

**Cour
Pénale
Internationale**



**International
Criminal
Court**

Original:

No: ICC-01/04-01/07
Date: 10 March 2008

Before: Judge Sylvia Steiner, Single Judge

Registrar: Mr Bruno Cathala

SITUATION IN THE DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC OF CONGO
Germain Katanga and Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui

Public

URGENT

**Decision Establishing a Calendar in the Case against Germain KATANGA and
Mathieu NGUDJOLO CHUI**

Mr Luis Moreno Ocampo, Prosecutor
Mrs Fatou Bensouda, Deputy Prosecutor
Mr Éric MacDonald, Trial Lawyer
Ms Florence Darques-Lane, Legal
Adviser

**Counsel for the Defence of Germain
Katanga**

Mr David Hooper
Mr Göran Sluiter
Ms Caroline Buisman
Ms Sophie Menegon

**Counsel for the Defence of Mathieu
Ngudjolo Chui**

Mr Jean-Pierre Kilenda Kakengi Basila

I, Sylvia Steiner, judge at the International Criminal Court (“the Court”);

NOTING the “Prosecution’s application for warrants of arrest under article 58 of the Statute, part one and two”¹ (“the Prosecution Application”) filed by the Prosecution on 22 and 25 June 2007;

NOTING the Warrant of Arrest against Germain Katanga issued by Pre-Trial Chamber I (“the Chamber”) on 2 July 2007;²

NOTING the Warrant of Arrest against Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui issued by the Chamber on 6 July 2007;³

NOTING the public hearing with the Prosecution and the Defence of Germain Katanga held on 14 December 2007 (“the 14 December 2007 Hearing”),⁴ during which the process of disclosure to be followed in the case of *The Prosecutor v. Germain Katanga* was explained to the parties;

NOTING the “Decision on the Suspension of the Time-Limits Leading to the Initiation of the Confirmation Hearing” (“the Decision postponing Confirmation Hearing”) issued by the Chamber on 30 January 2008, and by which the confirmation hearing in the case of *The Prosecutor v. Germain Katanga* was postponed until a date to be determined by the Chamber;⁵

¹ “Submission of the Redacted English and French Versions of Prosecution’s Application for Warrants of Arrest against Germain KATANGA and Mathieu NGUDJOLO CHUI” (ICC-01/04-01/07-196; ICC-01/04-01/07-196-Conf; ICC-01/04-01/07-196-Conf-AnxA, ICC-01/04-01/07-Conf-AnxA1-AnxA10 and ICC-01/04-01/07-196-AnxB) and “Submission of the Redacted English and French Versions of Prosecution’s Application for Warrants of Arrest against Germain KATANGA and Mathieu NGUDJOLO CHUI” (ICC-01/04-02/07-24, ICC-01/04-02/07-24-Conf, ICC-01/04-02/07-24-Conf-AnxA; ICC-01/04-02/07-24-Conf-AnxA1-A10 and ICC-01/04-02/07-24-Conf-AnxB).

² ICC-01/04-01/07-1

³ ICC-01/04-02/07-1

⁴ ICC-01/04-01/07-T-12-ENG ET

⁵ ICC-01/04-01/07-172

NOTING the “First Decision on the Prosecution Request for Authorisation to Redact Witness Statements”⁶ (“First Decision on Redactions”) issued by the Single Judge on 6 December 2007;

NOTING the “Decision on Prosecution Request for authorisation to redact statements of witnesses 4 and 9”⁷ (“Second Decision on Redactions”) issued by the Single Judge on 21 December 2007;

NOTING the “Decision authorising the filing of observations on the applications for participation in the proceedings a/0327/07 to a/0337/07 and a/0001/08”⁸ issued by the Single Judge on 7 February 2008;

NOTING the hearing, *ex parte* and in closed session, with the Prosecution and the Victims and Witnesses Unit held on 3 March 2008 (“the 3 March 2008 Hearing”),⁹ during which issues of witnesses protection were discussed;

NOTING the “Third Decision on the Prosecution Request for Authorisation to Redact Materials related to the statements of Witnesses 7, 8, 9, 12 and 14”¹⁰ (“Third Decision on Redactions”) issued by the Single Judge on 4 March 2008;

NOTING the “Decision on the Joinder of the Cases against Germain KATANGA and Mathieu NGUDJOLO CHUI”¹¹ issued by the Chamber on 10 March 2008, in which the Chamber decided (i) to join the cases of *The Prosecutor v. Germain Katanga* and *The Prosecutor v. Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui*; and (ii) that the hearing on the confirmation of

⁶ ICC-01/04-01/07-88-Conf-Exp and ICC-01/04-01/07-223 and ICC-01/04-01/07-223-Conf-Anx

⁷ ICC-01/04-01/07-123-Conf-Exp and ICC-01/04-01/07-123-Conf-Exp-Anx1 and ICC-01/04-01/07-124-Conf.

⁸ ICC-01/04-01/07-182

⁹ ICC-01/04-01/07-T-20-Conf-Exp-ENG ET

¹⁰ ICC-01/04-01/07-247-Conf-Exp-Corr, ICC-01/04-01/07-247-Conf-Exp-Anx1 and ICC-01/04-01/07-248-Conf-Corr and

¹¹ ICC-01/04-01/07-257 and ICC-01/04-02/07-48

the charges in the case of *The Prosecutor v. Germain Katanga and Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui* shall commence on 21 May 2008;

NOTING articles 57(3)(c), 61, 67 and 68 of the *Rome Statute* ("the Statute"), rules 76 to 83, 89 and 121 of the *Rules of Procedure and Evidence* ("the Rules") and regulation 86 of the *Regulations of the Court* ("the Regulations");

CONSIDERING that, as a result of the joinder of the cases of *The Prosecutor v. Germain Katanga* and *The Prosecutor v. Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui*, the documents contained in the records of both cases must become part of the record of the new joint case; that, according to the Single Judge, the most efficient way to proceed is by (i) renaming the record of the case of *The Prosecutor v. Germain Katanga* as the record of the joint case of *The Prosecutor v. Germain Katanga and Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui* and retaining the reference number ICC-01/04-01/07, which was originally attributed to the record of the case of *The Prosecutor v. Germain Katanga*, for the record of the joint case of *The Prosecutor v. Germain Katanga and Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui*; (ii) transferring the documents currently included in the record of the case of *The Prosecutor v. Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui* into the record of the case of *The Prosecutor v. Germain Katanga and Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui*; and (iii) closing the record of the case of *The Prosecutor v. Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui*;

CONSIDERING the need (i) for the Defence of Germain Katanga to be notified as soon as practicable by the Registry of all documents which are currently contained in the record of the case of *The Prosecutor v. Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui* that are currently available to the Defence of Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui; and (ii) for the Defence for Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui to be notified as soon as practicable by the Registry of all documents which are currently contained in the record of the case of *The Prosecutor v. Germain Katanga* that are currently available to the Defence of Germain Katanga;

CONSIDERING that, upon notification of the above-mentioned documents, the Defences for Germain Katanga and Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui, must have an opportunity to request reconsideration, and alternatively, leave to appeal of those decisions issued in the case against the person co-prosecuted, where it can be shown that the interests of the respective Defences are affected; and that, in the view of the Single Judge, this may be the case in relation to, *inter alia*, the First, the Second and Third Decisions on Redactions;

CONSIDERING that should the Defence for Germain Katanga or Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui demonstrate that their respective interests are affected by any given decision issued in the case against the co-prosecuted person, the Single Judge shall analyse any request for reconsideration or, alternatively, for leave to appeal in accordance with the existing jurisprudence on the matter;

CONSIDERING further that, in relation to requests for reconsideration, the Chamber has already stated that such requests are to be confined to exceptional circumstances because “in principle, the statutory framework set out by the Statute and the Rules do not provide for a motion of reconsideration as a procedural remedy against any decision taken by the Pre-Trial Chamber or the single judge”;¹² and that, in relation to requests for leave to appeal, the Chamber, following the jurisprudence of the Appeals Chamber, has already stated¹³ that:

1. in order to grant leave to appeal under article 82(l)(d) of the Statute, the issue identified by the appellant must: (i) have been dealt with in the relevant decision; and (ii) meet the following two cumulative criteria:

a. it must be an issue that would significantly affect (i) both the fair and expeditious conduct of the proceedings; or (ii) the outcome of the trial; and

¹² ICC-01/04-01/06-123, issued on 23 May 2006, page 3, and Decision on the Prosecution Motion for Reconsideration and, in the alternative, Leave to Appeal, issued on 23 June 2006, ICC-01/04-01/06-166, para. 10

¹³ See, for example, Decision on the Defence application for leave to appeal the decision on the defence request concerning languages” issued by Pre-Trial Chamber I on 18 January 2008 (ICC-01/04-01/07-149) at page 3. Decision on the Defence Application for Leave to Appeal the “Decision authorising the filing of observations on the applications for participation in the proceedings a/0327/07 to a/0337/07 and a/0001/08”, issued by Pre-Trial Chamber I on 27 February 2008 (ICC-01/04-01/07-241) at page 3.

b. it must be an issue for which, in the opinion of the Pre-Trial or Trial Chamber, an immediate resolution by the Appeals Chamber may materially advance the proceedings;¹⁴

2. According to the "Judgment on the Prosecutor's Application for Extraordinary Review of Pre-Trial Chamber I's 31 March 2006 Decision Denying Leave to Appeal"¹⁵ issued by the Appeals Chamber on 13 July 2006 ("the Appeals Chamber Judgment"):

(i) "[o]nly an issue may form the subject-matter of an appealable decision";¹⁶

(ii) "[a]n issue is constituted by a subject the resolution of which is essential for the determination of matters arising in the judicial cause under examination";¹⁷

(iii) "[n]ot every issue may constitute the subject of an appeal",¹⁸ but "it must be one apt to 'significantly affect', i.e. in a material way, either a) 'the fair and expeditious conduct of the proceedings' or b) 'the outcome of the trial'";¹⁹ and

(iv) "[i]dentification of an issue having the attributes adumbrated above does not automatically qualify it as the subject of an appeal" insofar as "the issue must be one 'for which in the opinion of the Pre-Trial or Trial Chamber, an immediate resolution by the Appeals Chamber may materially advance the proceedings'";²⁰

CONSIDERING that the commencement of the confirmation hearing in the case of *The Prosecutor v. Germain Katanga and Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui* has been scheduled for 21 May 2008; and that, therefore, according to articles 61(3) and 67 of the Statute and rules 76 and 77 of the Rules, the Prosecution must, 30 days prior to this date: (i) file a French version of the Prosecution Charging Document and List of Evidence in the case of *The Prosecutor v. Germain Katanga and Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui*; and (ii) disclose to the Defences of Germain Katanga and Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui all evidence on which the Prosecution intends to rely at the confirmation hearing with the redactions, if any, authorised by the Single Judge;

¹⁴ See *inter alia* the "Decision on the Prosecution Motion for Reconsideration and, in the alternative, Leave to Appeal" issued by Pre-Trial Chamber I on 23 June 2006 (ICC-01/04-01/06-165-Conf-Exp), the "Decision on Defence Motion for Leave to Appeal" issued by Pre-Trial Chamber I on 18 August 2006 (ICC-01/04-01/06-338), the "Decision on Second Defence Motion for Leave to Appeal" issued by Pre-Trial Chamber I on 28 September 2006 (ICC-01/04-01/06-489); and the "Decision on the Prosecutor's Application for Leave to Appeal in Part Pre-Trial Chamber I's Decision on the Prosecutor's Applications for Warrants of Arrest Under Article 58" issued by Pre-Trial Chamber II on 19 August 2005 (ICC-02/04-01/05-20-US-Exp; unsealed according to Decision ICC-02/04-01/05-52 issued on 13 October 2005), in particular para 20

¹⁵ ICC-01/04-168

¹⁶ Appeals Chamber Judgment, para 9

¹⁷ Appeals Chamber Judgment, para. 9

¹⁸ Appeals Chamber Judgment, para 9.

¹⁹ Appeals Chamber Judgment, para 10

²⁰ Appeals Chamber Judgment, para 14.

CONSIDERING that, at the 14 December 2007 Hearing, the Single Judge, referring to the practices on disclosure that were followed for the purpose of the Confirmation Hearing in the case of *The Prosecutor v. Thomas Lubanga Dyilo*,²¹ set out the system of disclosure that has been followed to date in the case of *The Prosecutor v. Germain Katanga*; and that, in the view of the Single Judge, the same system of disclosure should also be followed in the joint case of *The Prosecutor v. Germain Katanga and Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui*;

CONSIDERING that the evidence and materials already disclosed by the Prosecution to the Defence for Germain Katanga must be disclosed as soon as practicable to the Defence for Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui;

CONSIDERING that, as the Single Judge has repeatedly stated, any redaction of witness statements or other evidence on which the Prosecution intends to rely at the confirmation hearing must be authorised by the Single Judge;²² and that any request for authorisation of redactions must provide the grounds therefore following the example of Annex I to the Decision on the Confirmation of Charges in the Case of *The Prosecutor v. Thomas Lubanga Dyilo*;²³

CONSIDERING that at the hearing held on 3 March 2008, the Single Judge gave the Registry until 1 April 2008 (i) to decide on the Prosecution's pending requests for protective measures for witnesses on which the Prosecution intends to rely at the confirmation hearing; and (ii) to implement the protective measures granted, if any;

²¹ During the 14 December 2007 Hearing, the Single Judge made specific reference to: "For this reason I would like to bring to the attention of Mr Hooper to the decision on the system of disclosure issued by the Single Judge of 15 May 2006, the decision on the general principles concerning disclosure matters issued on 19 May 2006, and the decision of the Appeals Chamber of 13 October 2006 that relates to the latter. Moreover, the transcripts of the hearing held on 23 June 2006 are also relevant, because there a system of pre-inspection and inspection under Rule 77 of the Rules is set out. Finally, the Single Judge would like to inform Mr. Hooper that the draft protocol for the presentation of evidence used in the confirmation of the charges in the case of Prosecution versus Thomas Lubanga Dyilo will also be used in the present proceedings." ICC-01/04-01/07-12-ENG ET at page 4, line 14 to page 5, line 4

²² ICC-01/04-01/06-T-9-En, page 49, lines 14 to 25, and page 50, line 1 to 25; ICC-01/04-01/06-102; ICC-01/04-01/06-108-Corr, ICC-01/04-01/07-12-ENG ET at page 9, line 4 to 8

²³ ICC-01/04-01/06-796-Conf. Ann. I

CONSIDERING that the scope of the redactions requested by the Prosecution in its pending requests for redactions will depend on whether protective measures are granted and can be implemented for the relevant witnesses prior to 1 April 2008; and that, therefore, the Prosecution must thereafter have the opportunity to file a final version of such pending requests for redactions;

CONSIDERING that, in order to allow the Single Judge to timeously rule upon them, the Prosecution shall file by 1 April 2008 any requests for redactions concerning additional witnesses and documents on which it intends to rely at the confirmation hearing;

CONSIDERING that the Prosecution has already begun the process of identifying and disclosing potentially exculpatory materials to the Defence of Germain Katanga;²⁴ and that the Prosecution must continue doing so in relation to the Defence for Germain Katanga and begin that process for the Defence for Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui.;

CONSIDERING that after the joining of the cases of *The Prosecutor v. Germain Katanga* and *The Prosecutor v. Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui*, it is, in the view of the Single Judge, the moment to address certain issues, such as the admissibility of the statement of deceased witness 12 and to continue with the application process in relation to the applications for participation in the proceedings filed by applicants a/0327/07 to a/0337/07 and a/0001/08;

²⁴ ICC-01/04-01/07-243 "Prosecution's Communication of Potentially Exculpatory Evidence Disclosed to the Defence 28 February 2008", filed by the Prosecution on 29 February 2008

CONSIDERING that the Single Judge is particularly mindful that, as a result of benefiting from the practices adopted in the proceedings leading to the confirmation hearing in the case of *The Prosecutor v. Thomas Lubanga Dyilo*, the proceedings leading to the confirmation hearing in the present case are to be conducted in a more expeditious manner; and that establishing from the outset a calendar for the disclosure to the Defences for Germain Katanga and Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui of the evidence on which the Prosecution intends to rely at the confirmation hearing may facilitate the achievement of this goal without infringing the rights of the Defence;

FOR THESE REASONS

DECIDE that the record of the case of *The Prosecutor v. Germain Katanga* shall be renamed as the record of the case of *The Prosecutor v. Germain Katanga and Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui*;

DECIDE that the record of the case of *The Prosecutor v. Germain Katanga and Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui* shall retain the number ICC-01/04-01/07 which was originally attributed to the record of the case of *The Prosecutor v. Germain Katanga*;

DECIDE that the Defence for Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui shall be notified by 14 March 2008 at 16h00 of all documents currently contained in the record of the case of *The Prosecutor v. Germain Katanga* that are currently available to the Defence of Germain Katanga;

DECIDE that, in relation to those decisions issued by the Chamber in the case of *The Prosecutor v. Germain Katanga* that may have an impact on the Defence of Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui, in particular the First, Second and Third Decisions on Redactions,

the Defence of Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui will have until 28 March 2008 at 16h00 to file any requests for reconsideration or, alternatively, for leave to appeal;

DECIDE that all documents contained in the record of the case of *The Prosecutor v. Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui* shall be transferred by the Registry to the record of the case of *The Prosecutor v. Germain Katanga and Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui* and shall be accordingly re-stamped no later than 14 March 2008 at 16h00;

DECIDE that once the above-mentioned transfer of documents is completed, the record of the case of *The Prosecutor v. Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui* shall be closed;

DECIDE that, as soon as the above-mentioned transfer of documents is completed, the Defence for Germain Katanga shall be notified of all documents currently contained in the record of the case of *The Prosecutor v. Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui* that are currently available to the Defence for Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui;

DECIDE that, in relation to those decisions issued so far by the Chamber in the case of *The Prosecutor v. Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui* that may have an impact on the Defence of Germain Katanga, the Defence for Germain Katanga shall have until 28 March 2008 at 16h00 to file any requests for reconsideration or, alternatively, for leave to appeal;

DECIDE that the system of disclosure and inspection set out by the Single Judge at the hearing of 14 December 2007 and applied to date in the case of *The Prosecutor v. Germain Katanga* shall also be applicable in the case of *The Prosecutor v. Germain Katanga and Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui*;

DECIDE that the Draft Protocol on the Presentation of Evidence applied in the case of *The Prosecutor v. Germain Katanga* to date shall also be applicable in the case of *The Prosecutor v. Germain Katanga and Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui*;

DECIDE that by 28 March 2008, the Prosecution shall disclose to the Defence for Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui all evidence on which it intends to rely at the confirmation hearing that has already been disclosed to the Defence for Germain Katanga pursuant to the First, Second and Third Decisions on Redactions;

DECIDE that by 28 March 2008, the Prosecution:

- (i) shall allow the Defence for Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui to inspect through the system of pre-inspection and inspection followed to date in the case of *The Prosecutor v. Germain Katanga* all materials falling within rule 77 of the Rules that have already been inspected by the Defence for Germain Katanga in application of the First, the Second and the Third Decisions on Redactions; and
- (ii) shall file pre-inspection and inspection reports in the record of the case of *The Prosecutor v. Germain Katanga and Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui*;

DECIDE that by 28 March 2008, the Prosecution:

- (i) shall disclose to the Defence for Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui all materials disclosed so far to the Defence for Germain Katanga pursuant to article 67(2) of the Statute; and
- (ii) shall file disclosure notes in the record of the case of *The Prosecutor v. Germain Katanga and Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui*;

DECIDE that:

- (i) the Prosecution's searches for potentially exculpatory materials under article 67(2) of the Statute shall continue on an ongoing basis;
- (ii) as soon as the Prosecution identifies any items of a potentially exculpatory nature under article 67(2) of the Statute, it shall:
 - a. disclose it to the Defences for Germain Katanga and Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui and file, as soon as practicable afterwards, disclosure notes listing the potentially exculpatory materials so disclosed;
 - b. bring to the attention of the Chamber any delay in the disclosure caused by the procedure under articles 54(3)(e), 73 or 93 of the Statute; or
 - c. request an exception to the disclosure requirement under rule 81 of the Rules; and
- (iii) the Prosecution shall file every fifteen days a report concerning the status of all matters referred to under (i) and (ii) above, and that the first report shall be filed by 25 March 2008 at 16h00;

DECIDE to give the Registrar until 1 April 2008 to decide and, if accorded, to implement those protective measures already requested by the Prosecution for witnesses on whom it intends to rely at the confirmation hearing in the case of *The Prosecutor v. Germain Katanga and Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui*;

DECIDE that the Prosecution shall file by 4 April 2008 its final requests for redactions concerning the statements, interview notes, interview transcripts and documents relating to those witnesses on whom the Prosecution intends to rely at the confirmation hearing for whom protective measures will be implemented after the

issuance of this decision and prior to the expiration of the above-mentioned 1 April 2008 time-limit;

DECIDE that the Prosecution shall:

- (i) review by 8 April 2008 whether it still intends to rely at the confirmation hearing in the case of *The Prosecutor v. Germain Katanga and Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui* on the statements, interview notes, interview transcripts and documents relating to those witnesses for whom protective measures cannot be implemented by 1 April 2008; and
- (ii) file by 8 April 2008 its final request for redactions concerning the statements, interview notes, interview transcripts and documents relating to those witnesses under (i) above;

DECIDE that the Prosecution shall file by 1 April 2008 any request for redactions on:

- (i) the statements, interview notes, interview transcripts and documents relating to any additional witness on which the Prosecution intends to rely at the confirmation hearing in the case of *The Prosecutor v. Germain Katanga and Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui*;
- (ii) any additional documents on which the Prosecution intends to rely at the confirmation hearing in the case of *The Prosecutor v. Germain Katanga and Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui*;

DECIDE that by Monday 21 April 2008 at 16h00 at the latest:

- (i) the Prosecution shall file a French version of the Prosecution Charging Document and List of Evidence in the case of *The Prosecutor v. Germain Katanga and Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui*; and that

- (ii) all evidence on which the Prosecution intends to rely at the confirmation hearing shall be disclosed to the Defence, pursuant to article 61(3) of the Statute and rules 76 and 77 of the Rules, with the redactions, if any, authorised by the Single Judge;

DECIDE that:

- (i) the Prosecution shall have until 20 March 2008 to file written submissions concerning the admissibility of the statement of witness 12, who, according to the Prosecution died in September 2007; and that
- (ii) the Defences for Germain Katanga and Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui shall have until 1 April 2008 to file their responses to the submissions of the Prosecution;

DECIDE that:

- (i) by 12 March 2008 at 16h00 the Registry shall notify to the Defence for Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui a redacted version of the applications for participation of applicants a/0327/07 to a/0337/07 and a/0001/08;
- (ii) the Defence for Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui shall have until 20 March 2008 at 16h00 to present observations concerning the possible granting to applicants a/0327/07 to a/0337/07 and a/0001/08 of the procedural status of victim in the case of *The Prosecutor v. Germain Katanga and Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui*;
- (iii) by 12 March 2008 at 16h00 the Registry shall notify to the Prosecution a non-redacted version of the application for participation of applicant a/0333/07, and to the Defence for Germain Katanga a redacted version of such an application;

- (iv) the Prosecution and the Defence for Germain Katanga shall have until 20 March 2008 at 16h00 to present observations concerning the possible granting to applicant a/0333/07 of the procedural status of victim in the case of *The Prosecutor v. Germain Katanga and Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui*;

DECIDE to convene a status conference in the case of *The Prosecutor v. Germain Katanga and Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui* for Tuesday 1 April 2008 at 14h00.

Done in both English and French, the version being authoritative.



Judge Sylvia Steiner
Single judge

Dated this Wednesday 10 March 2008

At The Hague

The Netherlands