



Original: English

No.: ICC-01/19

Date: 16 December 2025

PRE-TRIAL CHAMBER I

Before: Judge Iulia Antoanella Motoc, Presiding Judge
Judge Reine Adélaïde Sophie Alapini-Gansou
Judge María del Socorro Flores Liera

**SITUATION IN THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF BANGLADESH/REPUBLIC
OF THE UNION OF MYANMAR**

Public

**Public Redacted Version of "Twelfth Registry Report on Information and
Outreach Activities", 16 December 2025**

Source: Registry

Document to be notified in accordance with regulation 31 of the *Regulations of the Court* to:

☒ The Office of the Prosecutor

☐ Counsel for the Defence

☐ Legal Representatives of the Victims

☐ Legal Representatives of the Applicants

☐ Unrepresented Victims

☐ Unrepresented Applicants (Participation/Reparation)

☐ The Office of Public Counsel for Victims

☐ The Office of Public Counsel for the Defence

☐ States' Representatives

☐ Amicus Curiae

REGISTRY

Registrar

M. Zavala Giler, Osvaldo

☐ Counsel Support Section

☒ Victims and Witnesses Unit

☐ Detention Section

☒ Victims Participation and Reparations Section

☒ Other
Public Information and Outreach Section

I. Introduction

1. Pursuant to Pre-Trial Chamber III's "Order on Information and Outreach for the Victims of the Situation" issued on 20 January 2020 ("Chamber" and "Order of 20 January 2020", respectively),¹ the Registry hereby submits its twelfth report on the progress of its activities related to information and outreach activities in the situation of the People's Republic of Bangladesh/ Republic of the Union of Myanmar ("Situation").

II. Procedural History

2. On 20 January 2020, the Chamber ordered the Registry to: *i*) establish, as soon as practical, and in consultation and collaboration with the Office of the Prosecutor ("OTP"), a system of public information and outreach activities with the affected communities and particularly with the victims of the Situation;² *ii*) submit an initial report on its activities by 6 July 2020 and inform the Chamber about the progress of and challenges to its outreach and information activities in the Situation at least every six months thereafter;³ and *iii*) seize the Chamber immediately if there is any development that requires judicial determination, particularly to provide for the protection and privacy of victims and potential witnesses pursuant to Article 57(3)(c) of the Rome Statute.⁴

¹ Pre-Trial Chamber III, "Order on Information and Outreach for the Victims of the Situation", 20 January 2020, ICC-01/19-28.

² Order of 20 January 2020, para. 9 and page 7.

³ Order of 20 January 2020, para. 12 and page 7.

⁴ Order of 20 January 2020, para. 12.

3. Since 6 July 2020, the Registry regularly files periodic reports on the progress of its activities related to information and outreach activities in the Situation.⁵
4. On 27 November 2024, the Prosecutor of the International Criminal Court (“ICC”) issued a statement announcing his office’s application for an arrest warrant for Senior General and Acting President Min Aung Hlaing, Commander-in-Chief of the Myanmar Defence Services (“Prosecutor’s Application for Arrest Warrant”).⁶ In the statement, the Prosecutor also indicated that additional applications for warrants of arrest in the Situation will follow.⁷

III. Classification

5. Pursuant to regulation 23*bis*(1) of the Regulations of the Court (“RoC”), the present report is classified as “confidential”, [Redacted]. A public redacted version of the report is filed concomitantly.

IV. Applicable Law

6. The Registry submits the present report pursuant to the Order of 20 January 2020.

⁵ See last report - Registry, “Eleventh Registry Report on Information and Outreach Activities”, 18 June 2025, ICC-01/19-76-Conf. A public redacted version was notified on the same day, ICC-01/19-76-Red.

⁶ Statement of ICC Prosecutor Karim A.A. Khan KC: Application for an arrest warrant in the situation in Bangladesh/Myanmar, 27 November 2024, <https://www.icc-cpi.int/news/statement-icc-prosecutor-karim-aa-khan-kc-application-arrest-warrant-situation-bangladesh>.

⁷ *Id.*

V. Submissions

A. Registry activities during the reporting period (18 June – 15 December 2025)

a. Activities conducted by PIOS

7. During the reporting period, the Public Information and Outreach Section ("PIOS"), with the support of an external partner organisation, advanced the preparations for a stakeholders' visit at the seat of the Court for [Redacted] ("Stakeholders' visit"). The objective of the visit is to enhance understanding of the ICC, build partnerships with communities on the ground and set up a group of *Ambassadors of Justice* who will share their experiences and disseminate information received within the communities. The visit is expected to last four days, during which participants will attend hearings and meet with relevant staff from various ICC organs and sections in order to better understand how the Court works. Special emphasis will be placed on victims' rights and the role they play before the ICC, answering questions, addressing concerns and clarifying misconceptions. [Redacted].
8. PIOS also took advantage of the [Redacted], to hold bilateral meetings with representatives of civil society organizations working on the Situation and discuss current concerns, respond to questions raised by affected communities and exchange information on various common projects.
9. Furthermore, PIOS continued engaging [Redacted] to share information on activities and common projects, discuss questions and concerns from the affected communities and explore possible ways to address them. [Redacted].

10. Finally, PIOS continued coordinating with representatives of the [Redacted], especially with respect to monitoring questions, concerns, misinformation and misunderstandings raised by the [Redacted]. The ICC's communications lines are shared with [Redacted].

b. Activities conducted by VPRS

11. During the reporting period, the Victims Participation and Reparations Section ("VPRS") continued to maintain contact with survivors and representatives of Rohingya organisations and responded to their queries about the ICC's processes and victims' rights before the Court. VPRS also met with [Redacted] and discussed victims' rights before the Court and future trainings on victims' rights, filling in victim application forms for participation and reparations, as well as legal representation of victims.

12. VPRS also remained in contact with legal representatives of victims ("LRVs"), in order to, *inter alia*, exchange information about their respective activities. On 11 December 2025, VPRS received a written communication from two LRV teams containing updates from their clients,⁸ including the following concerns: *i)* the absence of publicly available information regarding the status of the arrest warrant requested by the ICC Prosecutor in the Situation over one year ago is, in the LRV teams' view, eroding survivors' trust and diminishing victims' confidence in the Court's ability to deliver justice;⁹ *ii)* the fact that other high profile warrants of arrest have recently been the subject of public announcements by ICC Pre-Trial Chambers (for example, in the Afghanistan and Palestine Situations), left

⁸ Email [Redacted] to VPRS received on 11 December 2025 at 16h21.

⁹ The LRVs included two quotes from their clients: 1) "Over time, it has been hard to maintain our belief in the justice system, because we haven't seen anything telling us that justice is moving forward"; 2) "Progress, brings hope. We were very hopeful and had a lot of expectations from the justice mechanisms to help us get a sustainable solution to the Arakan problem. But since this last year, people have begun to lose hope from the justice mechanisms, for not being able to observe any progress. We think we have to find alternative solutions. Because the lack of progress makes us feel hopeless."

Rohingya victims feeling that their need for justice is treated as less important;¹⁰ *iii*) the catastrophic conditions in which the Rohingya live in Bangladesh; and *iv*) the reported ongoing grave atrocities against Rohingya people in Rakhine State and in Bangladesh's refugee camps.

B. Subsequent activities

a. PIOS

13. PIOS will continue the preparations for the Stakeholder's Visit scheduled for [Redacted].

14. Following any relevant developments in relation to the Prosecutor's Application for an Arrest Warrant, including the possible public issuance of a decision, PIOS envisages undertaking a joint mission with VPRS [Redacted]. The mission would aim to engage [Redacted] for the purpose of developing outreach projects and providing ICC-related trainings, including [Redacted].

b. VPRS

15. VPRS will continue to engage with victims, their representatives and other relevant actors, in order to inform them about victims' rights before the Court and assist them in exercising these rights. It will also participate in the Stakeholder's Visit and continue exploring, together with PIOS, the

¹⁰ A quote from one of the LRVs clients was included in the LRV's written communication to the VPRS: "I feel very worried. It's almost one year we are waiting for it. We were happy when we heard that the ICC [Prosecutor] asked for the arrest warrant. It seems it's not happening because we are Rohingya and Muslim, this is why they are not issuing an arrest warrant. They are discriminating against us. It will be good if the judge issue arrest warrant publicly. Because if the court does so, [Min Aung Hlaing] will get scared of harming people in future. If I were in the court, I would ask the judge why he is not issuing arrest warrant. Why is the judge playing with us? If they are not able to do justice for us, come to our camp and bomb us all to die."

possibility to conduct a joint mission in order to meet survivors and other interlocutors.

16. PIOS and VPRS will continue to collaborate closely together and carry out joint activities whenever possible and relevant.



Marc Dubuisson, Director, Division of Judicial Services
on behalf of Osvaldo Zavala Giler, Registrar

Dated this 16 December 2025

At The Hague, The Netherlands