

**Cour
Pénale
Internationale**

**International
Criminal
Court**



Original: English

No.: **ICC-01/05-01/08**

Date: **1 June 2015**

TRIAL CHAMBER III

Before: Judge Sylvia Steiner, Presiding Judge
Judge Joyce Aluoch
Judge Kuniko Ozaki

**SITUATION IN THE CENTRAL AFRICAN REPUBLIC
IN THE CASE OF
THE PROSECUTOR
V. JEAN-PIERRE BEMBA GOMBO**

Public Redacted Document

Public redacted version of “Prosecution’s Third Further Request for Disclosure of Evidence in a Related Article 70 Proceeding”, 29 May 2015, ICC-01/05-01/08-3250-Conf

Source: The Office of the Prosecutor

Document to be notified in accordance with regulation 31 of the *Regulations of the Court* to:

The Office of the Prosecutor

Ms Fatou Bensouda
Mr James Stewart
Mr Kweku Vanderpuye

Counsel for the Defence of Jean-Pierre Bemba Gombo

Mr Peter Haynes
Ms Kate Gibson
Ms Melinda Taylor

Legal Representatives of Victims

Ms Marie-Edith Douzima Lawson

Legal Representatives of Applicants

Unrepresented Victims

Unrepresented Applicants for Participation/Reparation

The Office of Public Counsel for Victims

Ms Paolina Massidda

The Office of Public Counsel for the Defence

Mr Xavier-Jean Keita

States Representatives

Amicus Curiae

REGISTRY

Registrar

Mr Herman von Hebel

Counsel Support Section

Victims and Witnesses Unit

Detention Section

Victims Participation and Reparations Other Section

I. Introduction

1. The Office of the Prosecutor ("Prosecution") requests Trial Chamber III's ("Chamber") authorisation to disclose two confidential filings ("Filings")¹ in this case to the Defence in *The Prosecutor v. Jean-Pierre Bemba Gombo, Aimé Kilolo Musamba et al.* ("Article 70 case"). [REDACTED].

2. On 22 May 2015, Trial Chamber VII issued a scheduling order in the Article 70 case fixing 29 September for the commencement of trial and 30 June as the deadline for the Prosecution to file its list of evidence.² In view of the scheduling order, the Prosecution is conducting an assessment of its evidence and has identified the Filings as evidence on which it intends to rely at trial. The Chamber's authorisation to disclose the Filings in the Article 70 case pursuant to regulation 42(2) of the Regulations of the Court ("RoC") is necessary in order to add the Filings to the Prosecution's list of evidence.

3. The requested disclosure is consistent with a previous decision by the Chamber ("Decision"),³ will not prejudice the Defence, and is in the interests of justice.

II. Confidentiality

4. This application is filed confidentially because it refers to filings of the same designation.

¹ ICC-01/05-01/08-2817-Conf and ICC-01/05-01/08-2819-Conf.

² ICC-01/05-01/13-960, para.13.

³ ICC-01/05-01/08-3074, para.19.

III. Submissions

5. The content of the Filings is unique and cannot be obtained in any other manner. The Filings pertain to allegations of improper witness interference that are central to the Article 70 case, and necessary to prove certain of the confirmed charges under articles 70(1)(b) and 70(1)(c) of the Rome Statute.

6. The Prosecution incorporates by reference its submissions in the First Request,⁴ including the legal basis for disclosure⁵ and the Prosecution's disclosure obligations⁶ – which the Chamber previously granted.⁷

7. In respect of the Prosecution's previous request, the Chamber authorised the disclosure of many documents including similar filings [REDACTED].⁸ In reaching its conclusion, the Chamber considered that the requested disclosure would not lift the protective measures or the level of confidentiality, nor result in releasing the information to the public or press and information agencies in contradiction of rule 87(3) of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence. The Chamber was also satisfied that such information could be shared with a Chamber, parties or participants in different proceedings before the Court, all of whom are bound to respect its confidentiality.⁹ The same holds true for this request.

8. Additionally, disclosing the Filings does not give rise to any security risk [REDACTED] because the Chamber previously authorised the disclosure of his transcripts of testimony to the Defence in the Article 70 case.¹⁰

⁴ ICC-01/05-01/08-3052-Red.

⁵ ICC-01/05-01/08-3052-Red, paras.7-12.

⁶ ICC-01/05-01/08-3052-Red, paras.13-18.

⁷ ICC-01/05-01/08-3074.

⁸ ICC-01/05-01/08-3074, para.19.

⁹ ICC-01/05-01/08-3074, para.17.

¹⁰ ICC-01/05-01/08-3074, paras.3, 23 and fn.11 (iv).

9. Should the Chamber grant this Request, the Prosecution will inform the Defence in the Article 70 case of the confidentiality level of the Filings, in accordance with the Decision and regulation 42(2) of the RoC.

10. Disclosure of these Filings is manifestly in the interests of justice, particularly to establish the truth and to preserve the integrity of proceedings before the Court. At the same time, it causes no prejudice to the Defence - especially given that the Defence has declined to rely on this witness's evidence.¹¹

IV. Requested Relief

11. The Prosecution requests that the Chamber authorise its disclosure of ICC-01/05-01/08-2817-Conf and ICC-01/05-01/08-2819-Conf to the Defence in the Article 70 case on an expedited basis, given the 30 June 2015 deadline imposed by Trial Chamber VII for the Prosecution to file its list of evidence in the Article 70 case.



Fatou Bensouda, Prosecutor

Dated this 1st Day of June 2015
At The Hague, The Netherlands

¹¹ ICC-01/05-01/08-3121-Conf, para.14.