

Annex 20

Public Redacted

From: Trial Chamber VI Communications
Sent: 12 November 2024 16:44
To: [REDACTED]; Trial Chamber VI Communications
Cc: OTP CAR IIA Communications; D33 Said Defence Team; Said LRV Team
 OPCV; Associate Legal Officer-Court Officer
Subject: RE: Prosecution's request for extension of page limit

[ICC] RESTRICTED

Dear Prosecution,

The Chamber has considered your request for a three-page extension in relation to your application for submission of duplicated items from the bar table pursuant to article 64(9). The Chamber notes that the Defence and the Common Legal Representative of Victims do not oppose the request.

Given the number of items to be addressed in the application and the modest number of additional pages requested, the Chamber, acting pursuant to regulation 37(2) of the Regulations of the Court, grants an extension to 15 pages.

Kind regards.
 Trial Chamber VI

From: [REDACTED] >
Sent: Tuesday, November 12, 2024 3:27 PM
To: Trial Chamber VI Communications [REDACTED]
Cc: OTP CAR IIA Communications [REDACTED]; D33 Said Defence Team
 [REDACTED] >; Said LRV Team OPCV <[REDACTED]
 Associate Legal Officer-Court Officer [REDACTED]
Subject: Prosecution's request for extension of page limit

[ICC] RESTRICTED

Dear Trial Chamber VI,

The Prosecution respectfully requests a 3-page extension of the page limit for its application for submission of duplicated items from the bar table pursuant to article 64(9) from 12 pages to 15 pages.

The Prosecution considers that there are exceptional circumstances within the meaning of regulation 37(2) of the Regulations, justifying an extension of the page limit for the following reasons:

The application for submission of duplicated items from the bar table motion pertains to 74 items. Among the 74 items, 33 clusters of duplicated items are being addressed to justify their

relevance, probative value and authenticity. Despite best efforts to reply within the set page limit, the Prosecution will not be able to cover the necessary information with respect to the 74 items given the number of clusters of duplicated items in the applications and the information from its metadata, source and content. The Prosecution considers that it is in the interests of judicial economy that all 74 items are included in one single application, as this will reduce the overall amount of filings that the Prosecution would otherwise need to make its arguments in relation to the 74 items.

The Defence and Legal Representative of Victims do not oppose the Prosecution's request.

The Prosecution therefore requests that the page limit for this application be extended by 3 pages, from 12 pages to 15 pages.

Best regards,

A solid black rectangular box used to redact the signature of the Prosecution Team.

Sent on behalf of the SAID Prosecution Team