

ANNEX 10

Public Redacted

From: Trial Chamber VI Communications
Sent: 05 June 2025 17:27
To: D33 Said Defence Team; Office of the Director DJSS; Associate Legal Officer-Court Officer; [REDACTED] VWS Legal; VWS OPS Room; [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED] Trial Chamber VI Communications; Chamber Decisions Communication
Subject: Scheduling of a further ex parte Status Conference - 12 June 2025 at 10:00
Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

[ICC] RESTRICTED

Dear Defence,
 Dear Registry,

Further to yesterday's status conference, the Chamber decides to hold a further *ex parte*, Defence and Registry, status conference on **12 June 2025 at 10:00**. The Chamber wishes to follow up on a number of matters raised during yesterday's status conference, and requests the Defence and Registry to make submissions on the following:

1. *Status of any potential Defence mission to [REDACTED]*

The Chamber notes that the Defence and Registry made a number of submissions regarding a potential further mission to [REDACTED] by the Defence. The Chamber wishes to receive an update on the concrete steps that have been taken for organising such a mission and further information on when such a mission could place. Specifically, the Chamber expects to receive an update from the Defence and Registry on:

- Whether notice of travel has been given for the purposes of the SOP referred to during the hearing;
- Whether visas have been obtained;
- Whether authorisation from [REDACTED] authorities has been received;
- Whether the presence of [REDACTED] focal point is required/possible during the mission.

Furthermore, the Chamber notes that the Presiding Judge gave an instruction to the Registry to send an email to the Chamber and the Defence regarding any information it receives from the [REDACTED] authorities in respect of the ongoing work at [REDACTED] (see T-137 ENG ET, p. 9, lines 1-8). The Chamber expects the Registry to send that information to the Chamber and the Defence as soon as it is received, and the Chamber will seek further submissions at the status conference, if required.

2. [REDACTED] officials

The Chamber wishes to receive an update on a number of points raised in respect of the [REDACTED] officials interviewed by the Defence, and the position of the [REDACTED] authorities.

At the outset, the Chamber recalls that the Presiding Judge gave an instruction to the Registry to enquire whether the [REDACTED] authorities would consider waiving stage 3 of the protocol (see T-137 ENG ET, p. 23, lines 19-21). If the [REDACTED] authorities are content to waive stage 3, the Chamber wishes to know what is their position in respect of certification? Specifically, are the [REDACTED] authorities content for their representatives (who are present during the interview) or a representative of the Registry conducting the certification

procedure? The Chamber expects the Registry to make the relevant enquiries with the [REDACTED] authorities and send this information to the Chamber and the Defence as soon as it is received.

In respect of the Defence specifically, the Chamber wishes the Defence to make submissions on:

- Whether it intends to rely on [REDACTED] testimony and make an application pursuant to rule 68 of the Rules (see T-137, ENG ET, p. 15, lines 3-4; p. 29, lines 2-10);
 - If the Defence intends to rely on his testimony, the Chamber wishes to receive a concrete timeline for the completion of the relevant steps as set out in the protocol with the [REDACTED] authorities, including the dates for the relevant meetings etc.
- Whether it has received any further information from the [REDACTED] authorities regarding certification and the waiver of stage 3 during its interview with [REDACTED]? If so, such information should be transmitted in writing to the Chamber and the Registry as soon as possible.

3. Documents from [REDACTED]

The Chamber recalls that the Registry indicated that the [REDACTED] authorities had sent the requested information in paper format to the Court (see T-137, ENG ET, p. 25, lines 4-8). The Chamber wishes to receive an update from the Registry as to whether those documents have been located. If they have, and have been transmitted to the Defence, the Chamber wishes to know from the Defence whether it intends to seek submission of those documents.

4. Rule 68(2)(b) certifications for P-2926 and P-0405

In respect of P-2926, the Chamber wishes to receive submissions on:

- Whether the Defence has contacted P-2926 and when the read back session with him will take place (see T-137, ENG ET, p. 29, lines 11-19);
- What steps have been taken to organise the certification process for P-2926 with the Registry.

In respect of P-0405, the Chamber wishes to receive submissions on:

- Whether the Defence or the Registry has received any information as to whether P-0405 is [REDACTED] [REDACTED] (see T-137, ENG ET, p. 28, lines 17-25);
 - If P-0405 is no longer [REDACTED], what steps have been taken to locate him;
- Whether the Registry is able to contact P-0405 directly, or assist the Defence in contacting P-0405 directly, for the purpose of conducting the certification procedure.

The Chamber urges the Defence and Registry to be prepared to discuss the aforementioned issues in depth and offer detailed and concrete responses.

The Registry expects the representatives of the Registry who attended yesterday's status conference to also be present at the status conference on 12 June 2025.

Kind regards,
Trial Chamber VI