



Original: English

**No. ICC-01/14-01/18
Date: 1 November 2023**

TRIAL CHAMBER V

**Before: Judge Bertram Schmitt, Presiding Judge
Judge Péter Kovács
Judge Chang-ho Chung**

SITUATION IN THE CENTRAL AFRICAN REPUBLIC II

**IN THE CASE OF
*THE PROSECUTOR v. ALFRED YEKATOM AND PATRICE-EDOUARD
NGAISSONA***

Public

**Decision on the Sixth Prosecution Submission Request from the Bar Table
(Origins of the Anti-Balaka)**

Document to be notified in accordance with regulation 31 of the *Regulations of the Court* to:

The Office of the Prosecutor

Karim A. A. Khan
Mame Mandiaye Niang
Kweku Vanderpuye

Counsel for Alfred Yekatom

Mylène Dimitri
Thomas Hannis
Anta Guissé
Sarah Bafadhel

Counsel for Patrice-Edouard Ngaïssona

Geert-Jan Alexander Knoops
Richard Omissé-Namkeamaï
Marie-Hélène Proulx

Legal Representatives of Victims

Abdou Dangabo Moussa
Elisabeth Rabesandratana
Yaré Fall
Marie-Edith Douzima-Lawson
Paolina Massidda
Dmytro Suprun

Legal Representatives of Applicants

Unrepresented Victims

**Unrepresented Applicants for
Participation/Reparations**

The Office of Public Counsel for Victims

**The Office of Public Counsel for the
Defence**

States Representatives

Amicus Curiae

REGISTRY

Registrar

Osvaldo Zavala Giler

Counsel Support Section

Victims and Witnesses Unit

Detention Section

**Victims Participation and Reparations
Section**

Other

TRIAL CHAMBER V of the International Criminal Court, in the case of *The Prosecutor v. Alfred Yekatom and Patrice-Edouard Ngaïssona*, having regard to Articles 64(2) and (9), 69 and 74(2) of the Rome Statute (the ‘Statute’) and Rules 63 and 64 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence (the ‘Rules’), issues this ‘Decision on the Sixth Prosecution Submission Request from the Bar Table (Origins of the Anti-Balaka)’.

I. Procedural history

1. On 15 May 2023, the Office of the Prosecutor (the ‘Prosecution’) sought formal submission of 271 items (the ‘Items’) which relate to ‘the origins of the Anti-Balaka, and refer to events which occurred between the end of 2012 and early 2014, when the official coordination of the group was set up’ (the ‘Request’).¹ The Prosecution submits, *inter alia*, that the Items are *prima facie* relevant to material issues at trial, reliable, and their formal submission would cause no prejudice to the defence.²
2. On 19 June 2023, the Common Legal Representative of the Former Child Soldiers and the Common Legal Representatives of Victims of Other Crimes (together, the ‘CLR/V’) jointly filed their response, supporting the Request (the ‘CLR/V Response’).³
3. On the same day,⁴ the Yekatom Defence also filed its response to the Request, opposing the submission of 11 items.⁵

¹ Prosecution’s Sixth Application for the Submission of Evidence from the Bar Table, ICC-01/14-01/18-1868-Conf (with confidential Annexes A and B, ICC-01/14-01/18-1868-Conf-AnxA, ICC-01/14-01/18-1868-Conf-AnxB), para. 1.

² Request, ICC-01/14-01/18-1868-Conf, para. 2.

³ Common Legal Representatives of Victims’ Joint Response to the “Prosecution’s Sixth Application for the Submission of Evidence from the Bar Table”, ICC-01/14-01/18-1934-Conf.

⁴ The Single Judge granted the Yekatom Defence’s request, joined by the Ngaïssona Defence, for an extension of time to respond to the Request for all participants until 19 June 2023 (*see* email from the Chamber, 19 May 2023, at 17:04).

⁵ Yekatom Defence Response to the “Prosecution’s Sixth Application for the Submission of Evidence from the Bar Table”, 15 May 2023, ICC-01/14-01/18-1868-Conf, ICC-01/14-01/18-1935 (with confidential Annex A, ICC-01/14-01/18-1935-Conf-AnxA) (the ‘Yekatom Defence Response’), paras 2, 14. The items opposed are CAR-OTP-2104-1137; CAR-OTP-2088-0020; CAR-OTP-2088-1836; CAR-OTP-2008-2036; CAR-OTP-2113-0664; CAR-OTP-2123-0437; CAR-OTP-2088-1574; CAR-OTP-2079-0540; CAR-OTP-2079-0574; CAR-OTP-2059-0577; and CAR-OTP-2014-0729.

4. On 23 June 2023,⁶ the Ngaïssona Defence provided its position on the Items in the Request (the ‘Ngaïssona Defence Response’).

II. Analysis

5. The Chamber recalls its approach outlined in its previous decision on a submission request through the bar table.⁷ Accordingly, the Chamber notes the participants’ arguments on the relevance and probative value of the Items, including potential prejudice to the accused, and defers their consideration under its deliberation pursuant to Article 74(2) of the Statute. At this stage, the Chamber will only consider whether the Items are subject to any statutory exclusionary rules, including procedural bars, obstacles, and preconditions.⁸

A. Items already recognised as submitted

6. The Chamber notes that the Prosecution includes 82 items in its Annex A to the Request that are already recognised as formally submitted ‘to reinforce the relevance of the (other) Submitted Items’.⁹
7. Out of the 271 items subject to the Request, the Chamber notes that nine items,¹⁰ additional to the 82 items mentioned above, have also been recognised as formally submitted and therefore it need not rule on them again.
8. The Chamber also notes that the Prosecution seeks submission of 20 items¹¹ that were already recognised as submitted pursuant to Rule 68(3) of the Rules through

The Chamber notes that although the Yekatom Defence states that it opposes submission of 10 items in its response, it also opposes submission of one additional item, CAR-OTP-2014-0729 (*see* Annex A to the Yekatom Defence Response, ICC-01/14-01/18-1935-Conf-AnxA, p. 37).

⁶ The Single Judge granted the Ngaïssona Defence’s request for an additional extension of time to respond to the Request until 23 June 2023 (*see* email from the Chamber, 16 June 2023, at 17:57).

⁷ Decision on the First Prosecution Submission Request from the Bar Table (Sexual and Gender Based Violence), 12 April 2022, ICC-01/14-01/18-1359, paras 10-12.

⁸ Decision on the Fourth Prosecution Submission Request from the Bar Table (Recruitment and Use of Children), 24 May 2022, ICC-01/14-01/18-1428, para. 5.

⁹ Request, ICC-01/14-01/18-1868-Conf, para. 4; Annex A to the Request, ICC-01/14-01/18-1868-Conf-AnxA.

¹⁰ CAR-OTP-2123-0437; CAR-OTP-2091-1804; CAR-OTP-2091-1711; CAR-OTP-2124-0002; CAR-OTP-2002-0692; CAR-OTP-2091-1743; CAR-OTP-2123-0430; CAR-OTP-2001-0984; and CAR-OTP-2130-1290.

¹¹ CAR-OTP-2065-0400; CAR-OTP-2107-6921; CAR-OTP-2122-2288; CAR-OTP-2065-0802; CAR-OTP-2107-3039; CAR-OTP-2118-5646; CAR-OTP-2107-3053; CAR-OTP-2065-3452; CAR-OTP-2118-0008; CAR-OTP-2125-0474; CAR-OTP-2065-4930; CAR-OTP-2107-1557; CAR-OTP-2065-

P-1819, subject to the fulfilment of the conditions of the Rule.¹² The Chamber notes that P-1819 has ‘definitively withdrawn [her] cooperation’ and was not called by the Prosecution to testify.¹³ The Chamber further notes that these items have also been submitted as part of the bar table application concerning P-1819.¹⁴ Having reviewed these items, the Chamber does not see any procedural bar to their submission and thus recognises them as formally submitted through the present decision.

B. Remainder of the Items

1. Media/press articles

9. The Prosecution requests submission of media/press articles from ‘Centrafrique-Presse.com’, namely CAR-OTP-2088-0020; CAR-OTP-2008-2036; CAR-OTP-2113-0664; CAR-OTP-2079-0540; and CAR-OTP-2001-4020.¹⁵ The Ngaïssona Defence provides its view on the reliability of these items and opposes their submission.¹⁶ The Yekatom Defence opposes their submission, except for item CAR-OTP-2001-4020,¹⁷ arguing that ‘internet blogs by their nature are inappropriate [...] as they have insufficient indicia of reliability; especially where [the] blogpost is anonymous’.¹⁸
10. Item CAR-OTP-2079-0574 is a media/press article ‘published by RFI on 17 May 2013, entitled “La “nouvelle vie” de François Bozizé, exile au Cameroun”’.¹⁹ The Yekatom Defence opposes its submission, arguing that ‘the document is

5140; CAR-OTP-2107-3364; CAR-OTP-2065-5404; CAR-OTP-2107-3143; CAR-OTP-2118-5707; CAR-OTP-2065-5468; CAR-OTP-2107-6932; and CAR-OTP-2122-2303.

¹² Corrected version of ‘Eighteenth Decision on the Prosecution Requests for Formal Submission of Prior Recorded Testimonies under Rule 68(3) of the Rules concerning Witnesses P-1858, P-1823, P-1819, P-1813, P-2476, P-1786, P-2587 and P-0487’, 18 November 2022, ICC-01/14-01/18-1661-Conf-Corr, para. 42, p. 33.

¹³ Email from the Prosecution, 1 June 2023, at 17:27.

¹⁴ Prosecution’s Fourteenth Application for Submission of P-1819’s Evidence from the Bar Table, 25 August 2023, ICC-01/14-01/18-2057-Conf (with confidential Annexes A and B, ICC-01/14-01/18-2057-Conf-AnxA).

¹⁵ Request, ICC-01/14-01/18-1868-Conf, paras 36-38; Annex A to the Request, ICC-01/14-01/18-1868-Conf-AnxA, pp. 10, 25, 27, 34, 47.

¹⁶ Ngaïssona Defence Response, ICC-01/14-01/18-1942-Conf, para. 39; Annex 1 to the Ngaïssona Defence Response, ICC-01/14-01/18-1942-Conf-Anx1, pp. 10-11, 26, 27-28, 38, 52.

¹⁷ For CAR-OTP-2001-4020, the Yekatom Defence defers to the Chamber’s discretion (see Annex A to the Yekatom Defence Response, ICC-01/14-01/18-1935-Conf-AnxA, p. 56).

¹⁸ Annex A to the Yekatom Defence Response, ICC-01/14-01/18-1935-Conf-AnxA, pp. 11-12, 28, 30, 40.

¹⁹ Annex A to the Request, ICC-01/14-01/18-1868-Conf-AnxA, p. 35.

actually an article of Centrafrique-Presse.com’, there is ‘no assurance that the content of this blog is true to the original RFI article’, and the ‘probative value is very low’.²⁰ The Ngaïssona Defence also opposes this item, submitting that it ‘lacks reliability and credibility’ since the Centrafrique Presse ‘added information to the original article published by RFI without proper source[s]’.²¹

11. In relation to items CAR-OTP-2104-1137²² and CAR-OTP-2088-1836,²³ the Yekatom Defence and the Ngaïssona Defence (collectively, the ‘Defence’), formulate their opposition on the basis of lack of reliability,²⁴ credibility, and professionalism/objectivity in general.²⁵
12. As regards items CAR-OTP-2074-048 and CAR-OTP-2109-0098,²⁶ the Ngaïssona Defence provides its views on their probative value and reliability.²⁷
13. In addition, the Chamber notes that media/press article CAR-OTP-2043-0201 appears to be an open-source version of item CAR-OTP-2069-3544, which is already recognised as formally submitted, and that the Defence have no objections to the item.
14. The Chamber also notes that media/press article CAR-OTP-2001-4016 appears to be an open-source version of an article related to items CAR-OTP-2069-3540 and CAR-OTP-2123-0469, which are already recognised as formally submitted, and that the Defence have no objections to the item.
15. Concerning the items mentioned above, the Chamber finds that there are no procedural bars to their submission and therefore recognises them as formally submitted.

²⁰ Annex A to the Yekatom Defence Response, ICC-01/14-01/18-1935-Conf-AnxA, p. 41.

²¹ Annex 1 to the Ngaïssona Defence Response, ICC-01/14-01/18-1942-Conf-Anx1, pp. 39-40.

²² Annex A to the Request, ICC-01/14-01/18-1868-Conf-AnxA, p. 8.

²³ Annex A to the Request, ICC-01/14-01/18-1868-Conf-AnxA, p. 10.

²⁴ Annex A to the Yekatom Defence Response, ICC-01/14-01/18-1935-Conf-AnxA, p. 9; Annex 1 to the Ngaïssona Defence Response, ICC-01/14-01/18-1942-Conf-Anx1, pp. 8-9, 11.

²⁵ Annex A to the Yekatom Defence Response, ICC-01/14-01/18-1935-Conf-AnxA, p. 12.

²⁶ Annex A to the Request, ICC-01/14-01/18-1868-Conf-AnxA, pp. 36-37, 89.

²⁷ Annex 1 to the Ngaïssona Defence Response, ICC-01/14-01/18-1942-Conf-Anx1, pp. 41, 96.

2. Reports

16. The Prosecution requests submission of item CAR-OTP-2014-0729, which is a 19-page report comprised of different documents.²⁸ The Defence object to its submission for being testimonial in nature²⁹ and for ‘lack of authenticity and reliability’.³⁰ The Yekatom Defence argues that the title of this item demonstrates that the author of the document drafted it with an intention to use it during legal proceedings before the ICC’. It further states that the item includes ‘allegations regarding specific crimes’, ‘text regarding who the witness views as responsible of the crisis for which he was interviewed by the Prosecution’ and ‘alleged crimes committed by multiple individuals such as Mr Ngaïssona [...] or Mr Yekatom’.³¹ Having reviewed the item, the Chamber finds it testimonial in nature, in particular considering that it includes interpretations and attributions by the drafter in the context of providing information to the Prosecution, and therefore rejects its submission.
17. Item CAR-OTP-2088-1574 contains the United Nations Office of Legal Affairs (the ‘UNOLA’) ‘investigative fact sheets regarding several Anti-Balaka members’, including Mr Ngaïssona.³² The Yekatom Defence opposes its submission due to ‘low probative value given it merely refers to allegations, not a conviction, and is heavily redacted’.³³ The Ngaïssona Defence submits that it should be disregarded for *prima facie* lack of reliability and authenticity since (i) there is no indication that it ‘was drafted or produced by UNOLA’; (ii) the document has ‘no apparent date’; and (iii) its sources are ‘unknown and unverifiable’.³⁴
18. Item CAR-OTP-2123-0285 is a report from Make Peace Happen, titled ‘REPORT OF THE JOINT SECURITY SECTOR REFORM (SSR) ASSESSMENT MISSION TO THE CENTRAL AFRICAN REPUBLIC’ and

²⁸ Annex A to the Request, ICC-01/14-01/18-1868-Conf-AnxA, p. 32.

²⁹ Annex A to the Yekatom Defence Response, ICC-01/14-01/18-1935-Conf-AnxA, p. 37.

³⁰ Annex 1 to the Ngaïssona Defence Response, ICC-01/14-01/18-1942-Conf-Anx1, pp. 33-34.

³¹ Annex A to the Yekatom Defence Response, ICC-01/14-01/18-1935-Conf-AnxA, p. 37.

³² Annex A to the Request, ICC-01/14-01/18-1868-Conf-AnxA, p. 33.

³³ Annex A to the Yekatom Defence Response, ICC-01/14-01/18-1935-Conf-AnxA, p. 39.

³⁴ Annex 1 to the Ngaïssona Defence Response, ICC-01/14-01/18-1942-Conf-Anx1, pp. 35-37.

dated 18-26 May 2014.³⁵ The Chamber notes that it previously deferred its consideration of this item's submission to the 'bar table' application instead of addressing it in the Prosecution's submission of evidence request through P-0487.³⁶ The Chamber notes that the Yekatom Defence defers to the Ngaïssona Defence on the assessment of this item but states that the source of certain information is missing.³⁷ The Chamber also notes that although the Ngaïssona Defence did not make any objections to this item in the Ngaïssona Defence Response, it provided its views on the item's authenticity, reliability, and probative value in its objection to the Prosecution's submission of evidence request through P-0487.³⁸

19. Item CAR-OTP-2059-0577 is a 3-page report titled 'Annex 3.4.JPG – Rapport du travail de Bimbo / Rapport du travail / Rapport du travail de Boyrabe'.³⁹ The Yekatom Defence opposes this item and provides its views on the item's reliability and probative value.⁴⁰
20. Regarding items CAR-OTP-2001-1341, CAR-OTP-2079-0994, CAR-OTP-2114-0550 and CAR-OTP-2087-9009,⁴¹ the Ngaïssona Defence provides its view on their reliability,⁴² probative value⁴³ and relevance.⁴⁴
21. The Chamber, having reviewed the items mentioned above, finds that, with the exception of item CAR-OTP-2014-0729, there are no procedural bars to their submission and therefore recognises them as formally submitted.

3. Audio-visual items

22. The Prosecution seeks submission of audio CAR-OTP-2042-2119 and its French translated transcript CAR-OTP-2135-1339. The Chamber notes that unlike the audio, the transcript is not included in the Prosecution's List of Evidence, as

³⁵ Annex A to the Request, ICC-01/14-01/18-1868-Conf-AnxA, p. 98.

³⁶ Email from the Chamber, 27 September 2023, at 14:34.

³⁷ Annex A to the Yekatom Defence Response, ICC-01/14-01/18-1935-Conf-AnxA, pp. 124-25.

³⁸ Email from the Ngaïssona Defence, 3 March 2023, at 13:05, 6 March 2023, at 17:36.

³⁹ Annex A to the Request, ICC-01/14-01/18-1868-Conf-AnxA, pp. 67-68.

⁴⁰ Annex A to the Yekatom Defence Response, ICC-01/14-01/18-1935-Conf-AnxA, pp. 79-80.

⁴¹ Annex A to the Request, ICC-01/14-01/18-1868-Conf-AnxA, pp. 32, 54, 73, 89.

⁴² Annex 1 to the Ngaïssona Defence Response, ICC-01/14-01/18-1942-Conf-Anx1, pp. 34, 59, 95-96.

⁴³ Annex 1 to the Ngaïssona Defence Response, ICC-01/14-01/18-1942-Conf-Anx1, pp. 34, 79

⁴⁴ Annex 1 to the Ngaïssona Defence Response, ICC-01/14-01/18-1942-Conf-Anx1, p. 79.

indicated by the Ngaïssona Defence.⁴⁵ The Chamber recalls the ‘Initial Directions on the Conduct of the Proceedings’ in relation to the submission of transcripts or translations associated with audio-visual material submitted to the Chamber.⁴⁶ Having reviewed the items, the Chamber finds there to be no bar to their submission and therefore recognises them as formally submitted.

23. As regards item CAR-OTP-2000-0634, titled ‘Declaration BOZIZE/16 Compte rendu de la Conférence de presse du chef de l’Etat.mp3’ (the ‘Audio’), the Chamber notes that the French portion of the Audio [00:00:00 – 00:11:23] is transcribed in CAR-OTP-2136-0929. It notes, however, that the Sango portion of the Audio has no translation or transcript in one of the working languages of the Court. Having reviewed the French portion, the Chamber finds that there are no procedural bars to its submission and therefore recognises the Audio as formally submitted partially from 00:00:00 to 00:11:23. Furthermore, it defers its decision on the Sango portion of the Audio until the Prosecution discloses its transcript and/or translation in one of the working languages of the Court to the participants.
24. Items CAR-OTP-2025-0563,⁴⁷ CAR-OTP-2042-2217⁴⁸ and CAR-OTP-2054-1440⁴⁹ are audio recordings, with related transcripts at CAR-OTP-2045-1121 and CAR-OTP-2122-7378. The Ngaïssona Defence provides its views on their reliability,⁵⁰ authenticity,⁵¹ and credibility.⁵² The Yekatom Defence ‘defers to the Chamber’s discretion’ but notes their low probative value.⁵³ The Chamber, having reviewed the items, finds that there are no procedural bars to their submission and therefore recognises them as formally submitted.

⁴⁵ Annex 1 to the Ngaïssona Defence Response, ICC-01/14-01/18-1942-Conf-Anx1, p. 10.

⁴⁶ Initial Directions on the Conduct of the Proceedings, 26 August 2020, ICC-01/14-01/18-631, para. 64.

⁴⁷ Annex A to the Request, ICC-01/14-01/18-1868-Conf-AnxA, pp. 32-33.

⁴⁸ Annex A to the Request, ICC-01/14-01/18-1868-Conf-AnxA, p. 34.

⁴⁹ Annex A to the Request, ICC-01/14-01/18-1868-Conf-AnxA, p. 81.

⁵⁰ Annex 1 to the Ngaïssona Defence Response, ICC-01/14-01/18-1942-Conf-Anx1, pp. 35, 37-38, 87-88.

⁵¹ Annex 1 to the Ngaïssona Defence Response, ICC-01/14-01/18-1942-Conf-Anx1, p. 35.

⁵² Annex 1 to the Ngaïssona Defence Response, ICC-01/14-01/18-1942-Conf-Anx1, pp. 35, 37-38.

⁵³ Annex A to the Yekatom Defence Response, ICC-01/14-01/18-1935-Conf-AnxA, pp. 38, 40, 103.

25. Having further reviewed the remainder of the Items, there appear to be no procedural bars to their submission. The Chamber therefore recognises them as formally submitted.

FOR THESE REASONS, THE CHAMBER HEREBY

PARTLY GRANTS the Request;

REJECTS the submission of item CAR-OTP-2014-0729;

DEFERS the decision on the Sango portion of item CAR-OTP-2000-0634;

ORDERS the Prosecution to disclose the transcript and/or translation of the Sango portions of item CAR-OTP-2000-0634 to the participants within two weeks of notification of the present decision, and the participants to provide their views, if any, ten days after the items are disclosed;

RECOGNISES as formally submitted the following items:

CAR-OTP-2018-0174;	CAR-OTP-2087-9783;	CAR-OTP-2006-0750;
CAR-OTP-2000-0629;	CAR-OTP-2087-9850;	CAR-OTP-2000-0680;
CAR-OTP-2006-0632;	CAR-OTP-2104-1137;	CAR-OTP-2087-9789;
CAR-OTP-2006-0702;	CAR-OTP-2000-0632;	CAR-OTP-2087-9863;
CAR-OTP-2000-0683;	CAR-OTP-2060-0631;	CAR-OTP-2000-0675;
CAR-OTP-2087-9791;	CAR-OTP-2060-0693;	CAR-OTP-2006-0675;
CAR-OTP-2087-9867;	CAR-OTP-2000-0678;	CAR-OTP-2006-0756;
CAR-OTP-2000-0661;	CAR-OTP-2087-9787;	CAR-OTP-2042-0976;
CAR-OTP-2087-9779;	CAR-OTP-2087-9858;	CAR-OTP-2107-1473;
CAR-OTP-2087-9840;	CAR-OTP-2042-2119;	CAR-OTP-2042-2132;
CAR-OTP-2060-0623;	CAR-OTP-2135-1318;	CAR-OTP-2127-7397;
CAR-OTP-2000-0665;	CAR-OTP-2135-1339;	CAR-OTP-2127-7401;
CAR-OTP-2087-9781;	CAR-OTP-2088-0020;	CAR-OTP-2042-2137;
CAR-OTP-2087-9844;	CAR-OTP-2088-1836;	CAR-OTP-2107-1481;
CAR-OTP-2101-0462;	CAR-OTP-2000-0671;	CAR-OTP-2000-0688;
CAR-OTP-2000-0668;	CAR-OTP-2006-0671;	CAR-OTP-2107-6884;

CAR-OTP-2122-2243;	CAR-OTP-2042-0259;	CAR-OTP-2057-0930;
CAR-OTP-2000-0634	CAR-OTP-2107-2991;	CAR-OTP-2042-2217;
from 00:00:00 to	CAR-OTP-2107-2993;	CAR-OTP-2122-7378;
00:11:23;	CAR-OTP-2042-0262;	CAR-OTP-2079-0540;
CAR-OTP-2136-0929;	CAR-OTP-2118-0003;	CAR-OTP-2079-0574;
CAR-OTP-2000-0635;	CAR-OTP-2125-0466;	CAR-OTP-2079-0533;
CAR-OTP-2060-0633;	CAR-OTP-2042-0263;	CAR-OTP-2074-0445;
CAR-OTP-2060-0699;	CAR-OTP-2135-1312;	CAR-OTP-2074-0487;
CAR-OTP-2000-0640;	CAR-OTP-2135-1323;	CAR-OTP-2091-1783;
CAR-OTP-2060-0637;	CAR-OTP-2042-0264;	CAR-OTP-2091-1779;
CAR-OTP-2060-0709;	CAR-OTP-2042-2159;	CAR-OTP-2091-0434;
CAR-OTP-2042-0968;	CAR-OTP-2107-1487;	CAR-OTP-2124-0004;
CAR-OTP-2127-4131;	CAR-OTP-2008-2036;	CAR-OTP-2124-0007;
CAR-OTP-2127-4164;	CAR-OTP-2000-0648;	CAR-OTP-2001-4020;
CAR-OTP-2000-0646;	CAR-OTP-2087-9599;	CAR-OTP-2001-4043;
CAR-OTP-2060-0644;	CAR-OTP-2087-9630;	CAR-OTP-2103-4841;
CAR-OTP-2060-0721;	CAR-OTP-2000-0674;	CAR-OTP-2001-4146;
CAR-OTP-2000-0691;	CAR-OTP-2006-0673;	CAR-OTP-2074-0366;
CAR-OTP-2135-1460;	CAR-OTP-2006-0753;	CAR-OTP-2103-4843;
CAR-OTP-2135-1465;	CAR-OTP-2113-0664;	CAR-OTP-2043-0201;
CAR-OTP-2042-2144;	CAR-OTP-2054-1448;	CAR-OTP-2103-4844;
CAR-OTP-2107-1483;	CAR-OTP-2127-6696;	CAR-OTP-2088-3053;
CAR-OTP-2042-2146;	CAR-OTP-2060-0618;	CAR-OTP-2091-1728;
CAR-OTP-2107-1485;	CAR-OTP-2000-0686;	CAR-OTP-2001-4016;
CAR-OTP-2054-1342;	CAR-OTP-2130-1172;	CAR-OTP-2091-0446;
CAR-OTP-2000-0687;	CAR-OTP-2000-0656;	CAR-OTP-2091-1714;
CAR-OTP-2107-1493;	CAR-OTP-2060-0653;	CAR-OTP-2103-4827;
CAR-OTP-2000-0647;	CAR-OTP-2060-0740;	CAR-OTP-2054-1470;
CAR-OTP-2060-0648;	CAR-OTP-2042-1783;	CAR-OTP-2079-0994;
CAR-OTP-2060-0727;	CAR-OTP-2107-1475;	CAR-OTP-2103-4829;
CAR-OTP-2000-0689;	CAR-OTP-2001-1341;	CAR-OTP-2103-4831;
CAR-OTP-2130-1174;	CAR-OTP-2025-0563;	CAR-OTP-2061-1428;
CAR-OTP-2130-1294;	CAR-OTP-2045-1121;	CAR-OTP-2091-1785;
CAR-OTP-2042-0256;	CAR-OTP-2088-1574;	CAR-OTP-2122-9926;

CAR-OTP-2088-2946;	CAR-OTP-2122-2288;	CAR-OTP-2122-9575;
CAR-OTP-2001-4170;	CAR-OTP-2065-0802;	CAR-OTP-2129-1413;
CAR-OTP-2103-4837;	CAR-OTP-2107-3039;	CAR-OTP-2082-0897;
CAR-OTP-2088-2398;	CAR-OTP-2118-5646;	CAR-OTP-2087-9009;
CAR-OTP-2088-2441;	CAR-OTP-2098-0534;	CAR-OTP-2109-0098;
CAR-OTP-2088-2771;	CAR-OTP-2107-3053;	CAR-OTP-2001-4302;
CAR-OTP-2091-1717;	CAR-OTP-2065-3452;	CAR-OTP-2107-2997;
CAR-OTP-2001-4071;	CAR-OTP-2118-0008;	CAR-OTP-2001-4359;
CAR-OTP-2091-0443;	CAR-OTP-2125-0474;	CAR-OTP-2101-3292;
CAR-OTP-2091-1737;	CAR-OTP-2065-4930;	CAR-OTP-0080-0718;
CAR-OTP-2059-0577;	CAR-OTP-2107-1557;	CAR-OTP-2001-4636;
CAR-OTP-2102-9329;	CAR-OTP-2065-5140;	CAR-OTP-2123-0285;
CAR-OTP-2001-1767;	CAR-OTP-2107-3364;	CAR-OTP-2002-0739;
CAR-OTP-2014-0754;	CAR-OTP-2065-5404;	CAR-OTP-2101-3102;
CAR-OTP-2081-1414;	CAR-OTP-2107-3143;	CAR-OTP-2051-0678;
CAR-OTP-2081-1412;	CAR-OTP-2118-5707;	CAR-OTP-2068-0619;
CAR-OTP-2081-1416;	CAR-OTP-2065-5468;	CAR-OTP-2077-0362;
CAR-OTP-2081-1418;	CAR-OTP-2107-6932;	CAR-OTP-2084-1259;
CAR-OTP-2081-1420;	CAR-OTP-2122-2303;	CAR-OTP-2107-6963;
CAR-OTP-2081-1424;	CAR-OTP-2054-0658;	CAR-OTP-2122-2339;
CAR-OTP-2081-1430;	CAR-OTP-2091-0451;	CAR-OTP-2107-6968;
CAR-OTP-2081-1432;	CAR-OTP-2091-1732;	CAR-OTP-2122-2345;
CAR-OTP-2081-1436;	CAR-OTP-2101-6260;	CAR-OTP-2107-1570;
CAR-OTP-2081-1438;	CAR-OTP-2091-1802;	CAR-OTP-2084-1275;
CAR-OTP-2081-1435;	CAR-OTP-2054-1440;	CAR-OTP-2107-6973;
CAR-OTP-2087-9545;	CAR-OTP-2067-1308;	CAR-OTP-2122-2351;
CAR-OTP-2089-0056;	CAR-OTP-00001363;	CAR-OTP-2084-1279;
CAR-OTP-2091-0457;	CAR-OTP-2001-4613;	CAR-OTP-2107-6977;
CAR-OTP-2114-0550;	CAR-OTP-2042-1266;	CAR-OTP-2122-2356;
CAR-OTP-2091-1722;	CAR-OTP-2120-0605;	CAR-OTP-2084-1283;
CAR-OTP-2051-1036;	CAR-OTP-2091-1739;	CAR-OTP-2084-1287;
CAR-OTP-2054-1463;	CAR-OTP-2129-1407;	CAR-OTP-2127-6248;
CAR-OTP-2065-0400;	CAR-OTP-2083-0332;	CAR-OTP-2127-6368;
CAR-OTP-2107-6921;	CAR-OTP-2122-9548;	CAR-OTP-2084-1291;

CAR-OTP-2127-4523;	CAR-OTP-2127-6373;	CAR-OTP-2091-1720;
CAR-OTP-2127-4616;	CAR-OTP-2084-1297;	CAR-OTP-2023-2919;
CAR-OTP-2084-1295;	CAR-OTP-2127-6259;	CAR-OTP-2107-1516;
CAR-OTP-2127-6252;	CAR-OTP-2127-6381;	

ORDERS the Registry to reflect that these items have been so recognised in the JEM code;

ORDERS the Prosecution to file a public redacted version of the Request, ICC-01/14-01/18-1868-Conf, within one week of notification of this decision; and

ORDERS the CLRV and the Ngaïssona Defence to file public redacted versions or request reclassification to public of the CLRV Response, ICC-01/14-01/18-1934-Conf, and the Ngaïssona Defence Response, ICC-01/14-01/18-1942-Conf, respectively, within one week of notification of this decision.

Done in both English and French, the English version being authoritative.

 Judge Péter Kovács	 Judge Bertram Schmitt Presiding Judge	 Judge Chang-ho Chung
--	---	---

Dated 1 November 2023

At The Hague, The Netherlands