

ANNEX
LIST OF AUTHORITIES¹

A. JURISPRUDENCE

(a) ICTY

Prosecutor v. Blaškić, IT-95-14-A, Appeals Judgement, 29 July 2004

<http://www.icty.org/x/cases/blaskic/acjug/en/bla-aj040729e.pdf>

Prosecutor v. Haradinaj et al, IT-04-84, Decision on LahiBrahimaj's request to Present Additional Evidence under Rule 115, 3 March 2006

<http://www.icty.org/x/cases/haradinaj/acdec/en/060303.htm>

Prosecutor v. Milošević, IT-98-29/1-A, Decision on Dragomir Milosevic's Third Motion to Present Additional Evidence, 8 September 2009

http://www.icty.org/x/cases/dragomir_milosevic/acdec/en/090909.pdf

Prosecutor v Krstić, IT-98-33-A, Decision on Application for Subpoenas, 1 July 2003

<http://www.icty.org/x/cases/krstic/acdec/en/030701.htm>

Prosecutor v. Krstić, IT-98-33, Decision on Applications for Admission of Additional Evidence on Appeal, 5 August 2003

<http://www.icty.org/x/cases/krstic/acdec/en/030805.htm>

Prosecutor v. Kupreškić et al., IT-95-16, Decision on the Admission of Additional Evidence Following Hearing of 30 March 2001, 11 April 2001

<http://www.icty.org/x/cases/kupreskic/acdec/en/010411.htm>

Prosecutor v. Kupreškić et al., IT-95-16, Decision on the Motions of Drago Josipovic, Zoran Kupreskic and Vlatko Kuprekic to Admit Additional Evidence Pursuant to Rule 115 and for Judicial Notice to be Taken Pursuant to Rule 94 (B), 8 May 2001

<http://www.icty.org/x/cases/kupreskic/acdec/en/10508AE315644.htm>

Prosecutor v. Kupreškić et al., IT-95-16, Appeals Judgment, 23 October 2001

¹ Internet links provided last visited on 14 January 2014.

<http://www.icty.org/x/cases/kupreskic/acjug/en/kup-aj011023e.pdf>

Prosecutor v. Tadić, IT-94-1, Decision on Appellant's Motion for the Extension of the Time-Limit and Admission of Additional Evidence, 15 October 1998

<http://www.icty.org/x/cases/tadic/acdec/en/81015EV36285.htm>

(b) ICTR

Prosecutor v. Nahimana, ICTR-99-52-A, Decision on Appellant Jean-Bosco Barayagwiza's Motion for Leave to Present Additional Evidence Pursuant to Rule 115, 8 September 2006

<http://www.unicttr.org/Portals/0/Case/English/Nahimana/decisions/081206b.pdf>

Prosecutor v. Setako, ICTR-04-81-A, Decision on Ephrem Setako's motion to amend his notice of appeal and motion to admit evidence, 23 March 2011

<http://ictrcaselaw.org/docs/20110323-dco-0481-01-en.PDF>

Mugenzi and PMugiraneza v. The Prosecutor, ICTR-99-50-A, Appeals Judgment, 4 February 2013

http://www.legal-tools.org/uploads/tx_ltpdb/130204-Appeal_Judgment.pdf

B. INTERNATIONAL LEGISLATION

ICTY Rules of Procedure and Evidence, 14 March 1994

http://www.icty.org/x/file/Legal%20Library/Rules_procedure_evidence/IT032_original_en.pdf

ICTR Rules of Procedure and Evidence, 29 June 1995

http://www.unicttr.org/Portals/0/English/Legal/Evidence/English/130410amended%206_26.pdf

C. SECONDARY MATERIALS

Bianchi, L. and Onsea, I., *Additional Evidence on Appeal, Review Proceedings, and the Remedy of Reconsideration* in: K. Khan, C. Buisman and C. Gosnell, *Principles of Evidence in International Criminal Justice*, (2010)

Khan and Dixon (eds.), *Archbold International Criminal Courts: Practice, Procedure and Evidence*, 3rd (ed) (Sweet & Maxwell: 2009)