

ANNEX 6

PUBLIC

13/05/2026 15:49

[ICC-01/11-01/25 - Public] Decision granting the Prosecution's request

To [REDACTED] Copy [REDACTED] •
El Hishri LRV Team OPCV <[REDACTED]> • [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Dear Counsel, dear Colleagues,

Having reviewed the updated summary of P-1682's evidence provided by the Prosecution, noting that the newly included quote is not being relied upon as evidence of any crime, and given that the Defence does not object to the request, the Chamber considers it appropriate to exceptionally grant the extension of time limits sought. Accordingly, the Chamber grants the Prosecution request and hereby authorizes the Prosecution to: (i) disclose the proposed amended summary; (ii) add this item to its List of evidence; and (iii) read part thereof, including the newly added quote, for illustrative purposes during the confirmation of charges hearing.

Thank you and kind regards,
PTCI

On 13/05/2026 12:13 CEST [REDACTED] wrote:

Dear Pre-Trial Chamber I,

The Prosecution requests authorisation to read a short impact quote, related to P-1682's account, during the confirmation of charges hearing. This quote is not currently part of the Prosecution's list of evidence.

Having reviewed the quote and the Prosecution's request, the Defence has indicated that it does not object to this request.

On 26 March 2026, the Prosecution submitted its List of Evidence as instructed by the Chamber in decision ICC-1/11-01/25-T-002-ENG, p. 14, including a summary of P-1682's evidence (LBY-OTP-03703580). In preparing its List of Evidence, the Prosecution focused on identifying the material facts upon which it intends to rely to establish the charges. The quote from P-1682 on the impact to his life from detention was not included as proof of the charges.

In its preparation for the confirmation of charges hearing, the Prosecution identified this quote solely for illustrative use during the Confirmation Hearing for the benefit of victims and the public. The Prosecution does not seek to rely on the statement as evidence of any crime, but requests leave to read it during the Confirmation Hearing for limited illustrative purposes.

The Prosecution submits that its omission to include the impact statement within the prescribed time limit was due to delimitation of its evidentiary review at that stage.

Pursuant to regulation 35 of the Regulations of the Court, the Prosecution respectfully requests an extension of the time limit to include the short quote in its list of evidence (see updated summary attached, pp. 2-3, which the Prosecution will formally disclose today) and to refer to it during the hearing.

Kind regards,

El Hishri Prosecution Team