

Confidential

ANNEX A

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION.....	3
CONFIDENTIALITY LEVEL.....	3
SUBMISSIONS	4
I. MANY OF ONGWEN’S ARGUMENTS CAN BE DISMISSED <i>IN LIMINE</i>	4
I.A. ONGWEN FAILS TO SUBSTANTIATE HIS ARGUMENTS	4
I.B. ONGWEN INCORPORATES BY REFERENCE ARGUMENTS FROM PREVIOUS SUBMISSIONS.....	5
I.C. STRUCTURE OF THE PROSECUTION’S RESPONSE	6
II. ONGWEN’S FAIR TRIAL RIGHTS WERE RESPECTED: GROUNDS 1-4, 11-186	
II.A. ONGWEN’S ARGUMENTS REGARDING THE BREACH OF HIS RIGHTS DURING ARREST AND SURRENDER SHOULD BE DISMISSED <i>IN LIMINE</i>	7
II.B. THE CHAMBER CORRECTLY FOUND THAT ONGWEN’S RIGHTS WERE NOT VIOLATED IN THE ARTICLE 56 HEARINGS (GROUNDS 1-3).....	8
<i>II.B.1. The article 56 proceedings did not violate Ongwen’s right to notice.....</i>	<i>8</i>
<i>II.B.2. The Single Judge was not conflicted in presiding over the article 56 proceedings and in confirming the charges.....</i>	<i>12</i>
<i>II.B.3. The Single Judge did not impose a procedural bar to objections on the article 56 proceedings</i>	<i>15</i>
<i>II.B.4. The Chamber provided reasons when rejecting Ongwen’s submissions</i>	<i>16</i>
<i>II.B.5. The Chamber did not prejudicially rely on the article 56 evidence.....</i>	<i>16</i>
II.C. THE CHAMBER DID NOT PROCEED TO TRIAL ON AN ILLEGAL GUILTY PLEA (GROUND 4) 17	
<i>II.C.1. The Chamber properly ascertained under article 64(8)(a) that Ongwen understood the nature of the charges</i>	<i>17</i>
<i>II.C.2. There was no error in the manner in which the charges were read at trial.....</i>	<i>18</i>
<i>II.C.3. Ongwen received notice of the charges in Acholi prior to the start of the trial</i>	<i>20</i>
<i>II.C.4. Ongwen was not prevented from understanding the charges by any mental disability.....</i>	<i>22</i>
II.D. THE CHAMBER RESPECTED ONGWEN’S FAIR TRIAL RIGHTS UNDER ARTICLE 67(1)(F) (GROUND 11).....	23
II.E. THE CHAMBER DID NOT ERR IN REJECTING ONGWEN’S DISCLOSURE OBJECTIONS (GROUND 12).....	24
II.F. THE CHAMBER DID NOT ERR REGARDING THE PROSECUTION’S SELECTION OF WITNESSES AND COLLECTION OF EVIDENCE (GROUND 13).....	25
II.G. THE CHAMBER DID NOT DISCRIMINATE AGAINST ONGWEN BASED ON MENTAL DISABILITY (GROUNDS 14-15).....	28
<i>II.G.1. The Chamber reasonably accommodated Ongwen’s needs</i>	<i>28</i>
<i>II.G.2. The Chamber did not deny Ongwen the right to decide whether to testify</i>	<i>29</i>

II.H. THE CHAMBER DID NOT ERR IN ITS DETERMINATION OF ONGWEN'S APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE TO APPEAL (GROUND 16).....	31
II.I. THE CHAMBER DID NOT ERR IN FINDING THAT ONGWEN'S FAIR TRIAL ALLEGATIONS WERE UNFOUNDED (GROUND 17)	32
II.J. THE CHAMBER DID NOT ERR IN REJECTING ONGWEN'S REQUEST TO CALL AN SGBC EXPERT (GROUND 18).....	34
II.K. CONCLUSION.....	36
III. THE CONFIRMATION DECISION WAS NOT DEFECTIVE AND THE CHAMBER DID NOT EXPAND THE SCOPE OF THE CHARGES: GROUNDS 5 AND 6.....	36
III.A. THE CHARGES WERE NOT DEFECTIVE AND ONGWEN RECEIVED ADEQUATE NOTICE (GROUND 5).....	36
<i>III.A.1. Ongwen confuses the Charges with the Pre-Trial Chamber's reasoning in the Confirmation Decision.....</i>	<i>37</i>
<i>III.A.2. The Pre-trial Chamber provided adequate reasoning.....</i>	<i>38</i>
<i>III.A.3. The Charges need not include the elements of the crimes nor the evidence</i>	<i>39</i>
<i>III.A.4. The Charges contained all relevant factual allegations.....</i>	<i>42</i>
III.B. INDIRECT CO-PERPETRATION IS COMPATIBLE WITH THE STATUTE (GROUND 5).....	48
<i>III.B.1. Indirect co-perpetration is consistent with the Statute</i>	<i>48</i>
<i>III.B.2. The Chamber correctly interpreted article 25(3)(a).....</i>	<i>51</i>
III.C. NO PREJUDICE ARISES FROM THE CHAMBER'S DECISION TO DISMISS ONGWEN'S CHALLENGES <i>IN LIMINE</i> (GROUND 5).....	52
III.D. THE TRIAL CHAMBER DID NOT EXPAND THE SCOPE OF THE CHARGES OR PREJUDICIALLY RELY ON EVIDENCE OF UNCHARGED ACTS (GROUND 6).....	54
<i>III.D.1. The Confirmation Decision was not inconsistent as to the scope of the case</i>	<i>54</i>
<i>III.D.2. The Chamber did not expand the scope of the case or prejudicially rely on uncharged allegations.....</i>	<i>56</i>
IV. THE CHAMBER'S PROCEDURE FOR SUBMISSION OF DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE WAS CONSISTENT WITH THE STATUTE AND PROPERLY APPLIED: GROUNDS 9, 10, 23.....	59
IV.A. THE CHAMBER'S "SUBMISSION REGIME" ACCORDS WITH THE STATUTE (GROUND 23). 59	
<i>IV.A.1. The Appeals Chamber has confirmed the statutory foundation of the "submission regime"</i>	<i>59</i>
<i>IV.A.2. The Court's jurisprudence is consistent</i>	<i>62</i>
<i>IV.A.3. The Chamber correctly applied the "submission regime"</i>	<i>62</i>
IV.B. THE CHAMBER DID NOT ERR BY NOT EXCLUDING PARTS OF PCV-0001'S REPORT (GROUNDS 9-10).....	64
V. THE CHAMBER CORRECTLY ENTERED ALL PERMISSIBLE CUMULATIVE CONVICTIONS: GROUNDS 20-22	65

V.A. ONGWEN INCORRECTLY RELIES ON ARTICLE 20 OF THE STATUTE AND A “CONDUCT-BASED” APPROACH	66
V.B. CONVICTIONS FOR CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY AND WAR CRIMES ARE PERMISSIBLY CUMULATIVE	69
V.C. CONVICTIONS FOR RAPE AND SEXUAL SLAVERY, AND FOR OTHER INHUMANE ACTS (FORCED MARRIAGE) AND SEXUAL SLAVERY, ARE PERMISSIBLY CUMULATIVE.....	69
VI. THE CHAMBER APPLIED THE CORRECT STANDARDS: GROUNDS 7-8, 10 (IN PART), 25, 45	70
VI.A. THE CHAMBER CORRECTLY ARTICULATED, AND APPLIED, THE ‘BEYOND REASONABLE DOUBT’ STANDARD	70
<i>VI.A.1. The Chamber correctly articulated the standard of proof.....</i>	<i>71</i>
<i>VI.A.2. The Chamber correctly applied the standard of proof.....</i>	<i>72</i>
VI.B. THE CHAMBER CORRECTLY INTERPRETED, AND APPLIED, THE STANDARD OF PROOF FOR AFFIRMATIVE DEFENCES	73
VI.C. THE CHAMBER CORRECTLY ALLOWED P-0447’S REPORT INTO EVIDENCE.....	75
VII. THERE WERE NO GROUNDS EXCLUDING ONGWEN’S CRIMINAL LIABILITY: GROUNDS 19, 26-27, 29-44, 46-58, 61-63.....	77
VII.A. ONGWEN DID NOT SUFFER FROM A MENTAL DISEASE OR DEFECT UNDER ARTICLE 31(1)(A) (GROUNDS 19, 26 (IN PART), 27-43, 47 (IN PART))	77
<i>VII.A.1. The Chamber reasonably assessed the evidence and entered findings</i>	<i>78</i>
<i>VII.A.2. The Chamber properly applied the standard of proof.....</i>	<i>85</i>
<i>VII.A.3. The Chamber was correct and reasonable in its approach to the evidence of the Defence experts (Grounds 27, 29, 31-32, 35-41).....</i>	<i>86</i>
<i>VII.A.4. The Chamber correctly and reasonably assessed the Court expert’s evidence (Grounds 19, 42)</i>	<i>116</i>
<i>VII.A.5. The Chamber was correct and reasonable in its approach to the evidence of Ongwen’s abduction (Grounds 26 (in part), 28, and 47 (in part))</i>	<i>118</i>
<i>VII.A.6. The Chamber assessed the intersection between culture and mental health (Grounds 30, 34, 36, 43)</i>	<i>120</i>
<i>VII.A.7. The Chamber correctly and reasonably assessed the evidence of Dr. Abbo (Ground 33).....</i>	<i>128</i>
<i>VII.A.8. Conclusion</i>	<i>135</i>
VII.B. ONGWEN’S CRIMINAL CONDUCT WAS NOT CAUSED BY DURESS IN THE MEANING OF ARTICLE 31(1)(D) (GROUNDS 26 (IN PART), 44, 46, 47 (IN PART), 48-56, 58, 61-63)	135
<i>VII.B.1. The Chamber properly defined the law under article 31(1)(d) (Ground 44)</i>	<i>137</i>
<i>VII.B.2. The Chamber properly assessed Ongwen’s status in the LRA hierarchy and the applicability of the LRA disciplinary regime to him (Grounds 26 (in part), 46, 47 (in part), 48).....</i>	<i>140</i>

<i>VII.B.3. The Chamber properly considered Ongwen's commission of crimes in private (Ground 49).....</i>	<i>145</i>
<i>VII.B.4. The Chamber reasonably assessed the execution of senior LRA commanders on Kony's order (Grounds 50, 51, 56).....</i>	<i>145</i>
<i>VII.B.5. The Chamber reasonably considered that Ongwen could escape or leave the LRA (Grounds 52, 53, 54).....</i>	<i>149</i>
<i>VII.B.6. The Chamber considered Kony's alleged spiritual powers (Ground 55).....</i>	<i>154</i>
<i>VII.B.7. The Chamber properly assessed D-0133's evidence (Grounds 61, 62, 63).....</i>	<i>157</i>
<i>VII.B.8. The Chamber properly rejected Ongwen's submission regarding the Ugandan Government's alleged failure to protect him as a child (Ground 58).....</i>	<i>162</i>
<i>VII.B.9. Conclusion.....</i>	<i>163</i>
VIII. THE TRIAL CHAMBER REASONABLY AND CORRECTLY ASSESSED THE EVIDENCE (GROUNDS 60, 70, 71, 24).....	163
VIII.A. THE CHAMBER REASONABLY AND CORRECTLY ASSESSED WITNESS TESTIMONY	164
VIII.B. THE CHAMBER REASONABLY AND CORRECTLY ASSESSED THE EVIDENCE (GROUNDS 60, 70).....	165
<i>VIII.B.1. The Chamber reasonably and correctly assessed the evidence challenged by Ongwen in grounds 60 and 70</i>	<i>165</i>
<i>VIII.B.2. The Chamber assessed the totality of the evidence in making factual findings.....</i>	<i>168</i>
VIII.C. THE CHAMBER REASONABLY AND CORRECTLY ASSESSED EVIDENCE (GROUNDS 71, 24).....	174
<i>VIII.C.1. The Chamber considered relevant factors to assess the witnesses' credibility.....</i>	<i>174</i>
<i>VIII.C.2. The Chamber reasonably and correctly assessed the credibility of P-0205, P-0054 and P-0309.....</i>	<i>175</i>
IX. THE CHAMBER REASONABLY AND CORRECTLY FOUND ONGWEN CRIMINALLY LIABLE UNDER ARTICLE 25(3)(A): GROUNDS 64-69	177
IX.A. THE CHAMBER REASONABLY AND CORRECTLY FOUND THAT ONGWEN HAD CONTROL OVER THE CRIMES AND ESSENTIALLY CONTRIBUTED TO THEM (GROUND 64)	178
<i>IX.A.1. The Chamber's conclusions were sufficiently reasoned.....</i>	<i>178</i>
<i>IX.A.2. The Chamber correctly attributed responsibility to Ongwen for the crimes committed by the Sinia brigade.....</i>	<i>179</i>
<i>IX.A.3. The Chamber was not required to identify all victims by their names</i>	<i>179</i>
<i>IX.A.4. Ongwen played an essential role in defining and sustaining a system of abduction.....</i>	<i>181</i>
<i>IX.A.5. Ongwen had the required mens rea for SGBC</i>	<i>182</i>

IX.B. THE CHAMBER REASONABLY AND CORRECTLY ASSESSED THE LRA'S STRUCTURE AND ONGWEN'S ROLE (GROUND 65)	182
<i>IX.B.1. Ongwen received sufficient notice of the facts pertaining to his ability to frustrate the commission of the crimes</i>	182
<i>IX.B.2. The Chamber's findings were consistent</i>	184
IX.C. ONGWEN AND HIS CO-PERPETRATORS RELIED ON LRA SOLDIERS TO ABDUCT AND DISTRIBUTE WOMEN AND GIRLS (GROUND 66).....	187
IX.D. THE LRA HAD A FUNCTIONING HIERARCHY (GROUND 67)	187
IX.E. THE CHAMBER CONSIDERED ONGWEN'S SUBMISSION THAT HE AS A "TOOL" OF KONY (GROUND 68).....	187
<i>IX.E.1. The Chamber's findings on recruitment, initiation, training, and service in the LRA, and Ongwen's personal situation, were not inconsistent</i>	187
<i>IX.E.2. The Chamber reasonably and correctly attributed to Ongwen the conduct of Sinia brigade fighters</i>	189
IX.F. ONGWEN SHARED A COMMON PLAN TO CONSCRIPT AND USE CHILDREN UNDER THE AGE OF 15 (GROUND 69)	190
<i>IX.F.1. Ongwen's participation in the common plan to conscript and use children under the age of 15 was pleaded correctly</i>	190
<i>IX.F.2. The Chamber correctly found that Ongwen shared a common plan with Kony and the Sinia brigade leadership to conscript and use children under the age of 15</i>	191

X. THE CHAMBER CORRECTLY AUTHENTICATED, ASSESSED AND RELIED ON INTERCEPTS, LOGBOOKS AND SHORTHAND NOTES: GROUNDS 72-73 .. 192

X.A. THE CHAMBER CORRECTLY APPLIED THE 'SUBMISSION REGIME' TO THE INTERCEPTS	193
X.B. THE CHAMBER CORRECTLY AUTHENTICATED AND ASSESSED THE LOGBOOKS	195
<i>X.B.1 The Chamber assessed item-by-item the logbooks it relied on in the case</i>	196
<i>X.B.2. The Chamber relied on logbook entries when corroborated</i>	199
<i>X.B.3. The Chamber properly assessed and relied on interceptor evidence</i>	200
<i>X.B.4. The Chamber appropriately preferred logbooks over shorthand notes, intercept evidence in intelligence reports and evidence related to TONFAS codes</i>	201
<i>X.B.5. The Chamber entered reasonable and correct findings based on intercept evidence</i>	204

XI. ONGWEN WAS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE CRIMES COMMITTED DURING THE FOUR IDP CAMP ATTACKS: GROUNDS 74-82..... 209

XI.A. ONGWEN WAS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE PAJULE IDP CAMP ATTACK (GROUNDS 74-76) .	209
<i>XI.A.1. Ongwen's conviction for the Pajule attack is consistent with the LRA's hierarchical structure</i>	209
<i>XI.A.2. Ongwen agreed to attack the Pajule IDP camp</i>	211
<i>XI.A.3. Ongwen was not indisposed by arrest or physical injury</i>	213

<i>XI.A.4. Ongwen possessed the requisite mens rea</i>	<i>214</i>
<i>XI.A.5. Ongwen essentially contributed to the common plan</i>	<i>214</i>
XI.B. ONGWEN WAS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ODEK IDP CAMP ATTACK (GROUNDS 77-79) ..	215
<i>XI.B.1. Ongwen agreed to attack the Odek IDP camp.....</i>	<i>216</i>
<i>XI.B.2. The testimonies of P-0410, P-0205 and P-0054 were compatible and corroborative.....</i>	<i>219</i>
<i>XI.B.3. The Chamber correctly considered the witnesses as credible</i>	<i>221</i>
<i>XI.B.4. There was no evidence raising a reasonable doubt.....</i>	<i>221</i>
<i>XI.B.5. Ongwen essentially contributed to the common plan</i>	<i>222</i>
XI.C. ONGWEN WAS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ABOK IDP CAMP ATTACK (GROUND 80)	223
<i>XI.C.1. The Chamber properly assessed intercepted radio communications</i>	<i>223</i>
<i>XI.C.2. The Chamber did not change the confirmed charges</i>	<i>224</i>
XI.D. ONGWEN WAS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE LUKODI IDP CAMP ATTACK (GROUNDS 81-82).....	225
<i>XI.D.1. The Chamber properly assessed P-0205's testimony.....</i>	<i>225</i>
<i>XI.D.2. The Chamber properly assessed the location of the meeting</i>	<i>227</i>
<i>XI.D.3. Ongwen had the required mens rea.....</i>	<i>228</i>
XII. ONGWEN WAS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE CONSCRIPTION AND USE OF CHILDREN UNDER THE AGE OF 15: GROUNDS 83-86	228
<i>XII.A. THE TRIAL CHAMBER'S APPROACH ON AGE DETERMINATION FOR CHILDREN UNDER 15 WAS CORRECT AND BASED ON DETAILED ASSESSMENT OF AMPLE EVIDENCE.....</i>	<i>229</i>
XIII. ONGWEN WAS RESPONSIBLE FOR SEXUAL AND GENDER BASED CRIMES: GROUNDS 66 (IN PART), 87-90	232
XIII.A. THE CHAMBERS CORRECTLY INTERPRETED THE PARAMETERS OF THE SGBC CHARGES	233
<i>XIII.A.1. The SGBC charges regarding P-0099, P-0101 and P-0214 were properly pled.....</i>	<i>233</i>
<i>XIII.A.2. SGBC acts and evidence were properly relied on.....</i>	<i>236</i>
XIII.B THE CHAMBER PROPERLY CONVICTED ONGWEN OF FORCED MARRIAGE AS AN INHUMANE ACT	240
<i>XIII.B.1. Other inhumane acts (including forced marriage) is a crime.....</i>	<i>240</i>
<i>XIII.B.2. The Chamber's factual findings on other inhumane acts (forced marriage) were reasoned, reasonable and correct</i>	<i>244</i>
<i>XIII.B.3. Ongwen was responsible for other inhumane acts (forced marriage) (indirect SGBC).....</i>	<i>245</i>
XIII.C. THE CHAMBER PROPERLY CONVICTED ONGWEN OF FORCED PREGNANCY	247
<i>XIII.C.1. The Chamber correctly interpreted the crime of forced pregnancy</i>	<i>248</i>

<i>XIII.C.2. The Chamber’s factual findings on forced pregnancy were reasoned, reasonable and correct.....</i>	<i>250</i>
RELIEF SOUGHT.....	252