

**Cour
Pénale
Internationale**



**International
Criminal
Court**

Original: **English**

No.: **ICC-02/04-01/15**
Date: **7 September 2016**

TRIAL CHAMBER IX

Before: Judge Bertram Schmitt, Presiding Judge
Judge Péter Kovács
Judge Raul C. Pangalangan

SITUATION IN UGANDA

IN THE CASE OF

THE PROSECUTOR v. DOMINIC ONGWEN

**Public
with Confidential Annex A**

Prosecution's Communication of the Disclosure of Evidence

Source: The Office of the Prosecutor

Document to be notified in accordance with regulation 31 of the *Regulations of the Court* to:

The Office of the Prosecutor

Ms Fatou Bensouda
Mr James Stewart
Mr Benjamin Gumpert

Counsel for Dominic Ongwen

Mr Krispus Ayena Odongo
Mr Charles Taku

Legal Representatives of Victims

Mr Joseph Akwenyu Manoba
Mr Francisco Cox
Ms Paolina Massidda

Legal Representatives of Applicants

Unrepresented Victims

**Unrepresented Applicants for
Participation/Reparation**

**The Office of Public Counsel for
Victims**

Ms Paolina Massidda
Ms Caroline Walter
Mr Orchlon Narantsetseg

**The Office of Public Counsel for the
Defence**

Amicus Curiae

States Representatives

REGISTRY

Registrar

Mr Herman von Hebel

Counsel Support Section

Victims and Witnesses Section

Mr Nigel Verrill

Detention Section

**Victims Participation and Reparations
Section**

Other

Introduction

1. The Office of the Prosecutor (“Prosecution”) provides notice of the disclosure of evidence pursuant to articles 64(3)(c), 67(1)(a),(b) and (2) of the Rome Statute and rules 76(1) and 77 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence.

Submission

2. On 6 September 2016, the Prosecution disclosed to the Defence three packages of evidence: Pre-Trial INCR package 28 consisting of 330 items/3.258 pages, Pre-Trial rule 77 package 21 consisting of 881 items/3.152 pages and Pexo package 7 consisting of 13 items/253 pages.
3. With respect to the applied redactions, the Prosecution submits that they fall under the “standard categories” that have been pre-approved by the Single Judge of the Pre Trial Chamber II.¹ In addition, as per the Single Judge of this Chamber, the Prosecution notes that the procedures adopted for “pre-approved redactions” at the confirmation stage remain in place.² These redactions are minimal and affect neither the substance of the material nor the ability of the Defence to comprehend it.

¹ ICC-02/04-01/15-224, para. 4.

² ICC-02/04-01/15-432, para. 4.

Confidentiality

4. Annex A attached to this communication contains the list of evidence that has been disclosed to the Defence. The Prosecution requests that this annex be received as “Confidential” since it relates to *inter partes* disclosure.



Fatou Bensouda
Prosecutor

Dated this 7th day of September 2016
At The Hague, The Netherlands