

ANNEX¹
LIST OF AUTHORITIES

A. JURISPRUDENCE

(a) ICTY

Prosecutor v. Blagojević et al., IT-02-60-PT, Decision on Joint Defence Motions for Certification of Decision on Joint Defence Motions, 10 February 2003
<http://www.un.org/icty/blagojevic/trialc/decision-e/030210-2.pdf>

Prosecutor v. Blaškić, IT-95-14-A, Appeals Chamber Judgement, 29 July 2004
<http://www.un.org/icty/blaskic/appeal/judgement/index.htm>

Prosecutor v. Brđanin, IT-99-36-T, Decision on “Motion for Relief from Rule 68 Violations by the Prosecutor and for Sanctions to be Imposed pursuant to Rule 68bis and Motion for Adjournment while Matters Affecting Justice and a Fair Trial can be Resolved”, 30 October 2002
<http://www.un.org/icty/brdjanin/trialc/decision-e/31123823.htm>

Prosecutor v. Haradinaj et al., IT-04-84-T, Submission by the United Nations Mission in Kosovo (UNMIK) to Motion by Denfendant Idriz Balaj Requesting the Chamber to order UNMIK to lift Rule 70(b) Confidentiality Measures, 12 February 2007²

Prosecutor v. Haradinaj et al., IT-04-84-T, Prosecution report on UNMIK Rule 70 Material Subject to Rule 68, 5 April 2007

Prosecutor v. Haradinaj et al., IT-04-84-T, Decision on the Prosecution’s 5 April Request for Chamber Review of Rule 70 UNMIK Files Potentially Subject to Rule 68, 19 April 2007

Prosecutor v. Haradinaj et al., IT-04-84-T, Trial Judgment, 3 April 2008
<http://www.un.org/icty/haradinaj/trialc/judgement/tcj080403e.pdf>

Prosecutor v. Kvočka et al., IT-98-30/1, Decision on the Defense ‘Motion Regarding Concurrent Procedures before ICTY and ICJ on the Same Questions’, 5 December 2000
<http://www.un.org/icty/kvocka/trialc/decision-e/01205JN114797.htm>

Prosecutor v. Kvočka et al., IT-98-30/1, “Decision on Application by the Accused Zoran Zigic for Leave to Appeal the Decision of Trial Chamber I dated 5 December 2000”, 16 February 2001
<http://www.un.org/icty/kvocka/appeal/decision-e/10216MS314988.htm>

Prosecutor v. Kvočka et al., IT-98-30/1, Decision on Interlocutory Appeal by the

¹ Links provided last visited on 23 June 2008.

² Upon request, the Office of the Prosecutor will make available to the Trial Chamber a hard copy of those documents included in this list of authorities that are not available on-line.

Accused Zoran Zigic against the Decision of Trial Chamber I dated 5 December 2000, 25 May 2001

<http://www.un.org/icty/kvocka/appeal/decision-e/10525JN315907.htm>

Prosecutor v. Milosević, IT-02-54-AR108bis & AR73.3, Public Version of the Confidential Decision on the Interpretation and Application of Rule 70, 23 October 2002

Prosecutor v. Orić, IT-93-68-T, Judgement, 30 June 2006

<http://www.un.org/icty/oric/trialc/judgement/ori-jud060630e.pdf>

Prosecutor v. Stakić, IT-97-24-A, Judgement, 22 March 2006

<http://www.un.org/icty/stakic/appeal/judgement/index.htm>

Prosecutor v. Strugar, IT-01-42-T, Decision on Defence Motion for Certification, 17 June 2004

<http://www.un.org/icty/strugar/trialc1/decision-e/040617.pdf>

(b) ICTR

Prosecutor v. Bagosora et al, ICTR-98-41-T, Certification of Appeal Concerning Prosecution Investigation of Protected Defence Witnesses, 21 July 2005

<http://69.94.11.53/ENGLISH/cases/Bagosora/decisions/210705.htm>

Prosecutor v. Bagosora et al, ICTR-98-41-T, Certification of Appeal Concerning Access to Protected Defence Witness Information, 29 July 2005

<http://69.94.11.53/ENGLISH/cases/Bagosora/decisions/290705.htm>

Prosecutor v. Zigiranyirazo, ICTR-2001-73-PT, Decision on the Defence Motion for Continuance of Trial, 30 April 2005

(c) SCSL

Prosecutor v. Brima et al., SCSL-04-16-T, Decision on Joint Defence Motion on Disclosure of all Original Witness Statements, Interview Notes and Investigators' Notes Pursuant to Rule 66 and/or 68, 4 May 2005

<http://www.sc-sl.org/Documents/AFRC/SCSL-04-16-T-246.pdf>

B. LEGISLATION

Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, 23 May 1969

http://untreaty.un.org/ilc/texts/instruments/english/conventions/1_1_1969.pdf

C. SECONDARY MATERIAL

Bergsmo and Kruger, 'Duties and Powers of the Prosecutor with Respect to Investigations' in Otto Triffterer (ed.), *Commentary on the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court*, (Baden-Baden, Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft 1999)

Prost and Schlunck, “Article 93” in Otto Triffterer (ed.), *Commentary on the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court*, (Baden-Baden, Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft 1999)