

PUBLIC

Annex 2

List of Authorities¹

I. INTERNATIONAL JURISPRUDENCE

A. ECHR

Doorson v The Netherlands, Application No.54/1994/501/583.

[http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/eng#{\"appno\":\[\"20524/92\"\],\"itemid\":\[\"001-57972\"\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/eng#{\)

Ferrantelli and Santangelo v Italy, Application No.48/1995/554/640.

[http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/eng#{\"appno\":\[\"19874/92\"\],\"itemid\":\[\"001-57997\"\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/eng#{\)

Kostovski v The Netherlands, Application No.11454/85.

[http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/eng#{\"appno\":\[\"11454/85\"\],\"itemid\":\[\"001-57615\"\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/eng#{\)

B. ICTY

Prosecutor v Aleksovski, IT-95-14/1, Decision on Prosecutor's Appeal on Admissibility of Evidence, 16 February 1999.

<http://www.icty.org/x/cases/aleksovski/acdec/en/90216EV36313.htm>

Prosecutor v Haradinaj et al., IT-04-84-T, Decision on Prosecution's Motion to Admit Five Statements of Witness 1 into Evidence pursuant to Rule 92*quater* with Confidential Annex, 28 November 2007.

<http://www.icty.org/x/cases/haradinaj/tdec/en/071128a.pdf>

Prosecutor v Karadžić, IT-95-5/18-T, Decision on Accused's Motion for Admission of Evidence of Radislav Krstic Pursuant to Rule 92*quater*, 26 November 2013.

<http://www.icty.org/x/cases/karadzic/tdec/en/131126.pdf>

Prosecutor v Martić, IT-95-11-AR73.2, Decision on Appeal against the Trial Chamber's Decision on the Evidence of Witness Milan Babić, 14 September 2006.

<http://www.icty.org/x/cases/martic/acdec/en/060914.pdf>

Prosecutor v Milutinović et al., IT-05-87-T, Decision on Prosecution Motion for Admission of Evidence pursuant to Rule 92*quater*, 16 February 2007.

<http://www.icty.org/x/cases/milutinovic/tdec/en/070216.pdf>

Prosecutor v Perišić, IT-04-81-T, 6 September 2011.

http://www.icty.org/x/cases/perisic/tjug/en/110906_judgement.pdf

¹ Links included in this list were last visited on 22 December 2016.

Prosecutor v Popović et al, IT-05-88-AR73.2, Decision on Joint Defence Interlocutory Appeal Concerning the Status of Richard Butler as an Expert Witness, 30 January 2008.

<http://www.icty.org/x/cases/popovic/acdec/en/080130.pdf>

Prosecutor v Popović et al, IT-05-88-T, Decision on Prosecution Motion for Admission of Evidence pursuant to rule 92*quater*, 21 April 2008.

<http://www.icty.org/x/cases/popovic/tdec/en/080421.pdf>

Prosecutor v Prlić et al, IT-04-74-T, Decision on the Prosecution Motion for Admission of a Written Statement pursuant to Rule 92*quater* of the Rules (Hasan Rizvic), 14 January 2008.

<http://www.icty.org/x/cases/prlic/tdec/en/080114.pdf>

Prosecutor v Rasim Delić, IT-04-83-PT, Decision on Prosecution Motion for Admission of evidence pursuant to rule 92*quater*, 9 July 2007.

<http://www.icty.org/x/cases/delic/tdec/en/070709c.pdf>

Prosecutor v Stanišić & Simatović, IT-03-69-T, Decision on Prosecution's Motion for Admission of Evidence of Witness Milan Babić pursuant to rule 92*quater*, 16 December 2010.

http://www.icty.org/x/cases/stanisic_simatovic/tdec/en/101216.pdf

II. NATIONAL SYSTEMS

Canada

R v Finta (1992), 14 C.R. (4th) 1, 73 C.C.C (3d) 65 (Ont. C.A).

<http://scc-csc.lexum.com/scc-csc/scc-csc/en/item/1124/index.do>

R v Kharsekin (1994, 30 C.R (4th) 252, 88 C.C.C. (3d) 193 (Nfld. C.A.)

<http://www.canlii.org/en/nl/nlca/doc/1994/1994canlii9733/1994canlii9733.html>

United Kingdom

Criminal Justice Act 2003, sections 116 and 117 (UK)

<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2003/44/section/116>

<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2003/44/section/117>

III. SOURCES

ICTY Rules 92*quater* and *quinquies*.

http://www.icty.org/x/file/Legal%20Library/Rules_procedure_evidence/it032rev46e.pdf