

**Cour  
Pénale  
Internationale**



**International  
Criminal  
Court**

*Original: English*

No.: ICC-01/12-01/18

Date: 11 May 2026

**THREE JUDGES OF THE APPEALS CHAMBER APPOINTED FOR THE REVIEW  
CONCERNING REDUCTION OF SENTENCE**

**Before:** Judge Luz del Carmen Ibáñez Carranza, Presiding Judge  
Judge Gocha Lordkipanidze  
Judge Erdenebalsuren Damdin

**SITUATION IN THE REPUBLIC OF MALI**

**IN THE CASE OF  
*THE PROSECUTOR v. AL HASSAN AG ABDOUL AZIZ AG MOHAMED  
AG MAHMOUD***

**Public**

**Public redacted version of "Prosecution response to the Defence's Request for  
Leave to Reply", 16 February 2026, ICC-01/12-01/18-2769-Conf**

**Source: Office of the Prosecutor**

Document to be notified in accordance with regulation 31 of the *Regulations of the Court* to:

☒ The Office of the Prosecutor

☒ Counsel for the Defence

Al Hassan Defence Team

☒ Legal Representatives of the Victims  
V43

☐ Legal Representatives of the  
Applicants

☐ Unrepresented Victims

☐ Unrepresented Applicants  
(Participation/Reparation)

☐ The Office of Public Counsel for  
Victims

☐ The Office of Public Counsel for the  
Defence

☐ States' Representatives

☐ Amicus Curiae

## REGISTRY

---

**Registrar**

M. Zavala Giler, Osvaldo

☐ Counsel Support Section

☐ Victims and Witnesses Unit

☐ Detention Section

☐ Victims Participation and  
Reparations Section

☐ Other

## Submissions

1. On 12 February 2026, Mr Al Hassan requested leave to reply to the submissions of the Prosecution and Legal Representatives for Victims responding to his application for full commutation and release (“Request”).<sup>1</sup> The Prosecution responds to the Request only insofar as it relates to the Prosecution’s submissions.<sup>2</sup>
2. Mr Al Hassan requests leave to reply to the Prosecution’s submissions in relation to [REDACTED].<sup>3</sup> In doing so, he includes submissions that amount to a substantive reply on this issue.<sup>4</sup> Notwithstanding, the Prosecution takes no position on the Request and leaves the matter to the Chamber’s discretion as to whether to address and grant/reject the Request on its merits, or dismiss it *in limine*.
3. Pursuant to regulation 23 *bis*(2) of the Regulations of the Court, the Prosecution files this response confidentially, since it responds to the Request and refers to other information that is subject to the same classification. A public redacted version of this response will be filed once a public redacted version of the Request is available.




---

**Mame Mandiaye Niang, Deputy Prosecutor**

Dated this 11<sup>th</sup> day of May 2026  
At The Hague, The Netherlands

---

<sup>1</sup> ICC-01/12-01/18-2766-Conf-Red. See ICC-01/12-01/18-2758-Conf (“Defence Commutation Application”); ICC-01/12-01/18-2763-Conf (“Prosecution Response to Commutation Application”); ICC-01/12-01/18-2762-Conf (“LRV Submissions on Commutation Application”).

<sup>2</sup> See Request, paras. 20-23.

<sup>3</sup> Request, paras. [REDACTED].

<sup>4</sup> Request, paras. 21-23. See Regulations of the Court, regulation 24(5) (“Participants may reply to a response with the leave of the Chamber, unless otherwise provided in these Regulations”); ICC-01/04-01/06-824, para. 68 (“The Appeals Chamber disapproves of a practice of the filing of a substantive reply prior to leave being granted by the Appeals Chamber, which in and of itself may also give rise to the rejection of an application for leave”).