



Cour
Pénale
Internationale

International
Criminal
Court

La Présidence
The Presidency

Internal memorandum
Memorandum interne

To À	Judge Tomoko Akane	From De	The <i>ad hoc</i> Presidency 
Date	13 November 2025	Through Via	
Ref.	2025/PRES/169-2	Copies	Judge Erdenebalsuren Damdin, President of the Appeals Division
Subject Objet	Decision on your request of 7 November 2025 for excusal pursuant to article 41(1) of the Statute and rule 33 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence		

The *ad hoc* Presidency, composed of First Vice-President Rosario Salvatore Aitala, Second Vice-President Reine Alapini-Gansou and Judge Luz del Carmen Ibáñez Carranza, has before it your request of 7 November 2025, in which you ask to be excused from your functions as a judge of the Appeals Chamber in the appeal against the trial judgement issued by Trial Chamber I on 6 October 2025 ('Appeal') in the case *The Prosecutor v. Ali Muhammad Ali Abd-Al-Rahman* ('Ali Kushayb') ('Case'),¹ and all future appeals arising in this Case ('Request'). Noting that the Request also indicates the need for excusal from any Presidency functions in respect of the Request itself, an *ad hoc* Presidency was formed by virtue of the operation of regulation 11(2) of the Regulations of the Court on 7 November 2025.

The Request is based on your previous involvement in the pre-trial phase of the Case, *inter alia*, confirming the charges against Mr Abd-Al-Rahman.² For this reason, you request to be excused pursuant to article 41(1) of the Statute and rule 33 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence ('Rules').

The present request for excusal is properly before the *ad hoc* Presidency in accordance with article 41(1) of the Statute and rule 33(1) of the Rules.

¹ Defence for Mr Abd-Al-Rahman, *The Prosecutor v. Ali Muhammad Ali Abd-Al-Rahman* ('Ali Kushayb'), Defence Notice of Appeal Pursuant to Article 81(1)(b) of the Rome Statute, 6 November 2025, ICC-02/05-01/20-1261; Trial Chamber I, *The Prosecutor v. Ali Muhammad Ali Abd-Al-Rahman* ('Ali Kushayb'), [Trial Judgment](#), 6 October 2025, ICC-02/05-01/20-1240.

² Pre-Trial Chamber II, *The Prosecutor v. Ali Muhammad Ali Abd-Al-Rahman* ('Ali Kushayb'), [Decision on the confirmation of charges against Ali Muhammad Ali Abd-Al-Rahman](#) ('Ali Kushayb'), 9 July 2021, ICC-02/05-01/20-433.

Article 41(1) of the Statute provides, in relevant part, that '[t]he Presidency may, at the request of a judge, excuse that judge from the exercise of a function under this Statute'. Article 41(2)(a) of the Statute further provides that '[a] judge shall not participate in any case in which his or her impartiality might reasonably be doubted on any ground. A judge shall be disqualified from a case in accordance with this paragraph if, inter alia, that judge has previously been involved in any capacity in that case before the Court [...].'

Noting the terms of articles 41(1) and 41(2)(a) of the Statute, the *ad hoc* Presidency considers that the impartiality of a judge who rendered the decision confirming the charges in a case might reasonably be doubted for the purpose of sitting on any appeal in the Case.³ The *ad hoc* Presidency further notes the clear and relevant guidance in this regard provided by regulation 12 of the Regulations of the Court which states that '[u]nder no circumstances shall a judge who has participated in the pre-trial or trial phase of a case be eligible to sit on the Appeals Chamber hearing that case'. Given your involvement in issuing the decision confirming the charges against Mr Abd-Al-Rahman, the *ad hoc* Presidency finds the Request for excusal to be well founded and hereby grants it.

In light of the above, the *ad hoc* Presidency, pursuant to rule 38 of the Rules and regulations 12 and 15 of the Regulations of the Court, shall treat you as unavailable and proceed with your replacement in the Appeals Chamber, for the purpose of the Appeals and any future appeals in the Case.

³ Presidency, *The Prosecutor v. Alfred Yekatom and Patrice-Edouard Ngaïssona*, [Decision on your request of 12 March 2024 for excusal pursuant to article 41\(1\) of the Statute and rule 33 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence](#), 14 March 2024, ICC-01/14-01/18-2411-AnxI, p. 2; Presidency, *The Prosecutor v. Al Hassan Ag Abdoul Aziz Ag Mohamed Ag Mahmoud*, [Decision on your request of 18 March 2021 for excusal pursuant to article 41\(1\) of the Statute and rule 33 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence](#), 25 March 2021, ICC-01/12-01/18-1406-Anx, p. 2; Presidency, *The Prosecutor v. Dominic Ongwen*, [Decision on your request of 18 March 2021 for excusal pursuant to article 41\(1\) of the Statute and rule 33 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence](#), 25 March 2021, ICC-02/04-01/15-1807-Anx, p. 2; Presidency, *The Prosecutor v. Laurent Gbagbo and Charles Blé Goudé*, [Decision on the requests for excusal from the Appeals Chamber in all pending and future appeals in The Prosecutor v. Laurent Gbagbo and Charles Blé Goudé](#), 18 September 2015, ICC-02/11-01/15-230-AnxI, p. 3; Presidency, *The Prosecutor v. Germain Katanga and Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui*, [Decision on the request of 16 February 2010 to be excused from sitting in the appeal of Mr Germain Katanga against the decision of Trial Chamber II of 20 November 2009 and from sitting in all future appeals arising in the case of The Prosecutor v. Germain Katanga and Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui, pursuant to article 41\(1\) of the Statute and rule 33 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence](#), 8 March 2010, ICC-01/04-01/07-1949-Anx2, p. 3; Presidency, *The Prosecutor v. Abdallah Banda Abakaer Nourain and Saleh Mohammed Jerbo Jamus*, [Decision on the request to be excused from the exercise of judicial functions in the Appeals Chamber pursuant to article 41 of the Rome Statute](#), 28 March 2013, ICC-02/05-03/09-458-Anx2, p. 2.

The *ad hoc* Presidency shall make this decision public, noting that you have expressed your consent in accordance with rule 33(2) of the Rules.