

From: Trial Chamber IX Communications
Sent: 24 July 2020 18:36
To: [REDACTED]; Trial Chamber IX Communications;
Subject: RE: Request for Guidance
Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Dear parties and participants,

The Chamber agrees with the proposal as described in the email of the Defence below.
 This means lesser redacted versions of the transcripts can be issued without further explicit approval by the Chamber in case that there is no objection.
 In case of disagreements, the Chamber will provide a ruling.
 Should an entire transcript be reclassified, an email pursuant to Regulation 23*bis*(3) of the Regulations of the Court can be sent.

Kind regards,
 Trial Chamber IX

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 24 July 2020 13:36
To: [REDACTED] Trial Chamber IX Communications
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: Request for Guidance

Dear All,

The LRVs also support the proposal by the Defence.

Best regards,

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 24 July 2020 11:49
To: [REDACTED] Trial Chamber IX Communications
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Request for Guidance

Dear all,

I write just to confirm that the Prosecution supports this proposal, and to thank Mr [REDACTED] for sending the email.

Best regards,

[REDACTED]

From: Massidda, Paolina
Sent: 24 July 2020 11:14
To: Trial Chamber IX Communications
Cc: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Subject: RE: Request for Guidance

Dear All,
The CLRV supports the proposal to proceed in the same manner as at trial and the request for guidance.
Kind regards,
Paolina Massidda

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 24 July 2020 11:11
To: Trial Chamber IX Communications
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Request for Guidance

Dear Trial Chamber IX, Prosecution and Legal Representatives,

I just got off of a phone call with [REDACTED] from the Prosecution. We discussed the issues related to lesser redacted versions of transcripts and reclassification of transcripts during this period.

The Defence and Prosecution feel that it will be more efficient with the reclassification of transcripts if the Parties and Participants proceed in the same manner as was done during trial, i.e. where the Parties and Participants send around its recommendations in an inter partes manner and only seeks an order from the Chamber when there is a dispute. The only issue would be, as was done today, if an entire transcript is requested to be reclassified as public. The Parties have no problem with requesting the reclassification if there is no dispute, but this is within the powers of the Chamber.

The Parties, and I'm assuming the Participants (even though I have not spoken with them yet), seek the Chamber's guidance on the proper manner to proceed with proposals for the lifting of redactions in transcripts and reclassification of transcripts during this period.

Highest regards,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
