

**PUBLIC
ANNEX A**

List of Authorities

Footnote	Full citation	Hyperlinks
----------	---------------	------------

ECHR

25	ECHR, <i>G.S.B. v. Suisse</i> , No. 28601/11, 22 December 2015.	http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/eng?i=001-159377
26	ECHR, <i>M.N. and Others v. San Marino</i> , No. 28005/12, 7 July 2015.	http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/eng?i=001-155819
26	ECHR, <i>Brito Ferrinho Bexiga Villa-Nova v. Portugal</i> , No. 69436/10, 1 December 2015.	http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/eng?i=003-5241113-6502308

ICTY

51, 56, 57, 58, 59	<i>Brdjanin</i> , IT-99-36-T, Decision on the Defence “Objection to Intercept Evidence”, 3 October 2003.	http://www.icty.org/x/cases/brdanin/tdec/en/031003.htm
51	<i>Zejnir Delalic et al.</i> , IT-96-21-T, Decision On The Tendering Of Prosecution Exhibits 104 – 108, 9 February 1998.	http://www.icty.org/x/cases/mucic/tdec/en/80209EV2.htm
51, 56	<i>Haraqija & Morina</i> , IT-04-84-R77.5, Decision on Morina and Haraqija Second Request for a Declaration of Inadmissibility and Exclusion of Evidence, 24 November 2008.	http://www.icty.org/x/cases/contempt_haraqija_morina/tdec/en/081127.pdf

ICTR

51	<i>Bagosora et al.</i> , ICTR-98-41-T, Decision on the Prosecutor’s Motion for the Admission of Certain Materials Under Rule 89(C), 14 October 2004.	http://ictr-archive09.library.cornell.edu/ENGLISH/cases/Bagosora/decisions/141004.html
51	<i>Nchamihigo</i> , ICTR-2001-63-T, Decision on the Prosecutor’s Application to Admit into Evidence the Transcripts of the Accused’s Interview as a Suspect and the Defence’s Request to Hold a Voir Dire Article 17 of the Statute and Rules 42, 43 and 95 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence, 5 February 2007.	http://ictrcaselaw.org/docs/20070205-dco-0163-en.pdf

Ireland

44	<i>Walsh v. National Irish Bank Limited</i> , [2007] 5 JIC 0407.	See Annex B
----	--	-------------

Germany

62	Germany, <i>BVerfG, Beschluss des Zweiten Senats vom 12 April 2005 - 2 BvR 1027/02 - Rn. (1-140)</i> .	https://www.bundesverfassungsgericht.de/SharedDocs/Entscheidungen/DE/2005/04/r_s20050412_2bvr102702.html
----	--	---

Dutch Law

73	Besluit van 10 april 2013, houdende aanpassing van het Besluit bewaren en vernietigen niet-gevoegde stukken met het oog op de vernietiging van geheimhoudersgesprekken , art. 4(3).	https://zoek.officielebekendmakingen.nl/stb-1999-548.html
----	---	---

United Nations

27	UN Human Rights Committee, CCPR General Comment No. 16 (23 rd Session, 8 April 1988), Compilation of General Comments and General Recommendations Adopted by Human Rights Treaty Bodies, U.N. Doc. HRI/GEN/1/Rev.	http://tbinternet.ohchr.org/_layouts/treatybodyexternal/TBSearch.aspx?Lang=en&TreatyID=8&DocTypeID=11
----	--	---

Academic Sources

52, 55, 56, 59	P. Viebig, <i>Illicitly Obtained Evidence at the International Criminal Court</i> , (The Hague: Asser, 2016).	See Annex C
52, 76	K. Vanderpuye, “The International Criminal Court and Discretionary Evidential Exclusion: Toeing the Mark?”, 14 <i>Tul. J. Int’l & Comp. L.</i> 127.	See Annex D
52	A. Alamuddin, “Collection of Evidence”, in K. Khan, C. Buisman, C. Gosnell (eds.) <i>Principles of Evidence in International Criminal Justice</i> (Oxford: Oxford UP 2010).	See Annex E
53, 63	D. Piragoff and P. Clarke, “Article 69”, in O. Triffterer and K. Ambos (eds.) <i>Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court: A Commentary</i> (3rd ed., C. H. Beck, Munich).	See Annex F