

ANNEX 16

PUBLIC

From: Pre-Trial Chamber III Communications
Sent: 11 July 2024 09:22
To: OTP Kony Communications; Kony LRV Team OPCV; Office of the Director
DJSS
Cc: [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; Chamber Decisions Communication; Pre-Trial
Chamber III Communications
Subject: Kony case - Shortening of the time limit for responses to the 'Kony
Defence Request for Reclassification of ICC-02/04-01/05-459-SECRET-Exp
and ICC-02/04-01/05-2047-SECRET-Exp' (filing ICC-02/04-01/05-509+Conf-
Anx)
Attachments: Kony case - Order relating to the reclassification of filings and their
annexes

[ICC] RESTRICTED

Dear Counsel,
Dear colleagues,

The Single Judge, having considered the Defence Request for Reclassification of two filings on the record of the case (ICC-02/04-01/05-509+Conf-Anx), and in particular the request to 'impose abbreviated limits upon the parties and participants to respond', considers it appropriate (also in light of the status conference to be held on Tuesday 23 July 2024), to order that any responses to this filing be submitted by **Monday 15 July 2024, at 12 hours**.

The Single Judge also notes that the second filing addressed by the Defence Request had initially been wrongly registered as '**ICC-02/04-01/05-2047**' due to a clerical error, attributing to it the sequence pertaining to a different case in the situation in Uganda. That error has since been corrected: the correct number pertaining to the filing is 463; parties and participants are instructed to take note of this in their responses.

The Single Judge recalls that, as a general principle, proceedings are public; that exceptions to that principle have to be strictly justified and that, accordingly, parties and participants are expected to always expeditiously make available public redacted versions of any filings not originally classified as public. The Single Judge notes that, in line with the order dated 16 May 2024 (attached for Counsel's convenience), the Registry requested that the current classification of both filing no. 459 and filing no. 463 be maintained; the Registry is instructed to review their position in light of the Defence Request.

Thank you and kind regards.

On behalf of Judge Alexis-Windsor, Single Judge