

**Cour
Pénale
Internationale**



**International
Criminal
Court**

Original: English

No.: ICC-02/11-01/11

Date: 23 March 2012

PRE-TRIAL CHAMBER I

Before: Judge Silvia Fernández de Gurmendi, Presiding Judge
Judge Hans-Peter Kaul
Judge Christine Van den Wyngaert

SITUATION IN THE REPUBLIC OF CÔTE D'IVOIRE

IN THE CASE OF THE PROSECUTOR v. LAURENT GBAGBO

PUBLIC

Redacted Version

With Annexes 4 and 10 – Confidential, *ex parte*, only available to the Prosecution

**Corrigendum to the annexes of the “First Prosecution’s request for redactions pursuant to Rule 81(2) and Rule 81(4) and update on other protective measures”
(ICC-02/11-01/11-38-Conf-Exp)**

Source: Office of the Prosecutor

Document to be notified in accordance with regulation 31 of the *Regulations of the Court* to:

The Office of the Prosecutor

Counsel for the Defence

Mr Emmanuel Altit

Legal Representatives of the Victims

Legal Representatives of the Applicants

Unrepresented Victims

**Unrepresented Applicants
(Participation/Reparation)**

**The Office of Public Counsel for
Victims**

**The Office of Public Counsel for the
Defence**

States' Representatives

Amicus Curiae

REGISTRY

Registrar

Ms Silvana Arbia

Defence Support Section

Deputy Registrar

Victims and Witnesses Unit

Detention Section

**Victims Participation and Reparations
Section**

Other

1. On 10 February 2012, the Prosecution filed its first request for redactions pursuant to Rule 81(2) and Rule 81(4) ("First Redaction Request").¹ Attached to the First Redaction Request were 11 annexes. Annexes 1-10 relate to the 10 witnesses interviewed by the Prosecution prior to 25 October 2011.² Annex 11 relates to the affidavits taken by the Prosecution during its investigation prior to that date. Each annex includes a table that identifies the exact redactions sought to the text of the documents as well as to its metadata and provides the factual basis for each individual redaction. In addition, each annex includes copies of the relevant documents with highlighted text for which redactions are sought.
2. During the *ex parte* status conference held on 20 March 2012, the Single Judge pointed out [REDACTED].³
3. As discussed during the status conference referred to above, [REDACTED].⁴ [REDACTED].
4. In particular, this corrigendum concerns Annexes 4 and 10 to the First Redaction Request [REDACTED]. The Prosecution hereby informs the Chamber that, for clarity to ensure that the Chamber has the complete interview of witness CIV-OTP-P-0044, it has included in the corrected version of Annex 4 the missing transcript, which it separately filed with the Chamber on 9 March.⁵
5. The Prosecution also noted that it erroneously omitted to request redactions pursuant to Rule 81(2) with respect to a limited number of instances referring to the identity of its investigators. The Prosecution hereby clarifies that its First Redaction Request was intended to extend to all instances where reference to the identity of its investigators is made.

¹ ICC-02/11-01/11-38-Conf-Exp + Anx.1-11.


² CIV-OTP-P-0009, CIV-OTP-P-0010, CIV-OTP-P-0011, CIV-OTP-P-0044, CIV-OTP-P-0045, CIV-OTP-P-0046, CIV-OTP-P-0047, CIV-OTP-P-0048, CIV-OTP-P-0049, and CIV-OTP-P-0054.

³ [REDACTED].

⁴ [REDACTED].

⁵ ICC-02/11-01/11-53-Conf-Exp-Anx1.

6. Pursuant to Regulation 23*bis* of the Regulation of the Court, the Prosecution files this document and its annexes confidential, *ex parte*, only available to the Prosecution. The cover filing refers to the discussion held *ex parte* during the status conference, while knowledge by the Defence of the content of the annexes would defeat their purpose. In accordance with the Disclosure Decision, the Prosecution will present a public redacted version of this filing (without the annexes), to put the Defence on notice of the existence of this corrigendum.



Luis Moreno-Ocampo, Prosecutor

Dated this 23rd day of March 2012

At The Hague, The Netherlands