

ANNEX 11

Public Redacted

Version

From: Trial Chamber V Communications
Sent: 10 August 2022 17:29
To: [REDACTED] D30 Ngaïssona Defence Team; Office of the Director DJSS; [REDACTED]
Cc: D29 Yekatom Defence Team; OTP CAR IIB Case Management; OTP CAR IIB Managers; OTP CAR IIB Operations; V44 LRV Team; V44 LRV Team OPCV; V45 LRV Team; Associate Legal Officer-Court Officer; Trial Chamber V Communications; Chamber Decisions Communication
Subject: Decision on the Ngaïssona Defence Request for Reconsideration regarding the 'Decision on Registry Notification of a [REDACTED] regarding a [REDACTED] to Mr Ngaïssona'

Dear Counsel, dear colleagues of the Registry,

The Chamber has taken note of the Ngaïssona Defence's submissions in its request for reconsideration regarding the 'Decision on Registry Notification of a [REDACTED] regarding a [REDACTED] to Mr Ngaïssona', and of the documents provided by the Registry pursuant to the Chamber's order (the 'Reconsideration Request' and the 'Documents', respectively) (email from the Ngaïssona Defence, 27 July 2022, at 13:30; email from the Chamber, 28 July 2022, at 16:37; email from the Registry to the Chamber, 29 July 2022, at 13:51). It also notes the Ngaïssona Defence's views following receipt of the Registry Notification (the 'Views') (email from the Ngaïssona Defence to the Chamber, 28 July 2022, at 13:18).

The Chamber has reviewed the Documents, which includes the redacted versions of the Registry Notification and of the concerned transcript intended for the participants. In particular, it considers that the redactions applied are appropriate, and that the provision of the Documents in a redacted form does not constitute an 'unnecessary breach of Mr Ngaïssona's right to private life', as asserted by the Ngaïssona Defence. Therefore, the Chamber finds that no injustice would result therefrom.

However, the Chamber notes a discrepancy in the redactions applied in the Registry Notification and the transcript. It therefore instructs the Registry to also redact the dates mentioned at page 1, line 16 of the redacted version of the transcript.

In light of the above, the Chamber rejects the Reconsideration Request.

The Ngaïssona Defence is instructed to provide a redacted version of its Views, including its annexes, in order that they may be shared with the participants.

Kind regards,

TC V