

**Cour
Pénale
Internationale**



**International
Criminal
Court**

Original: English

No.: ICC-02/04-01/15
Date: 30 September 2016

TRIAL CHAMBER IX

Before: Judge Bertram Schmitt, Presiding Judge
Judge Péter Kovács
Judge Raul C. Pangalangan

SITUATION IN UGANDA

IN THE CASE OF

THE PROSECUTOR v. DOMINIC ONGWEN

**Public
with Confidential Annexes 1-12**

**Prosecution's proposed redactions of the transcripts of Article 56 witnesses'
testimonies**

Source: The Office of the Prosecutor

Document to be notified in accordance with regulation 31 of the *Regulations of the Court* to:

The Office of the Prosecutor

Ms Fatou Bensouda
Mr James Stewart
Mr Benjamin Gumpert

Counsel for Dominic Ongwen

Mr Krispus Ayena Odongo
Mr Charles Taku

Legal Representatives of Victims

Mr Joseph Akwenyu Manoba
Mr Francisco Cox
Ms Paolina Massidda

Legal Representatives of Applicants

Unrepresented Victims

**Unrepresented Applicants for
Participation/Reparation**

**The Office of Public Counsel for
Victims**

Ms Paolina Massidda
Ms Caroline Walter
Mr Orchlon Narantsetseg

**The Office of Public Counsel for the
Defence**

Amicus Curiae

States Representatives

REGISTRY

Registrar

Mr Herman von Hebel

Counsel Support Section

Victims and Witnesses Section

Mr Nigel Verrill

Detention Section

**Victims Participation and Reparations
Section**

Other

1. On 10 August 2016, Trial Chamber IX (“the Trial Chamber”) issued the “Decision on Request to Admit Evidence Preserved under Article 56 of the Statute”¹. In particular, the Chamber ordered the Prosecution to “review the transcripts of the witnesses’ testimonies, proposing any redactions or requesting re-classification on a rolling basis and in any event no later than 30 September 2016”².
2. The Chamber further ordered that “within 10 days of receiving any proposed lesser redacted versions, the other participants may raise any objections. Should no objections to the proposed lesser-redacted version be made, the Registry shall file the transcript(s) in the record of the case.”³
3. Pursuant to the order, the Prosecution submits its proposed redactions to the relevant transcripts as marked in Annexes 1-12 of this filing. The texts that are proposed for redactions are marked in either pink or grey highlights. There is no significance in the different colours which are the result of technical processes.

Confidentiality

4. The Prosecution submits Annexes 1-12 as “Confidential” pursuant to regulation 23*bis* of the Regulations of the Court, because they contain information that is classified as confidential in the case record.



Fatou Bensouda
Prosecutor

Dated this 30th day of September 2016
At The Hague, The Netherlands

¹ ICC-02/04-01/15-520.

² Ibid, para 16.

³ Ibid, para 16.