conf-FRA, p. 64 line 6 to 8
			Transcript of the hearing, 30 September 2016, ICC-02/11-01/15-T-83-Conf-FRA, p. 67 line 12 to 19
			Transcript of the hearing, 16 February 2017, ICC-02/11-01/15-T-124-Conf-FRA, p. 78 line 13 to p. 86 line 6
			Transcript of the hearing, 17 February 2017, ICC-02/11-01/15-T-125-Conf-FRA, p. 49 line 1 to p. 52 line 20
			Transcript of the hearing, 17 February 2017, ICC-02/11-01/15-T-125-Conf-FRA, p. 55 line 26 to p. 56 line 22
			Transcript of the hearing, 9 March 2017, ICC-02/11-01/15-T-131-Conf-ENG, p. 78 line 23 to p. 79 line 10
			Transcript of the hearing, 13 March 2017, ICC-02/11-01/15-T-134-Conf-FRA, p. 20 line 6 to 15
			Transcript of the hearing, 5 April 2017, ICC-02/11-01/15-T-144-Conf-ENG, p. 94 line 6 to p. 95 line 5

					Transcript of the hearing, 25 April 2017, ICC-02/11-01/15-T-146-Conf-FRA, p. 68 line 21 to 27
--	--	--	--	--	---